

CONSTRUCTION METHOD STATEMENT and

BASEMENT IMPACT ASSESSMENT

FLAT 1, GILES BUILDING

UPPER HAMPSTEAD WALK

LONDON

NW3 1DE

METHOD STATEMENT PRODUCED BY: Anderson Consulting Engineers One Kingdom Road Paddington London W2 6BD Tel: 0203 755 5084

PROJECT No: S5577

CONTENTS

1.	Scope of works	S	3
2.	Description of	Flat 1, Giles Building, Upper Hampstead Walk and Adjoining Properties	3
3.	Geology and h	ydrology conditions	3
4.	Structural calc	ulations	4
5.	Construction d	Irawings	4
6.	Construction s	equence	4
7.	Potential impa	ct on	5
8.	Slope stability		5
9.	Potential impa	ct on existing and surrounding utilities, infrastructure and man – made cavities	6
10.	Potential impa	ct on drainage, sewage, surface and ground water levels and flows including suds	6
11.	Potential impa	ct on existing and proposed trees	6
Apper	ndix A -	Geotechnical Survey Report by S Chick Investigations Ltd	

- Appendix B Calculation sheets S5577 / 1-7
- Appendix C Drawings S5577 GA/01, GA02 and D/01
- Appendix D Sequence Drawing S5577 / MS01 and MS02
- Appendix E Underpinning Specification.

INTRODUCTION

This Construction Method Statement is produced for submission as part of a planning application for works to Flat 1, Giles Building, Upper Hampstead Walk, London, NW3 1DE and should not be used for any other purposes, e.g. construction or Party Wall Awards.

SCOPE OF WORKS

It is proposed to extend the existing sub-floor void to create a useable basement incorporating a study, media room, bathroom and additional bedroom.

DESCRIPTION OF FLAT 1, GILES BUILDING, UPPER HAMPSTEAD WALK.

Flat 1 is situated on the ground floor of a four storey block of residential apartments. The construction is loadbearing masonry external and internal walls supporting timber floors.

There is an existing void area beneath the ground floor joist level. This void area is bounded by the external walls to Flat 1 and sub-divided by the extension of the load bearing internal wall and additional walls.

The building is constructed on a sloping site and the external ground levels vary by 1.0m on the Upper Hampstead Road side. At the rear, Mansfield Place, the ground levels vary by 1.5m and then step down to the courtyard at the end of Flat 1 where ground levels are lower and match the internal floor level.

GEOLOGY AND HYDROLOGY CONDITIONS

The existing site geology from British Geological Survey information is Bagshot formation, sand and fine gravel, overlying London Clay. The trial holes carried out confirmed a very stiff silty clay with partings of silt and fine sand.

The depths we excavated to were into the very stiff clay and we can confirm that no groundwater was encountered. The new basement will be designed to limit ground bearing pressure to 250kN/m² in order to limit settlement.

No ground water was noted in the trial holes and the BGS boreholes show water table at depths of 15.0m and greater.

STRUCTURAL CALCULATIONS

See calculation sheets S5577/01 – 07 for calculations to each wall face, showing the estimated loadings and design of underpins. These calculations can be found in the Appendices. Assumptions that were made in these calculations were that the wall build-ups followed the London Building Acts of 1844, found in CIRIA Report 111.

CONSTRUCTION DRAWINGS

See drawing Nos S5577 / GA01, GA02 and D/01 in the Appendices for underpinning layout, sequencing and sections through the external walls and internal walls of the property.

See drawing Nos S5577 / MS01 and MS02 for underpinning methodology.

CONSTRUCTION SEQUENCE OF THE NEW BASEMENT

- 1. The existing property will be underpinned in a 'hit and miss' underpinning sequence as shwon on drawing No S5577/GA01.
- 2. See drawing Nos MS/01 & MS/02 for the construction methodology of a typical underpin, and Underpinning Specification in the Appendices.
- 3. As excavation progresses, any existing foundations discovered will be broken out and removed from site to make way for the new basement construction.
- 4. The existing ground floor joist will be permanently supported by steel beams in place of existing sleeper walls.
- 5. When all the underpins to the existing property have been completed, bulk excavation to the whole site will be carried out.
- 6. Horizontal propping across the site will be installed as Stage 6 on drawing No S5577/MS01. This will be via a proprietary propping system such as Mabey props or similar.
- 7. Excavation will then be carried out down to formation level.
- 8. The below slab drainage for foul & ground water, sumps and pumps will then be installed. The pumps will discharge the foul / ground water into the existing sewer system to the front of the property.
- 9. The new basement RC slab (ground bearing slab) will then be constructed.
- 10. Once the new basement slab has gained sufficient strength, the horizontal propping across the site will be removed.
- 11. After the new basement slab has cured, a drained cavity layer will be laid to the slab and walls.
- 12. A layer of insulation will be placed on top of the drained cavity layer on the slab, and in front of the drained cavity layer on the walls.
- 13. Finally a layer of screed will be laid to form the finished basement floor.

POTENTIAL IMPACT ON FLAT 1, GILES BUILDING AND ADJOINING PROPERTIES

The proposed basement under the existing property will be formed using an underpinning method, constructed in sections each no wider than 1000mm, with no adjacent underpins constructed within a 48 hour period. This method of construction reduces the amount of potential ground movement and so minimises the effects of settlement.

Expected settlement is zero provided an experienced contractor is appointed who undertakes the works using good practice in accordance with the structural design and follows all agreed method statements, installing all necessary temporary vertical and lateral supports required. In practice some settlement is possible but this should be no worse than 'aesthetic', according to the BRE's definition. If these conditions are met, any settlement that occurs is likely to be minimal and is likely to be accommodated in the elasticity of the superstructure. This has been borne out in the vast majority of past projects on similar properties.

The design and construction methodology, as described above, deals with the potential risks and ensures that the excavation and construction of the proposed basement will not affect the structural integrity of this property and adjoining properties.

SLOPE STABILITY

The site is located on ground that is sloping and so slope instability can only be initiated in the temporary condition as the proposed basement is being built. This would be via a collapse of the partially formed underpinning.

This is highly unlikely due to the construction sequence and implementation of temporary works and is covered by the statement above on the impact on adjoining properties The underpins are also being formed to a level base and this reduces any potential for instability.

POTENTIAL IMPACT ON EXISTING AND SURROUNDING UTILITIES, INFRASTRUCTURE AND MAN – MADE CAVITIES

Any local services on the property's land will be maintained during construction and re – routed if necessary. The exact location of these services will not be known until the works commence. However the impact will be negligible as these services will be maintained. If it is necessary to relocate or divert any utilities, the Contractor and Design Team will be under a statutory obligation to notify the utility owner prior to any works. This will be so that they can assess the impact of the works and grant or refuse their approval. There are no known man – made cavities (e.g. tunnels) in the vicinity of the proposed basement.

POTENTIAL IMPACT ON DRAINAGE, SEWAGE, SURFACE AND GROUND WATER LEVELS AND FLOWS INCLUDING SUDS

All existing drainage and sewage connections will be maintained throughout the construction works so there will be no impact on these existing systems.

The proposed refurbishment will not alter the current state of the property, which will remain as part of a single residence within a block of residential apartments. Therefore there will be no significant change in discharge to the existing drainage and sewage systems and there will be little or no impact on the foul drainage.

Surface water will not be altered as the proposed works are underground and there will be no change to the external 'hard surfaces'.

POTENTIAL IMPACT ON EXISTING AND PROPOSED TREES

The property does not have a garden, therefore no existing trees will be felled during the construction of the proposed basement. In addition, there are no trees protected by Tree Preservation Orders in the vicinity of the proposed basement that will be damaged by the construction works.

Prepared By

John S Brown I.Eng A.M.I.StructE

June 2024

APPENDICES

The following appendices are included with this report.

Appendix A -	Trial Hole Survey Report by SC Investigations Ltd
Appendix B -	Calculation sheets S5577 / 1 - 7
Appendix C -	Drawings S5577 GA01, GA02 & D01
Appendix D -	Drawing S5577 MS01-02
Appendix E -	Underpinning Specification

APPENDIX A

TRIAL HOLE REPORT BY S CHICK INVESTIGATIONS















APPENDIX B

CALCULATION SHEETS

S5577 / 01 - 07



Structural Calculations

For

Proposed Structural Alterations Flat 1, Giles Building Upper Hampstead Walk London NW3 1DE

Anderson Consulting Engineers 20 Eastbourne Terrace Paddington W2 6LG

0203 008 8356

Project No S5577

	Project		Job Ref	
Anderson	Flat 1, Giles Building, Upper Hampstead Walk, London, NW3 1DE Calcs		S5577	
Consulting Engineers	Drawing Ref	Calculations by	Checked by	Sheet
20 Eastbourne Terrace		JSB		1
Paddington	Part of Structure		Date	
W2 6LG 0203 008 8356	Redevelopment	t of basement	27/05/24	

Loadings (Service Loads)

<u>Flat roof</u>	
Dead Loads	
Felt and chippings	0.45 kN/m ²
Boards and joists	0.20 kN/m ²
Ceiling	0.20 kN/m ²
Services	0.15 kN/m ²
Total Dead Load	1.00 kN/m^2
Imposed Load	0.75 kN/m^2
Pitched Roof	
Dead Loads	
Slate and felt	$0.35 \text{kN}/\text{m}^2$
Boards and joists	$0.25 \text{ kN}/\text{m}^2$
Ceiling	$0.25 \text{ kN}/\text{m}^2$
Services	$0.25 \text{ kN}/\text{m}^2$
Total Dead Land	1 00 kN/m ²
Ioral Deda Loda	1.00 KN/M
Tmpogad Load Doof	$0.75 k N / m^2$
Imposed Load Coiling	$0.75 \text{ kN}/\text{m}^2$
Imposed Load Centry	0.23 km/m^2
iotai Imposea Loading	1.00 KN/m-
Timber Floors	
<u>Timber Floors</u>	
Dead Loads	0.25 411/m2
Bodras and joists	0.35 kin/m^2
Celling	0.25 kN/m^{-1}
Services	0.20 KN/m ²
lotal Dead Load	0.80 kN/m ²
Imposed Load	1.50 kN/m²
Room and Plack Floors	
Dead Loads	
Dedu Lodus	2 10 401/m2
Screed	2.10 kin/m^2
Floor swf	2.80 km/m^{-2}
Services	0.20 KN/m ²
lotal Dead Load	5.10 kN/m ²
Imposed Load	1.50 kN/m²
Partitions (to whole floor area)	0.50 kN/m²
Walls	
105 Brickwork + plaster	2.60 kN/m ²
215 Brickwork + plaster	5.10 kN/m ²
330 Brickwork + Plaster	7.20 kN/m ²
Cavity brick / block (100/100)	4.20 kN/m ²

	Project		Job Ref	
Anderson	Flat 1, Giles Building, Upper Hampstead Walk, London, NW3 1DE Calcs		S5577	
Consulting Engineers	Drawing Ref	Calculations by	Checked by	Sheet
20 Eastbourne Terrace		JSB		2
Paddington	Part of Structure		Date	
0203 008 8356	Redevelopmen	t of basement	27/05/24	

<u>External Wall</u>

Loading									
Location	Dead load	Live load	Height/Dist	Dead Load	Live Load	Total load			
	kN/m ²	kN/m ²		Gk	Qk	kN/m			
Roof	1.00	0.75	2.0	2.0	1.5	3.5			
Wall 1st-roof	7.20	0.00	12.5	90.0	0.0	90.0			
Wall LG-1st	9.50	0.00	7.0	66.5	0.0	66.5			
3rd Floor	1.30	1.50	2.0	2.6	3.0	5.6			
2nd Floor	1.30	1.50	2.0	2.6	3.0	5.6			
1st Floor	1.30	1.50	2.0	2.6	3.0	5.6			
Grd Floor	1.30	1.50	2.0	2.6	3.0	5.6			
		Total Servi	ce Load	168.9	13.5	182.4			
		Total ULS	Load	236.5	21.6	258.1			

Existing foundation width estimated to be 850mm

Current GBP = 215 kN/m²

The retained height varies from 2.5m to 3.5m

	Project		Job Ref	
Anderson	Flat 1, Giles Building, Upper Hampstead Walk, London, NW3 1DE Calcs		S5577	
Consulting Engineers	Drawing Ref	Calculations by	Checked by	Sheet
20 Eastbourne Terrace		JSB		3
Paddington	Part of Structure		Date	
w2 6LG 0203 008 8356	Redevelopment of basement		27/05/24	

C:\Users\Jackie Brown\Dropbox\Anderson\5 - Projects\Masterseries Files\Retaining Walls\S5577 RW1.MRW

MASTERKEY : RETAINING WALL DESIGN TO BS 8002 : 1994 AND BS 8110 : 1997 Basic RC Retaining Wall Reinforced Concrete Retaining Wall with Reinforced Base



Summary of Design Data

NotesAll dimensions are in mm and all forces are per metre runMaterial Densities (kN/m³)Dry Soil 18.00, Saturated Soil 20.80, Submerged Soil 10., Concrete 24.00Concrete gradefcu 35 N/mm², Permissible tensile stress 0.250 N/mm²Concrete covers (mm)Wall inner cover 70 mm, Wall outer cover 40 mm, Base cover 50 mmReinforcement designfy 500 N/mm² designed to BS 8110: 1997Surcharge and Water TableSurcharge 2.50 kN/m², Water table level 2500 mmUnplanned excavation depthFront of wall 390 mm† The Engineer must satisfy him/herself to the reinforcement detailing requirements of the relevant codes of practice

Additional Loads

Wall Propped at Base Level Vertical Line Loads

+ Dimensions

Soil Properties

Bearing pressure Back Soil Friction and Cohesion Base Friction and Cohesion Front Soil Friction and Cohesion

Loading Cases

Gsoil - Soil Self Weight, Gwall - Wall & Base Self Weight, Fv_{Heel} - Vertical Loads over Heel,
Pa - Active Earth Pressure, P_{surcharge} - Earth pressure from surcharge, Pp - Passive Earth Pressure
Case 1: Geotechnical DesignEarth pressure from surcharge, Pp - Passive Earth Pressure
1.00 Gsoil+1.00 Gwall+1.00 Fv_{Heel}+1.00 Pa+1.00 Psurcharge+1.00 Pp
1.40 Gsoil+1.40 Gwall+1.60 Fv_{Heel}+1.00 Pa+1.00 Psurcharge+1.00 Pp

Therefore no sliding check is required 169 kN/m @ X -225 mm and Y 0 mm - Load type Live 14 kN/m @ X -225 mm and Y 0 mm - Load type Live Ties, line loads and partial loads are measured from the inner top edge of the wall Premissable service pressure @ front 200.00 kN/m², @ back 200.00 kN/m²

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Premissable service pressure @ front 200.00 kN/m^2, @ back 200.00 kN/m^2} \\ \Phi = Atn(Tan(20)/1.2) = 16.87^{\circ} \\ \delta = Atn(0.75xTan(Atn(Tan(20)/1.2))) = 12.82^{\circ} \\ \Phi = Atn(Tan(30)/1.2) = 25.69^{\circ} \end{array}$

	Project		Job Ref	
Anderson	Flat 1, Giles Building, Upper Hampstead Walk, London, NW3 1DE Calcs		S5577	
Consulting Engineers	Drawing Ref	Calculations by	Checked by	Sheet
20 Eastbourne Terrace		JSB		4
Paddington	Part of Structure		Date	
W2 6LG 0203 008 8356	Redevelopmen	t of basement	27/05/24	

Geotechnical Design

Wall Stability - Virtual	Back Pressure		
Case 1 Overturning/Stabilising	121.246/422.542	0.287	OK
Wall Sliding - Virtual B	ack Pressure		
Fx/(Rx _{Friction} + Rx _{Passive}) Prop Reaction Case 2 (Service)	0.000/(57.084+0.000) 97.7 kN @ Base	0.000	ОК
Soil Pressure			
Virtual Back (No unlift)	May(75 783/200 157 649/200) kN/m ²	0 788	OK
Wall Back (No uplift)	Max(90.868/200, 142.564/200) kN/m ²	0.713	OK
	Structural Design		
At Rest Earth Pressure	-		
At rest earth pressures magnification	$(1+Sin(\Phi)) \times \sqrt{OCR} = (1+Sin(16.87)) \times \sqrt{1}$		1.29
Prop Reaction			
Maximum Prop Reaction (Ultimate)	134.8 kN @ Base		
Wall Design (Inner Ste	eel)		
Critical Section	Critical @ 0 mm from base, Case 2		
Steel Provided (Cover)	Main H20@200 (70 mm) Dist. H10@125 (90 mm)	1571 mm²	OK
Compression Steel Provided (Cover)	Main H20@200 (40 mm) Dist. H10@300 (60 mm)	1571 mm²	
Leverarm z=fn(d,b,As,fy,Fcu)	370 mm, 1000 mm, 1571 mm ² , 500 N/mm ² , 35.0	348 mm	
Mr = fn(above As' d' x x/d)	N/MM ² 1571 mm ² 50 mm 49 mm 0.13	237 9 kN m	
Moment Capacity Check (M/Mr)	M 122 8 kN m Mr 237 9 kN m	0 516	ОК
Wall Axial Design (N/Ncap)	N 345.7 kN, Ncap 6300.0 kN	0.055	OK
Wall Slenderness λ	Leff/tk =2.00x3500.0/450.0	15.6	OK
Kmin = (Nuz-N)/(Nuz-Nbal)	Min(1.0, 7000.0 - 345.7)/(7000.0 - 2511.4)	1.0	
$M_{add} = N.Kmin.h.\lambda^2/2000$	345.7x1.0x450.0x15.6²/2000	18.7kN.m	
(M+Madd)/Mr _{Axial}	M+Madd 141.5 kN, Mr _{Axail} 296.8 kN.m	0.477	OK
Shear Capacity Check	F 108.7 kN, vc 0.542 N/mm², Fvr 200.5 kN	0.54	OK
Base Top Steel Design			
Steel Provided (Cover)	Main H20@200 (50 mm) Dist. H10@150 (70 mm)	1571 mm²	OK
Compression Steel Provided (Cover)	Main H20@20 (50 mm) Dist. H10@150 (70 mm)	15708 mm ²	
Leverarm z=fn(d,b,As,fy,Fcu)	340 mm, 1000 mm, 15/1 mm ² , 500 N/mm ² , 35 N/mm ²	318 mm	
Mr=m(above,As',d',X,X/d)	$15/08 \text{ mm}^2$, 60 mm, 49 mm, 0.14	217.4 KN.M	OK
Shear Capacity Check	$F \cap O kN$ vc \cap 569 N/mm ² Evr 193 5 kN	0.000	OK
Base Bottom Steel Dec	sian	0.00	ÖK
Steel Provided (Cover)	Main H20@20 (50 mm) Dict H10@150 (70 mm)	15708 mm2	OK
Compression Steel Provided (Cover)	Main H20@20 (50 mm) Dist. $H10@150$ (70 mm)	1570 mm ²	UK UK
Leverarm $z=fn(d.b.As.fv.Fcu)$	340 mm, 1000 mm, 15708 mm ² , 500 N/mm ² , 35	264 mm	
	N/mm ²		
Mr=fn(above,As',d',x,x/d)	1571 mm², 60 mm, 170 mm, 0.50	818.5 kN.m	
Moment Capacity Check (M/Mr)	M 136.1 kN.m, Mr 818.5 kN.m	0.166	OK
Shear Capacity Check	F 211.5 kN, vc 1.062 N/mm², Fvr 361.1 kN	0.59	OK

C:\Users\Jackie Brown\Dropbox\Anderson\5 - Projects\Masterseries Files\Retaining Walls\S5577 RW1 2m.MRW

	Project		Job Ref	
Anderson	Flat 1, Giles Building, Upper Hampstead Walk, London, NW3 1DE Calcs		S5577	
Consulting Engineers	Drawing Ref	Calculations by	Checked by	Sheet
20 Eastbourne Terrace		JSB		5
Paddington	Part of Structure		Date	
0203 008 8356	Redevelopment of basement		27/05/24	

MASTERKEY : RETAINING WALL DESIGN TO BS 8002 : 1994 AND BS 8110:1997 **Basic RC Retaining Wall**

Reinforced Concrete Retaining Wall with Reinforced Base



Summary of Design Data All dimensions are in mm and all forces are per metre run

Notes Material Densities (kN/m³) Concrete grade Concrete covers (mm) Reinforcement design Surcharge and Water Table Unplanned excavation depth

Additional Loads

Wall Propped at Base Level Vertical Line Loads

† Dimensions

Soil Properties

Bearing pressure Back Soil Friction and Cohesion Base Friction and Cohesion Front Soil Friction and Cohesion

Loading Cases

G_{soil} - Soil Self Weight, G_{Wall} - Wall & Base Self Weight, Fv_{Heel} - Vertical Loads over Heel, Case 1: Geotechnical Design Case 2: Structural Ultimate Design

Front of wall 280 mm ⁺ The Engineer must satisfy him/herself to the reinforcement detailing requirements of the relevant codes of practice Therefore no sliding check is required 169 kN/m @ X -225 mm and Y 0 mm - Load type Live 14 kN/m @ X -225 mm and Y 0 mm - Load type Live Ties, line loads and partial loads are measured from the inner top edge of the wall

Dry Soil 18.00, Saturated Soil 20.80, Submerged Soil 10., Concrete 24.00

Wall inner cover 70 mm, Wall outer cover 40 mm, Base cover 50 mm

fcu 35 N/mm², Permissible tensile stress 0.250 N/mm²

Surcharge 2.50 kN/m², Water table level 1400 mm

fy 500 N/mm² designed to BS 8110: 1997

Premissable service pressure @ front 230.00 kN/m², @ back 230.00 kN/m² $\Phi = Atn(Tan(20)/1.2) = 16.87^{\circ}$ $\delta = Atn(0.75xTan(Atn(Tan(20)/1.2))) = 12.82^{\circ}$ $\Phi = Atn(Tan(30)/1.2) = 25.69^{\circ}$

Pa - Active Earth Pressure, Psurcharge - Earth pressure from surcharge, Pp - Passive Earth Pressure 1.00 G_{Soil}+1.00 G_{Wall}+1.00 Fv_{Heel}+1.00 P_a+1.00 P_{surcharge}+1.00 P_p

1.40 G_{Soil}+1.40 G_{Wall}+1.60 Fv_{Heel}+1.00 P_a+1.00 P_{surcharge}+1.00 P_p

Geotechnical Design

Wall Stability - Virtual Back Pressure

Case 1 Overturning/Stabilising

42.696/450.078

	Project	Job Ref		
	Flat 1, Giles Building, Upper	S5577		
	Hampstead Walk, London, NV	/3		
Anderson	1DE Calcs			
Consulting Engineers	Drawing Ref Calculations by	Checked by	Sheet	
20 Fastbourne Terrace	JSB		6	
Paddington	Part of Structure	Date		
W2 6LG 0203 008 8356	Redevelopment of basement	27/05/24		
	•			
Wall Sliding - Virtual Ba	ck Pressure		0.000	Ok
Prop Reaction Case 2 (Service)	47.7 kN @ Base		0.000	Or
Soil Pressure		2.426	0.005	0
Virtual Back Wall Back	226.588/230 kN/m ² , Length under press 220.595/230 kN/m ² , Length under press	ure 2.126 m ure 2.184 m	0.985 0.959	OK
	Structural Desig	IN		
At Rest Earth Pressure		•		
At rest earth pressures magnification	$(1+Sin(\Phi)) \times \sqrt{OCR} = (1+Sin(16.87)) \times \sqrt{16}$			1.29
Prop Reaction	66 1 kN @ Base			
Wall Design (Inner Stee				
Critical Section	Critical @ 0 mm from base, Case 2			
Steel Provided (Cover)	Main H20@200 (70 mm) Dist. H10@12	5 (90 mm)	1571 mm ²	Ok
Leverarm z=fn(d,b,As,fy,Fcu)	370 mm, 1000 mm, 1571 mm ² , 500 N/m	um², 35.0	348 mm	
Mr=fn(above,As',d',x,x/d)	1571 mm ² , 50 mm, 49 mm, 0.13		237.9 kN.m	
Moment Capacity Check (M/Mr)	M 38.2 kN.m, Mr 237.9 kN.m		0.161	Ok
Wall Axial Design (N/NCap) Wall Slenderness λ	10 329.1 km, incap 0300.0 km Leff/tk =2.00x2400.0/450 0		0.052	
Kmin = (Nuz-N)/(Nuz-Nbal)	Min(1.0, 7000.0 - 329.1)/(7000.0 - 2511	.4)	1.0	51
$M_{add} = N.Kmin.h.\lambda^2/2000$	329.1x1.0x450.0x10.7 ² /2000		8.3kN.m	
(IMHMadd)/Mr _{Axial} Shear Capacity Check	ті+тіада 46.5 кіх, міг _{Ахаіі} 294.6 кіх.m F 48.6 kN. vc 0.542 N/mm ² . Fvr 200 5 kl	N	0.158	OK OK
Base Top Steel Design		-	5.2 1	01
Steel Provided (Cover)	Main H20@200 (50 mm) Dist. H10@15	0 (70 mm)	1571 mm²	Ok
Compression Steel Provided (Cover)	Main H20@20 (50 mm) Dist. H10@150	(70 mm)	15708 mm ²	
Leverarm $z=fn(d,b,As,fy,Fcu)$	340 mm, 1000 mm, 1571 mm ² , 500 N/m	1m², 35 N/mm²	318 mm	
Moment Capacity Check (M/Mr)	M 1.9 kN.m, Mr 217.4 kN.m		0.009	٥k
Shear Capacity Check	F 12.0 kN, vc 0.569 N/mm², Fvr 193.5 kl	N	0.06	Ok
Base Bottom Steel Desig	gn			
Steel Provided (Cover)	Main H20@20 (50 mm) Dist. H10@150	(70 mm)	15708 mm ²	Ok
Leverarm z=fn(d,b,As,fy,Fcu)	340 mm, 1000 mm, 15708 mm ² , 500 N/	mm², 35	264 mm	
Mr=fn(above,As'.d'.x.x/d)	N/mm² 1571 mm², 60 mm, 170 mm. 0.50		818.5 kN.m	
Moment Capacity Check (M/Mr)	M 55.1 kN.m, Mr 818.5 kN.m		0.067	OK
	E 140 7 kN vc 1 062 N/mm2 Evr 361 1	ZNI	0.30	

	Project		Job Ref	
Anderson	Flat 1, Giles Building, Upper Hampstead Walk, London, NW3 1DE Calcs		S5577	
Consulting Engineers	Drawing Ref	Calculations by	Checked by	Sheet
20 Eastbourne Terrace		JSB		7
Paddington	Part of Structure		Date	
W2 6LG 0203 008 8356	Redevelopment	t of basement	27/05/24	

External Walls to spare room

Loading							
Location	Dead load	Live load	Height/Dist	Dead Load	Live Load	Total load	
	kN/m ²	kN/m ²		Gk	Qk	kN/m	
Roof	1.00	0.75	1.0	1.0	0.8	1.8	
Wall 1st-roof	7.20	0.00 0.00	11.5 7.0	82.8 66.5	0.0 0.0	82.8 66.5	
Wall LG-1st	9.50						
3rd Floor	1.30	1.50	1.4	1.8	2.1	3.9	
2nd Floor	1.30	1.50	1.4	1.8	2.1	3.9	
1st Floor	1.30	1.50	1.4	1.8	2.1	3.9	
Grd Floor	1.30	1.50	1.4	1.8	2.1	3.9	
		Total Service Load Total ULS Load		157.6	9.2	166.7	
				220.6	14.6	235.3	

Width of foundation required = 167/200 = 0.83m

<u>Use 900 wide x 300 deep mass concrete foundation.</u>

Internal load bearing wall

Loading

Location	Dead load	Live load	Height/Dist	Dead Load	Live Load	Total load
	kN/m ²	kN/m ²		Gk	Qk	kN/m
Roof	1.00	0.75	3.0	3.0	2.3	5.3
Wall Grd-roof	7.20	0.00	18.0	129.6	0.0	129.6
3rd Floor	1.30	1.50	3.0	3.9	4.5	8.4
2nd Floor	1.30	1.50	3.0	3.9	4.5	8.4
1st Floor	1.30	1.50	3.0	3.9	4.5	8.4
Grd Floor	1.30	1.50	3.0	3.9	4.5	8.4
		Total Service Load Total ULS Load		148.2	20.3	168.5
				207.5	32.4	239.9

Width of foundation required = 168/200 = 0.84m

<u>Use 900 wide × 300 deep mass concrete foundation.</u>

APPENDIX C

DRAWINGS S5577 GA01, GA02 & D01



Web: www.andersoneg.co.uk



A	-	-	-	
REV	DATE	BY	DETAILS OF REVISION	
PRO		- D.	ildia a	PROJECT NO. S5577
υ	oper Har	npst	ead Walk	DRAWING NO. D01
Lo	ndon, N	W3	1DE	REVISION
TITL	E	<u> </u>		DRAWN BY JW
St	ructural	Deta	alls Sheet 1 of 2	DATE June 2024
				SCALE 1:20@A3
A	NDERSC)N		S
20 Pad Lon W2 Tel:	Eastbourne 1 Idington don 6LG 0203 008 8	Terrace 3356	43 Greek Street Stockport Cheshire SK3 8AX Tel: 0161 406 2033	
Em Web	ail: info 9 and : www.ander	ersone soneg.	g.co.uk co.uk	



A	-	-	-			
REV	DATE	BY	DETAILS OF REVISION			
PRO	JECT			PR S55	DJECT NO.	
Η	at 1, Gile oper Han	es Bi npst	ead Walk	DR D02	AWING NO.	
Lc	ndon, N	W3	1DE	RE' 	VISION	
	E			DR. JW	AWN BY	
St	ructural	Deta	alls Sheet 2 of 2	DA Jun	TE e 2024	
				SC. 1:20	ALE D@A3	
A	NDERSC	N	CONSULTING ENG	GINEERS		
20 Pac Lon W2 Tel:	Eastbourne 1 Idington don 6LG 0203 008 8	errace 3356	43 Greek Street Stockport Cheshire SK3 BAX Tel: 0161 406 2033			
Em Web	Email: info@andersoneg.co.uk Web: www.andersoneg.co.uk					

APPENDIX D

CONSTRUCTION SEQUENCE MS01 & 02



STAGE 0

EXISTING CONDITION



STAGE 1 GENERAL LEVEL REDUCTION



STAGE 2 EXCAVATE TO FORM UNDERPIN



STAGE 4 ERECT SHUTTER CONCRETE STEM OF UNDERPIN



STAGE 5

STRIKE SHUTTER WHEN CONCRETE HAS GAINED SUFFICIENT STRENGTH, DRYPACK, TRIM - OFF PROJECTING FOOTING, RE-PROP UNTIL BASEMENT SLAB IS CAST.

TO BE READ IN CONJUCTION WITH CONSTRUCTION METHOD STATEMENT



STAGE 6

COMMENCE EXCAVATION OF CENTRAL BERM. ONCE EXCAVATION IS 500mm ABOVE FORMATION LEVEL INSTALL PROPS ACROSS SITE AT LOW LEVEL.

С				
В				
A	-	-	-	
REV	DATE	BY	DETAILS OF REVISION	
	PROJECT			PROJECT NO. S5577
FI U	Flat 1, Giles Building Upper Hampstead Walk			DRAWING NO. MS01
London, NW3 1DE			REVISION	



STAGE 3 CONCRETE BASE OF UNDERPIN



8356

SK3 8AX Tel: 0161 406 2033

info@andersoneg.co.uk www.andersonea.co.uk



STAGE 7 COMPLETE EXCAVATION TO FORMATION LEVEL







STAGE 8 CAST BASEMENT SLAB AND LET CURE

TO BE READ IN CONJUCTION WITH CONSTRUCTION METHOD STATEMENT

с						
B A	-	-	-			
REV	DATE	BY	DETAILS OF REVISION			
PROJECT				PROJECT NO. S5577		
FI U	Flat 1, Giles Building Upper Hampstead Walk			DRAWING NO. MS01		
London, NW3 1DE			REVISION -			

TITLE

Underpinning Construction Methodology

Sheet 2 of 2

DRAWN BY

JW DATE

June 2024

SCALE

1:50@A3

NDERSON CONSULTING ENGINEERS

Eastbourne Terrace Idington don 6LG 0203 008 8356 43 Greek Street Stockport Cheshire SK3 8AX Tel: 0161 406 2033

ail: info@andersoneg.co.uk p: www.andersoneg.co.uk

APPENDIX E

UNDERPINNING SPECIFICATION



Specification:	Underpinning
Project:	Flat 1, Giles Building, Upper Hampstead Road, NW3 1DE
Date of issue:	June 2024
Prepared by:	J S Brown
Revision:	-

Underpinning Specification

- 1. The walls to the perimeter of the new basement shall be underpinned in reinforced concrete. The underpins shall take the vertical loads from the walls and horizontal loads from the earth.
- 2. Underpinning bases shall be excavated in short sections not exceeding 1000mm in width.
- 3. The sequence of the underpinning shall be such that any given underpin will be completed, drypacked and a minimum period of 48 hours lapsed before an adjacent excavation commenced to form another underpin.
- 4. In the event that the existing foundations to the wall are found to be unstable, sacrificial steel jacks shall be installed underneath the foundation to prop the bottom few courses of bricks. These steel jacks shall be left in place and shall be incorporated into the concrete stem.
- 5. In the event that the ground is unstable, lateral propping shall be provided as required to the rear of the excavation and to the sides of the excavated working trench. The front and side faces of the excavation shall be propped using trench sheeting or plywood, timber boards and acrow props as appropriate. Sacrificial back shutters shall be used to the rear face of the excavation (i.e. underneath the wall) if required. Cementitious grout will be poured behind the back shutters to fill up the voids behind the back shutters.
- 6. Excavation for an underpin section shall be dug in a day, and the concrete to the base shall be poured by the end of the same day.
- 7. The concrete to the stem of the underpin shall be poured the following day. This shall be poured up to within 50 75mm of the underside of the existing wall foundations.
- 8. On the following day, the gap between the concrete and the underside of the existing foundation shall be drypacked with Conbextra GP as manufactured by Fosroc Ltd.
- 9. Once the drypack has gained sufficient strength, any protrusions of the footings into our site shall be carefully trimmed back using hand tools to avoid causing any damage to the foundation. The protrusions shall be trimmed back to be flush in-line with the face of the wall above.
- 10. A minimum of 48 hours shall be allowed before adjacent sections are excavated to form a new underpin.
- 11. Adjacent underpins shall be connected using T12 dowel bars 800mm long, 400mm embedment each side, at 300mm vertical centres.
- 12. Concrete cover to reinforcement shall be 35mm for cast against shutter or the top surface of the basement slab, 50mm for cast against blinding and 75mm for cast against earth.
- 13. Grade of concrete shall be C35 with minimum cement content 300kg/m³, maximum free water to cement ratio 0.60, slump 100mm.