Application ref: 2024/2975/T Contact: Liam Vincent

Tel: 020 7974 8677

Email: Liam.Vincent@camden.gov.uk

Date: 21 August 2024

Helen Vassilakas 66 Parkhill Road London NW3 2YT



Development Management Regeneration and Planning London Borough of Camden Town Hall Judd Street London WC1H 9JE

Phone: 020 7974 4444 planning@camden.gov.uk www.camden.gov.uk/planning

Dear Sir/Madam

DECISION

Town and Country Planning Acts 1990 (as amended) **OBJECTION TO SECTION 211 NOTICE OF WORKS TO TREE/S IN A CONSERVATION** AREA

Address:

66 Parkhill Road London **NW3 2YT**

Description:

FRONT GARDEN: 1 x Japanese Maple (Acer japonicum thunb) (T1) - Fell to ground level.

The Council has considered your notification of intended works to trees dated 16 July 2024 and decided to **OBJECT** for the following reasons:

Reasons for objection:

1 The Council has received a S.211 notification to remove a mature Japanese Acer from the front garden of a private residence on Parkhill Road, which is located within the Parkhill conservation area. The tree is large for its species, over 5m tall and 3 - 4m wide. The canopy appears to be in excellent health. The tree has three main stems with no obvious signs of dysfunction, and the canopy is of an attractive spreading form that is very visible within the street-scene, from approximately 60m north along Parkhill Road, and 60m south where Tasker Road intersects with Parkhill Road. There are many other small front garden trees along Parkhill Road.

The tree makes a positive contribution to the leafy, verdant character of the conservation area, providing natural habitat, softening the visible appearance of the built structures surrounding it, and helping to combat climate change. The proposed removal will significantly reduce the amenity that the tree provides.

The reason given for removal is that the tree represents a future risk to the property, as identified by loss adjusters acting on behalf of the applicants' insurers. The loss adjusters state that level monitoring carried out in 2023 does not indicate that damage noted at the property is not the result of subsidence, but from 'natural ground movement'. The movement is of '1mm... Some damages recorded as noted from our initial inspection were historical. Other damages noted appear thermal related or due to general wear and tear.'

No evidence has been submitted to implicate the tree as a cause of the damage. This is not considered robust enough to justify the proposed removal of the tree.

The Council objects to the proposed works, and a Tree Preservation Order has been served to protect the amenity the tree provides and the character and appearance of the conservation area.

A Tree Preservation Order has been served on the tree/s and no work should be carried out without the prior consent of the Council.

Yours faithfully

Daniel Pope

Chief Planning Officer

It is important to us to find out what our customers think about the service we provide. To help us in this respect, we would be very grateful if you could take a few moments to complete our online survey at the following website address: www.camden.gov.uk/dmfeedback. We will use the information you give us to help improve our services.