				Printed on: 20/06/2024 09:10:08
Application No:	<b>Consultees Name:</b>	Received:	<b>Comment:</b>	Response:
2024/1973/P	Ian McDonald	16/06/2024 14:06:34	ОВЈ	2024/1973/P As a long-term resident of Wilmot Place, I would also like to object to this application to modify the roofline of no.9.
				Rochester Conservation Area I make ref. to the detrimental effect of mansard roof on existing buildings in the Rochester Conservation area, as outlined in R25, in Camden Council's statement of 12th Dec 2001. Also no. 9 is identified as one making a positive contribution to the area - so it doesn't need "improving"
				Misleading application documentation  The adjacent buildings as represented on the application drawings, bear little resemblance to what's on site. In particular, the proposed North Elevation appears to have been drawn to mislead one into believing there is [precedence] of a similar mansard roof extension on no.8.
				Structural risk In addition to the cultural aspect, adding additional weight to an old structure may result in structural damage to both no. 8 & 9 Wilmot Place.