				Printed	n:	11/06/2024	09:10:12
Application No:	<b>Consultees Name:</b>	Received:	<b>Comment:</b>	Response:			
2024/2047/P	Christiane Ten-Hoopen	09/06/2024 19:06:31	OBJ	Dear Daren Zuk,			
	ren rioopen			While we appreciate that efforts have been made to mitigate damage entailed by the installati external lift off the pavement and the huge piece of scanning equipment in the basement, we these changes harm the listed building and also the Conservaton Area. The canopy lift will be externally on the main facade and entails interference with the original pattern of railings and The amount of structural work necessary to make the opening for the scanning machine is exhave to be repeated when the machine becomes obsolete in ten or fifteen years. All in all we feel that the use of this building is misconceived. Previous to its medical use the general community use and the wider public were able to enjoy the listed heritage asset. In the proposal it will be yet one more 'closed' medical building onto Queen Square rendering its chain creasingly homogeneous to the detriment of the character of the Conservation Area. It is also to take a building which is already provided with lift and ramps and, because of the inapproprint historic building as a 'state of the art' scanning centre, having to introduce new obtrusive ramp and internal alterations.  On the basis of the above and on behalf of the BCAAC we strongly object to this application.	the basement, we nonetheless feel the canopy lift will be very visible ttern of railings and the front area. It is medical use the building was in the heritage asset. In the current are rendering its character rotation Area. It is also a great shame use of the inappropriate use of the new obtrusive ramps, external lift		
				Christiane Ten-Hoopen			