

Basement Impact Assessment Audit
The Cottage 10 Lyndhurst Road, London NW3 5PX

Audit Query Tracker

Query No	Subject	Query	Status	Date closed out
1	BIA Format	The BIA refers to a superseded version of the CPG for basements. Confirmation that the correct version has been used for the assessment is required. Some reviewer signatures are missing and should be included. <i>Refer to updated G+W report: "GWPR5717 BIA&GMA May 2024" section 1.2</i>	Open – See Section 4.1	
2	Hydrogeology & Land Stability	If piling is included in the construction of the basement, additional assessment of the impact of this construction method will be required. <i>Piling not required in current scheme</i>	Open - See Section 4.9	
3	Hydrology	Hardstanding will be increased. No mitigation measures to limit the impact on the hydrology of the surrounding area is provided and it is requested. <i>Refer to Momentum drainage strategy and attached drawings</i>	Open - See Section 4.10	
4	Land Stability	Clarification is requested regarding the number of lifts of underpinning and underpinning construction sequence. <i>Underpinning to be done in two hits in traditional 1, 3, 5, 2, 4 underpinning sequence</i>	Open – See Section 4.12	
5	Land Stability	Outline retaining wall calculations are requested <i>Refer to Momentum calculation: "5737 10 Lyndhurst Road CLC 240524 Typical basement retaining wall design"</i>	Open – See Section 4.12	
6	Land Stability	Clarification requested regarding missing SPT data and design Cu lines. <i>Refer to updated G+W report: "GWPR5717 BIA&GMA May 2024" section 8.2.1.</i>	Open – See Section 4.13	
7	Land Stability	Clarification regarding the overburden pressure release of demolition is requested. <i>Refer to updated G+W report: "GWPR5717 BIA&GMA May 2024" section 8.2.2.</i>	Open – See Section 4.15	
8	Land Stability	The input and output data for both PDisp and XDisp are not provided and are requested. <i>Refer to attached files</i>	Open – See Section 4.17	
9	Land Stability	Settlement plots for the roads are not provided and are requested. <i>Refer to attached files</i>	Open – See Section 4.18	

Query No	Subject	Query	Status	Date closed out
10	Land Stability	<p>Excerpt from the Building Damage Assessment of the XDisp model suggests that damage to neighbouring properties will fall under Burland Category 2 (Slight). Further consideration is needed to ensure damage to neighbouring structures remains within Category 1 (Very Slight).</p> <p>The SMS incorrectly states that all walls were assessed as having Category 0 (Negligible) damage. The results of the analysis should be presented consistently.</p> <p>Refer to updated G+W report: "GWPR5717 BIA&GMA May 2024" section 8</p>	Open – See Section 4.19	
11	Hydrogeology & Land Stability	<p>Utility data are not presented, and an assessment of the impact on utilities has not been undertaken and is requested.</p> <p>Refer to updated G+W report: "GWPR5717 BIA&GMA May 2024" section 8.4.4.</p>	Open – See Section 4.20	
12	Land Stability	<p>Confirmation of whether neighbouring foundation will be impacted by tree removal should be presented with impact assessment and mitigation provided if necessary.</p> <p>Refer to updated G+W report: "GWPR5717 BIA&GMA May 2024" section 7</p>	Open – See Section 4.23	
13	Land Stability	<p>The retaining wall loads were not finalised by the structural engineers at the time of writing but were to be limited to a maximum load of 100kPa. Throughout the construction of the basement, the PDisp models assumed a load of 30kPa initially, increasing to 100kPa when fully constructed. Should there be any changes in the retaining wall loads, the assessment will need to be updated accordingly.</p> <p>Refer to updated G+W report: "GWPR5717 BIA&GMA May 2024" section 8.2.2.</p>	Note only – See Section 4.14	