

For official use only (date received): 13/06/2024 11:40:55

## The Planning Inspectorate

### COMMENTS ON CASE (Online Version)

Please note that comments about this case need to be made within the timetable. This can be found in the notification letter sent by the local planning authority or the start date letter. Comments submitted after the deadline may be considered invalid and returned to sender.

**Appeal Reference: APP/X5210/W/24/3341090**

#### DETAILS OF THE CASE

Appeal Reference

Appeal By

Site Address

#### SENDER DETAILS

Name

Address

Company/Group/Organisation Name

#### ABOUT YOUR COMMENTS

In what capacity do you wish to make representations on this case?

- Appellant
- Agent
- Interested Party / Person
- Land Owner
- Rule 6 (6)

What kind of representation are you making?

- Final Comments
- Proof of Evidence
- Statement
- Statement of Common Ground
- Interested Party/Person Correspondence
- Other

#### **YOUR COMMENTS ON THE CASE**

BRAG is an amenity group that offers support to residents within our area of interest (the three wards of South Camden). We object to the proposal to construct a new dwelling on the roof of 103 King's Cross Road, as per application to Camden (2022/2623/P) which is being appealed as per case 3341090. We oppose this proposal for the same reasons as were submitted in our objection to Camden's Planning department (harm to heritage and impact on residential amenity). I note that Camden Refused the application on the grounds of harm to heritage, amongst other policy infringements. I am also a member of the Bloomsbury Conservation Area Advisory Committee (BCAAC) and object to the proposed development, especially in regard to the harm to the Grade II listed buildings on Frederick Street. The existing building is already out of scale in context, and harm to the Bloomsbury Conservation Area is a key reason for refusal. The Appellant puts forward NO compelling argument for overturning Camden Council's decision to refuse the application, and the principle of a roof extension in this location remains unacceptable, as it will add to the existing height of the building. Therefore I respectfully urge you to uphold Camden's decision to refuse permission for this proposal. Thank you for the opportunity to comment further.