
From:
Sent: 22 June 2024 18:53
To: Planning
Subject: Application 2024/1247/P

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] Beware – This email originated outside Camden Council and may be malicious Please take extra care with any links, attachments, requests to take action or for you to verify your password etc.

This mail is sent on behalf of Dame Janet Suzman.

PLANNING OBJECTION re 73 South End Rd, NW3 2RJ

| Dear Planning Committee,

In addition to the comprehensive comments made to you by my immediate neighbour, Mr. Stephen Bobash, I would like to add certain comments of my own.

| Extension to garden flat

The plan to extend the garden entrance will bring it well into the sight and hearing of my house. Hitherto the piercing voice of babies and toddlers carry right into my garden and kitchen but I can cope if I don't have to have them in full view plus nannies and mummies.

The plan to extend the terrace needs to be less intrusive and more thoughtful. It needs a re-think. Intrusive noise pollution must be part of your thinking, I am sure?

The garden

To clarify: my kitchen window at No 11 Keats Grove has a see-through garden wall which allows me a pleasant visual access to the verdant garden referred to. Any diminution of this verdant area by the cutting down of healthy trees and especially a very fine mature buddleia tree at its centre would be highly detrimental, as well as counter to regulations.

I would also make another point and that is with clear warning signs of very hot days to come in the months and years ahead, and with the sound of the applicant's children's voices loud and clear in my garden, I would suggest that diminishing the possibility of shade in what is a play-area is most unwise. Urban spaces need 'lungs' and this particular 'lung' also serves the 7 households surrounding it.

I also support the clear objections coming from the neighbours abutting the other end of the green space to the proposed size of the new building. It looks as if, even from the inadequate drawings, that it would be overbearing and out of all proportion. The plans do not make clear what use is intended for it and why a garden outbuilding should be so tall. I fear an intended usage for it quite at odds with normal garden usage.

Please oppose this unneighbourly and somewhat selfish proposal since it affects so many houses and not just the usual two on either side of a proposal.

Yours sincerely,
Dame Janet Suzman DBE

|