

GREATER**LONDON**AUTHORITY



	Project / Site Name (including sub- catchment / stage / phase where appropriate)	The Hall School	
	Address & post code	The Hall School, 23 Crossfield Road ,Belsize Park, London, NW3 4NU	
	OC Cuid not (Footing Nouthing)	E 526943	
	OS Grid ref. (Easting, Northing)	N 184516	
tails	LPA reference (if applicable)		
1. Project & Site Details	Brief description of proposed work	Rooftop extension	
	Total site Area	299 m²	
	Total existing impervious area	299 m ²	
	Total proposed impervious area	299 m²	
	Is the site in a surface water flood risk catchment (ref. local Surface Water Management Plan)?	No	
	Existing drainage connection type and location	Existing gravity connection to Thames Water combined sewer	
	Designer Name	Harry Hunter	
	Designer Position	Senior civil Engineer	
	Designer Company	Elliott Wood	

	2a. Infiltration Feasibility				
	Superficial geology classification	None			
	Bedrock geology classification		Thames Group		
	Site infiltration rate		m/s		
	Depth to groundwater level	m below ground lev		w ground level	
	Is infiltration feasible?		No		
	2b. Drainage Hierarchy				
ements			Feasible (Y/N)	Proposed (Y/N)	
ang	1 store rainwater for later use	N	N		
ırge Arr	2 use infiltration techniques, such as porous surfaces in non-clay areas		N	N	
2. Proposed Discharge Arrangements	3 attenuate rainwater in ponds or open water features for gradual release		N	N	
roposed	4 attenuate rainwater by storing in tanks or sealed water features for gradual release		N	N	
2. F	5 discharge rainwater direct to a w	N	N		
	6 discharge rainwater to a surface water sewer/drain		N	N	
	7 discharge rainwater to the combined sewer.		Υ	Υ	
	2c. Proposed Discharge Details				
	Proposed discharge location	kisting below ground drainage network on			
	Has the owner/regulator of the discharge location been consulted?	Yes			



GREATER**LONDON**AUTHORITY



	3a. Discharge Rates & Required Storage						
		Greenfield (GF) runoff rate (I/s)	Existing discharge rate (I/s)	Required storage for GF rate (m ³)	Proposed discharge rate (I/s)		
	Qbar	0.13	><				
	1 in 1	0.11	4.9	72	1.1		
	1 in 30	0.3	11.9	72	3		
	1 in 100	0.41	15.5	87	5.4		
	1 in 100 + CC		><	n/a	7.7		
	Climate change allowance used		40%				
3. Drainage Strategy	3b. Principal Method of Flow Control		Geen Roof				
e St	3c. Proposed SuDS Measures						
inag			Catchment	Plan area	Storage		
Dra			area (m²)	(m²)	vol. (m³)		
3.	Rainwater harvesting		0	$\geq \leq$	0		
	Infiltration systems		0	><	0		
	Green roofs		299	241	7.2		
	Blue roofs		0	0	0		
	Filter strips		0	0	0		
	Filter drains		0	0	0		
	Bioretention / tree pits		0	0	0		
	Pervious pavements		0	0	0		
	Swales		0	0	0		
	Basins/ponds		0	0	0		
	Attenuation tanks		0		0		
	Total		299	241	7.2		

	4a. Discharge & Drainage Strategy	Page/section of drainage report
u	Infiltration feasibility (2a) – geotechnical factual and interpretive reports, including infiltration results	Section 5
	Drainage hierarchy (2b)	Section 5
	Proposed discharge details (2c) – utility plans, correspondence / approval from owner/regulator of discharge location	Section 5
4. Supporting Information	Discharge rates & storage (3a) – detailed hydrologic and hydraulic calculations	Section 5
ting Inf	Proposed SuDS measures & specifications (3b)	Section 5
lod	4b. Other Supporting Details	Page/section of drainage report
Sup	Detailed Development Layout	Section 4
4.	Detailed drainage design drawings, including exceedance flow routes	Section 5
	Detailed landscaping plans	Section 4
	Maintenance strategy	Section 6
	Demonstration of how the proposed SuDS measures improve:	
	a) water quality of the runoff?	Section 5
	b) biodiversity?	Section 5
	c) amenity?	Section 5