Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2024/2995/L	Susan Scipioni	06/08/2024 14:47:47	OBJ	I am the owner and resident of Flat 3 Giles Building, the flat directly above Flat 1 Giles Building. I have read and considered all the reports and drawings relating to this planning application and wish to put forward my strong objections as follows; 1. The reports/surveys suggest and imply that these proposed works are entirely internal modifications. This is totally incorrect and a false statement as the work involves deep underpinning and deep excavations which will have severe implications for, not least, the existing structural stability of Giles Building. Indeed the report by Anderson Consulting (Appendix E , Pg 13) cannot guarantee that subsidence/settlement will not occur and that's only if very specific methods were followed and an 'expert' company was commissioned to undertake the works - the underpinning would be on a 'trial and error' basis and its success would only be known as the work progresses. This is not a valid, professional or legitimate reason for such drastic structural work to be approved. The deep underpinning and deep excavation work would,without doubt, have very wide implications for the entire building/owners of the other flats in Giles Building as follows: subsidence; cracks; loss of property value; (as any building that has had underpinning undertaken reduces considerably the value of the property) destabilisation of the building; property insurance implications ie: very expensive or not being able to obtain building insurance going forward. 2. As I live directly above Flat 1 Giles Building the noise, dust, pollution and disruption caused through underpinning, excavation, drilling, heavy plant, possible cessation of utilities such as mains water (diversion of foul water drainage and creation of new bathrooms) and gas supply (my gas meter is directly outside Flat 1); removal of heavy debris all of which is in very, very close proximity to my permanent home and for, potentially, 12 months or longer (the suggestion is that the work would take 7 months however it is common for works to overrun considerably). In summary the professional reports/ surveys submitted are suggesting that this application involves only internal works, this is totally false and for all the reasons I have given in points 1) and 2) I wish to submit my strong objections to the application and sincerely hope my objections are taken into account and the planning application is rejected by Camden Planning.