Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2024/0030/P	Helen Andrews	26/03/2024 19:12:54	OBJNOT	I object to this proposal on the grounds that the additional Mansard roof destroys the proportions of a beautiful Georgian heritage asset. I agree with the demolition of the extension but adding bulk on the roof will dwarf 103 Frognal, the next door house, which is a stunning house of historic and architectural importance. The impact on 103 Frognal has not been properly assessed and the the owners of that house have already commented separately on the loss of light and amenities. This new roof represents substantial harm to a hertiage asset with no public benefit, it is even difficult to see what the benefit is to the applicant. I object to the huge underground swimming pool and event space this is unnecessary and will cause environmental damage by reducing rainwater run-off and damaging the soil strata decrease in biodiversity and threatening the fragile stability of buildings in this area it also set an unwelcome precedent for other owners to build huge underground structures which could cause mass subsidence in Hampstead. I do not think that the application has considered carefully 99 Frognal as the residence of General Charles de Gaulle and it appears that historic England has also not taken this into account referring only to archaeology rather than more recent history.