

Dear Mr Kitchener and Planning Team

Re; Planning application no 2024/1459/P for 2 Meadowbank NW3

We are the owners and occupants of number 3 Meadowbank, adjoining number 2.

Whilst we do not object in principal to the proposed changes number 2 we would like to make 2 specific comments/potential objections regarding the proposed changes to the property which adversely impact our property.

1. The proposed removal of the balcony at first floor level: this balcony is part of the balcony on our property and it is likely they are actually integrally linked in their construction. Removing it could be impossible without impacting the stability of our balcony and clearly we would not agree to this. It may therefore be that removal of the balcony is not possible. In addition there is a dividing wall between the two balconies which is part of our balcony and which we would not agree to be removed – it is shown as removed in the drawing. It provides privacy and protection to our balcony and we jointly own it and do not agree to the removal of this dividing wall.

2. The extension shown on the top floor roof terrace: This appears to be to the full width of the property for a short distance as it protrudes out. This would mean building on a jointly owned dividing wall between the two roof terraces. This would cross the party line and would impact anything we wanted to do with our roof terrace in the future. We cannot agree to the extension coming out over the whole party wall.

Please can you assure me that these comments will be taken into account in your decision.

Many thanks

Kind regards

Frances George (Mrs)