Martin Redston Associates

Consulting Civil & Structural Engineers

martin@redston.org

Our ref: 16.440 23rd March 2020

London Borough of Camden Planning Department 5 Pancras Square London N1C 4AG 2 Edward Square, London N1 0SP Tel 020 7837 5377 Fax 020 7837 3211

6 Hale Lane, London NW7 3NX
Tel 020 8959 1666 Fax 020 8906 8503

Dear Sirs,

28 Canfield Gardens London NW6 3LA

My Name is Martin Redston. I am a Chartered Civil Engineer (CEng) specialising in the design of new and retrofit basement construction together with associated building refurbishment. I have worked on such projects in the London Borough of Camden for more than thirty years. I am the principal of Martin Redston Associates and have been Chartered since 1978.

I have undertaken a professional review of the Flood Risk Assessment prepared by Sanderson Associates dated 23rd March 2020 for the above property. I am satisfied that the scope and methods used form a risk based approach and are appropriate and all tests have been followed in this instance. I am therefore able to fully support the conclusions and recommendations set out at section 7 of the report.

Yours sincerely,

M A Redston

Martin Redston Associates

Consulting Civil & Structural Engineers

martin@redston.org

Our ref: 16,440 23rd March 2020

London Borough of Camden Planning Department 5 Pancras Square London N1C 4AG

Z Edward Square, London N1 0SP Tel 020 7837 5377 Fax 020 7837 3211

6 Hale Lane, London NW7 3NX Tel 020 8959 1666 Fax 020 8906 8503

Dear Sirs.

28 Canfield Gardens London NW6 3LA

My Name is Martin Redston, I am a Chartered Civil Engineer (CEng) specialising in the design of new and retrofit basement construction together with associated building refurbishment. I have worked on such projects in the London Borough of Camden for more than thirty years. I am the principal of Martin Redston Associates and have been Chartered since 1978.

I have undertaken a professional review of the Flood Risk Assessment prepared by Sanderson Associates dated 23rd March 2020 for the above property. I am satisfied that the scope and methods used form a risk based approach and are appropriate and all tests have been followed in this instance. I am therefore able to fully support the conclusions and recommendations set out at section 7 of the report.

Yours sincerely.

M A Redston