Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2024/1905/HS2	Richard Simpson for Regent's Park	06/06/2024 16:13:50	COMMNT	ADVICE from The Regent's Park Conservation Area Advisory Committee 12A Manley Street, London NW1 8LT
	CAAC			3 June 2024
				10 Park Village East NW1 7PX 2024/1905/HS2
				No objection.
				While the RPCAAC has no objection to the application as far as it goes, it does raise a number of questions critical to the survival of the Listed Buildings in Park Village East, their settings, and to the preservation or enhancement of the character and appearance of the conservation area. Our 'no objection' is based on the assumption that due diligence in monitoring other heritage assets in Park Village East would be undertaken before any tunnelling or preparatory work is begun.
				Why is this method statement only made in relation to no. 10 Park Village East? Surely other Listed houses in Park Village would also be vulnerable to the tunnelling works?
				It would help to assess their vulnerability if a plan and section were provided showing the probable route and depth of the tunnelling in relation to the heritage assets.
				It was not clear to us how the existence of 'made ground' might exacerbate the vulnerability of the LB and its setting: had trial pits been dug, and is such investigation not essential for all potentially affected properties?
				Would additional plant be required above ground, and what impact would that have on the heritage assets?
				We continue to be dismayed at the lack of pre-app consultation on these HS2 applications with the local community. This is in clear disregard of the emphasis in the NPPF (2023) at 39-41, including the statement that LPAs should 'encourage any applicants who are not already required to do so by law to engage with the local community'.
				Richard Simpson FSA Chair