

Delegated Report		Analysis sheet		Expiry Date:		02/08/2024	
		N/A / attached		Consultation Expiry Date:			
Officer				Application Number(s)			
Nick Bell				2024/2551/T			
Application Address				Drawing Numbers			
Flat A 50 Goldhurst Terrace London NW6 3HT							
PO 3/4	Area Team Signature	C&UD	Authorised Officer Signature				
Proposal(s)							
REAR GARDEN: 1 x Dead Sycamore (T1) - Fell to ground level. 1 x Sycamore (T2) - Cut back overhanging material only to boundary.							
Recommendation(s):		No objection to notification of intended works to tree(s) in a conservation area.					
Application Type:		Notification of Intended Works to Tree(s) in a Conservation Area					
Conditions or Reasons for Refusal:		Refer to Draft Decision Notice					
Informatives:							
Consultations							
Adjoining Occupiers:		No. notified	12	No. of responses	01	No. of objections	00
				No. electronic	00		
Summary of consultation responses:		The council received one consultation response: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> I personally find it difficult to discern the/a 'dead' tree in the photo of T1. In the application form this is described as a 'dead' tree which should be felled. For T2, the comment in the application form states that the tree should be cut back, as far as I can understand, and not felled. However, in the main description in the email and in the comment above, the instruction is to 'fell to ground level' for T2. Please can this be clarified? Reasons and justifications for felling any trees should be clearly stated and substantiated for the obvious environmental and amenity considerations.					
CAAC/Local groups* comments: <small>*Please Specify</small>		None received.					

Assessment

The s.211 notification is for the removal of a dead sycamore tree and the pruning back to the boundary of another sycamore tree.

The photos submitted are considered sufficient to demonstrate which tree is dead and therefore proposed for removal.

The tree to be pruned back to the boundary is of low visibility from the public realm. The proposed works are not considered harmful to the character and appearance of the conservation area.

Justification for the proposed works is not a validation requirement for s.211 notifications.

It is not expedient for the council to serve a tree preservation order to object to the works.

The council does not object to the proposed works.