

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2024/0479/P	Victoria Aitken	22/06/2024 06:55:42	OBJ	<p>Post-application Amendments to the Scheme</p> <p>We continue to object strongly to this scheme as the amendments have done nothing to allay our concerns which remain the same. We ask Camden to reconsider this application so the major issues for the area and the residents are addressed.</p> <p>Our flat is on the second floor of the Chalk House facing the development.</p> <p>A major concern is the projected loss of daylight and sunlight hours to the flat and the block. From the Daylight and Sunlight Report submitted by the developers it is projected for window W10 (Living room) there will be a 34% reduction in daylight and a 41% loss of sunlight hours. Both fail to meet BRE Criteria. (Appendix C p65, Windows W10).</p> <p>Further, there would be a 12% loss of Sky Line View in the flat. (Appendix C p69, Windows W10). This reduction is below the recommended levels. The health and quality of life impact of this level of loss are hugely worrying especially when considering depression and falls (Brown + Jacobs, 2011)</p> <p>The proposed site was previously an office block where people worked office hours 5 days per week. The new proposal will house students for 24hrs/7days who can look directly into the flat. This will greatly reduce and massively intrude on our privacy.</p> <p>In addition, the proposed project offers the local community very little in the form of affordable homes and amenities. Further, the arrival of 250+ people in this already busy area has the potential to increase noise levels over a 24 hour period and to place strain on a creaking infrastructure such as rubbish collection.</p> <p>Mary Jean Brown , David E. Jacobs Residential Light and Risk for Depression and Falls: Results from the LARES Study of Eight European Cities. Public Health Rep. 2011; 126(Suppl 1): 131–140.</p>
