For official use only (date received): 14/06/2024 12:17:49

## **The Planning Inspectorate**

COMMENTS ON CASE (Online Version)

Please note that comments about this case need to be made within the timetable. This can be found in the notification letter sent by the local planning authority or the start date letter. Comments submitted after the deadline may be considered invalid and returned to sender.

## Appeal Reference: APP/X5210/W/24/3341090

DETAILS OF THE CASE					
Appeal Reference	APP/X5210/W/24/3341090				
Appeal By	HOL PROPERTIES (UK) LIMITED				
Site Address	103 King's Cross Road LONDON WC1X 9LP				

SENDER DETAILS			
Name			
Address	Bloomsbury Conse	ervation Areas Advisory Con	nmittee
Company/Group/Organis	ation Name	Bloomsbury Conservation	Areas Advisory Committee

ABOUT YOUR COMMENTS
In what capacity do you wish to make representations on this case?
☐ Appellant
□ Agent
☑ Interested Party / Person
□ Land Owner
□ Rule 6 (6)
What kind of representation are you making?
☐ Final Comments
☐ Proof of Evidence
□ Statement
☐ Statement of Common Ground
☑ Interested Party/Person Correspondence

□ Other	
---------	--

## YOUR COMMENTS ON THE CASE

The committee objects to the proposal to construct a dwelling on the roof of 103 King's Cross Road, WC1X 9LP, as per application # 2022/2623/P, appeal case # 3341090.

We oppose this proposal for the same reasons as those given for the refusal of planning permission by the Planning Authority of the Borough of Camden in its Decision Notice of 10 November 2023.

Furthermore, we oppose this proposal because the basis for appeal set out by the appellant presents no compelling arguments to refute the fundamental grounds for the refusal of planning permission described in detail by the Planning Authority of the Borough of Camden in its Decision Report of 10 November 2023. These fundamental grounds include, as re-emphasized on 18 January 2024 by the Principal Planner (Conservation), Ms. Catherine Bond: "the principle of a roof extension is not acceptable in this case...the issues I raised previously generally still stand about any additional height on this prominent building."

Therefore, we respectfully urge you to uphold the decision by the Planning Authority of the Borough of Camden to refuse planning permission for this proposal.