Application 2024/1274P Objection to Planning Application

Re: 73 South End Road NW3 2RJ

We are the owners and residents at 75 South End Road, a neighbouring property to number 73, who will be adversely affected by this proposed development.

There are many aspects of this proposed development which seem to contravene Camden's own rules but we will limit our objections to the main points that affect us.

- 1, **Extension to flat**: The proposal to extend the garden entrance will bring it into our sight and hearing which will adversely affect us.
- 2. **Garden:** We consider trees to be very precious and are a lovely feature of our area. To propose to remove several mature trees and "adjust" the magnolia in the adjacent garden on the far side, to enable a large structure to be built, must be resisted as this will have a significantly adverse effect on the nature of this area. All the trees are category B and should remain. Apart from their beauty they contribute to the wild life we try to encourage. We believe the removal of the trees is contrary to the London plan for our area.
- 3. New Home-working building in the Garden: This is currently described as a large structure and needs to be scaled down in size. The proposed structure would be unnecessarily large for "Homeworking" but there is a hint of a "Home office" or "Gym and Office". Reference is made to other buildings in the area which is misleading as they are much much smaller. We are concerned that if approval is given for this building it could be used as a precedent for other unsightly developments in other gardens to the detriment of the Conservation area and should be refused

Garden walls in the Conservation area. The boundary and heritage walls of the gardens affected by this application are generally very old and are part of the heritage in this area and make a major contribution The structure is shown to be close to the boundary and ancient walls would need to be demolished.

Dominant new structure. The proposed new structure will not be seen from the street but would intrude on the adjacent gardens to the detriment of the Conservation area.

Conclusion:

This application should be refused on Camden's own policies and our area protected from inappropriate developments in our Conservation Area and Public Open Space area-.

Signatures:	
R.I Paterson	
R.A. Savage	