			_	Printed o	10/05/2024	09:10:06
Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:		
2024/0439/P	Anna Hodgson	09/05/2024 12:41:31	OBJ	Objection to Revised Planning Application 2024/0439/P As owner and resident of No 20 Steele's Road I am objecting to the REVISED planning application at No 19 Steeles Road on the grounds that: Disproportionate size of the proposed extension The Proposed Works Design Statement section 6a (iii) (1) states that "the extension will extend the rear wall aligned with No 20 Steele's Road, consistent with permitted development criteria" the property does not enjoy permitted development rights. However, in the revised application the depth of the extension from the rear wall of No 20 is cleeding 3.93m, some 30% larger than stated, this increased depth spanning almost half the width As the CAAC objection notes, the property has previously been extended to a depth of almost 18 side already, as shown on the existing drawing, bringing the proposed depth to 3.93m from wall on that side as well. In combination, the proposed size is far bigger than the Design Statement indicates, is well bey "permitted development" would allow, is out of all proportion to the existing garden space and is detrimental to the adjoining properties. Tunnel Effect The reduction in depth of the flanking wall next to No 20 to 1.6m is a positive alteration but there bringing the remaining 2.3m of wall much closer, only 1m from the garden wall. This compound claustrophobic tunnel effect of having extensions on both sides. To my left at No 21 I already hextension, 4m including railings. A neighbouring extension to my right, 3m high and 1m from m would leave me totally hemmed in by large areas of brick work. The resulting sense of enclosu extreme and at the very least this proposal needs to be further revised and considerably scaled Overbuilding in a Conservation Area Whilst permission has been granted for the extensions at No 21 and 22 Steele's Road, this is no further building work in a conservation area, where increasingly areas of green open space paved over and built on and trees are being felled. These open areas give pleasure not only to the ga	3m beyond even though arly marked as of the garden. m on the No he original rear and what even highly negated by a the even a 3.5m high a garden wall, e would be back.	