

Sir/Madam

I note that, again, a paper is going to a member's briefing meeting for a planning application in the South Hampstead conservation area which implies a CAAC has been consulted - specifically "No comment received from Hampstead CAAC".

This gives a misleading impression to the members of the briefing committee.

Hampstead CAAC does not cover South Hampstead area.

So, if they were consulted it is not surprising they didn't comment as they don't have a remit but, as drafted the paper gives the impression they were consulted but didn't have a view.

CRASH would request that this is corrected, and, is not repeated in future papers. If it is a requirement to say something about a CAAC being consulted and CRASH cannot be treated with the same merit as a CAAC (it is the named body in the area's Camden conservation statement but is not part of a CAAC) it would be fairer to say there isn't one.

Kind regards

Lucy Findlay, CRASH Committee