

| Application No: | Consultees Name: | Received:           | Comment: | Response:  |
|-----------------|------------------|---------------------|----------|--|
| 2024/0106/P     | pansari          | 13/04/2024 23:19:36 | SUPPRT   | The condition requiring the driveway to be removed should the individual no longer live in the house is unwarranted and sets a worrying precedent for the area. The council has shown a very constructive approach in properly assessing the need for the driveway and the positive impact it will have in terms of the road use and increase in accessible housing in the area. There is no need to stipulate it should be removed at a future point  |
| 2024/0106/P     | Stephanie Coe    | 12/04/2024 22:32:25 | SUPPRT   | I support the removal of condition 4. There must be allowances for creating greater access for an elderly disabled person in the community.  |
| 2024/0106/P     | Tyra Khan        | 13/04/2024 21:27:07 | COMMNT   | I am a local resident but also a nhs community physiotherapist. We have an ageing population who need to be accommodated in their home environments to enable them to stay out of home care, which would cost the council more inevitably. This can only be achieved by giving them the same access and freedom. A disability parking bay shouldn't be so something that requires extra permission for. Bays in the area have been given to restaurants without consideration for those residents who with disabilities are suffering due to this. As an nhs community worker my strong opinion is that the councils focus should be on how we keep our elderly residents independent and able to access their local amenities and community. These conditions and barriers that inhibit local residents from their independence should be removed. The focus should be on accommodating and supporting residents with disabilities. |
| 2024/0106/P     | Tafi Gashi       | 11/04/2024 21:20:41 | SUPPRT   | <p>I live and work in the area as a trainer and a rehab therapist. I regularly work with my disabled clients in the regents park road area.</p> <p>What a silly condition!!! Make a drive and then remove it? The more cars there are off the road the better especially when there is going to be an electric charging point. This is in line with the reduction of CO2 omissions.</p> <p>Not only there will be a reduction of pollution in the area it will also create another home suitable for people with disabilities. Why remove that?</p> <p>People with disabilities should not be excluded from living in this area. This condition contradicts everything Camden council says it needs.</p> <p>This condition discriminates against those with disabilities and in my opinion needs to be removed.</p>  |

| Application No: | Consultees Name:       | Received:           | Comment: | Response:   |
|-----------------|------------------------|---------------------|----------|---|
| 2024/0106/P     | Tari & Alex<br>Gilbert | 12/04/2024 22:32:04 | SUPPRT   | <p>The removal of this condition would be, on balance, of overall benefit to the community:</p> <p>Firstly, the approved works provide a valuable step-free disabled access space for the inhabitants of the house - this benefits the community, considering Camden currently has a shortage of housing with disability access.</p> <p>Secondly, the impact on the character and appearance of the area appears to be neutral; in fact, there is arguably an enhancement due to the removal of the street parking bay which opens up the space in front of the house allows for better views of the houses from the street.</p> <p>Finally, the removal of the street petrol parking bay and replacement with an electric car driveway is advantageous in reducing congestion and pollution in the street and community, which is beneficial for air quality and community standards of living.</p> <p>Keeping Condition 4 would reverse these aforementioned benefits; therefore, we are in favour of removal of Condition 4.</p> |

---