

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2024/0728/P	L Hinder	29/03/2024 23:43:45	COMMNT	It looks like a massive over development of the site which is currently uninhabited and mostly green. The proposed Maresfield building is too tall/ too many floors and too much for site. It's not in keeping with look and feel of the street. I also can't imagine how the site will run for 2 years with all the local school pedestrian cycle and car traffic.
2024/0728/P	Susanne Lawrence	27/03/2024 17:11:48	OBJ	Apart from the loss of what has effectively been a much needed and appreciated chunk of 'green belt' for the 26 years I've lived here, my main objection is to a FIVE-storey block of flats in an enclave of predominantly two and three storey Victorian and Arts and Crafts style houses. The two big (relatively) modern blocks in the neighbourhood (Lakefield and Imperial Towers) are a total eyesore, completely out of keeping with the rest of this small area. The proposal presents a truly monstrous prospect. 39 Fitzjohn's (which already has planning permission, although I gather those plans are likely to change - for the worse, of course) together with 39a and 46 Maresfield constitutes a massive site and taken as a whole will completely ruin the look and feel of a highly treasured part of Hampstead. It will completely change the nature of the neighbourhood. Splitting the site into two separate applications (39 and 39a/46) creates a totally misleading impression of what will result. Also, and MOST important from the point of view of the Council's objectives, this is not even going to be the kind of accommodation of which there is the shortage and need that seems to be the justification for the council rubber stamping every single planning application (including extensions and basements); in other words, there is to be no affordable housing here! The same developers have been unable to sell the flats they've already built further up Fitzjohn's Avenue, which is no surprise, given they sit right on top of one of the busiest roads in north London (they are not set far enough back from the road), the building is ugly and the prices are prohibitive. So, at the same time as ruining one of the best known and most treasured parts of London's heritage and therefore risking losing the income from potential residents and visitors, by granting the application for such a ginormous and out of keeping development, the Council will not even be meeting its own aims of providing more lower cost housing. And who is going to pay the prices they'll be asking if there is no provision for parking? We don't want more cars on the streets but we certainly don't want more uninhabited flats. It's a disaster. The building should be of two or three storeys at most, with far fewer flats, and more of the green space should be retained - preferably with access for existing residents of Maresfield Gardens, Netherhall Gardens and Nutley Terrace.
2024/0728/P	L Hinder	29/03/2024 23:43:46	COMMNT	It looks like a massive over development of the site which is currently uninhabited and mostly green. The proposed Maresfield building is too tall/ too many floors and too much for site. It's not in keeping with look and feel of the street. I also can't imagine how the site will run for 2 years with all the local school pedestrian cycle and car traffic.