| | | | | | | Printed on: | 01/05/2024 | 09:10:12 |
|----|---------------|-------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|--|-------------|------------|----------|
| Ap | plication No: | Consultees Name: | Received: | Comment: | Response: | | | |
| 20 | 24/0352/P | kalpna gohil | 30/04/2024 18:22:33 | OBJ | I want you to take my comments into consideration when deciding about this applicat 240, 242 & 246 all have extensions at the back, they are all for residential use only. | on. | | |
| | | | | | If 244 want this same extension it too should be for residential use only. | | | |
| | | | | | If commercial use is granted I fear residentials above shops will be lost. | | | |
| | | | | | The infill at the back on ground and basement levels, I have no issue with. | | | |