Application ref: 2024/1661/T Contact: Liam Vincent Tel: 020 7974 8677

Email: Liam.Vincent@camden.gov.uk

Date: 7 June 2024

Butlers Trees 182 Glebe Road Letchworth Garden City Hertfordshire SG6 1DY



Development Management
Regeneration and Planning
London Borough of Camden
Town Hall
Judd Street
London
WC1H 9JE

Phone: 020 7974 4444

planning@camden.gov.uk

www.camden.gov.uk/planning

Dear Sir/Madam

DECISION

Town and Country Planning Acts 1990 (as amended)
OBJECTION TO SECTION 211 NOTICE OF WORKS TO TREE/S IN A CONSERVATION
AREA

Address:

142 Haverstock Hill London NW3 2AY

Description:

FRONT GARDEN: 1 x Tree of Heaven (Ailanthus altissima) (T1) - Fell to ground level and remove stump.

The Council has considered your notification of intended works to trees dated 27 April 2024 and decided to **OBJECT** for the following reasons:

Reasons for objection:

The S.211 notification is to remove a semi-mature Tree of Heaven (Ailanthus altissima) in the front garden of a private residence on Haverstock Hill, which is located within the Parkhill conservation area.

The notification states that

- the species is invasive
- is causing subsidence to two walls
- is leaning towards cars parked at the front of the property
- is preventing any other plants from growing nearby due to allelopathy
- is causing a nearby wall to crack

The tree is clearly visible from public places along Haverstock Hill - from

approximately 40m to the north and 50m from the south, and from midway along Antrim Grove on the opposite side of the highway.

The tree makes a significant and positive contribution to the verdant character and appearance of the conservation area as the end tree of a cohesive canopied group of similarly sized trees in the front garden of the neighbouring property (148 Haverstock Hill). It enriches the ecology of the local area as part of a green corridor, softening the street-scene on a busy and congested main road within the borough, and contributes to improving the air quality there.

The reasons given are not robust enough to justify the removal of the tree:

- Damage to walls is not considered to be a sufficient reason to justify the removal of trees that are worthy of protection under a TPO. It is likely there is a design and/or engineering solution that will allow for the repair of the wall and the retention of the tree.
- The allegation of subsidence of walls is not supported by any evidence.
- There is no evidence to support an issue with the lean of the tree (visible movement of the rootplate, mounding / depression and/ or cracking of soil surrounding the basal area).

The Council objects to the proposed removal, and it is recommended that a Tree Preservation Order be served to protect the amenity the tree provides and to help preserve the character and appearance of the conservation area.

A Tree Preservation Order has been served on the tree/s and no work should be carried out without the prior consent of the Council.

Yours faithfully

Daniel Pope

Chief Planning Officer

It is important to us to find out what our customers think about the service we provide. To help us in this respect, we would be very grateful if you could take a few moments to complete our online survey at the following website address: www.camden.gov.uk/dmfeedback. We will use the information you give us to help improve our services.