

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2023/5421/P	Mr Samuel Teshuva	04/04/2024 12:36:17	OBJNOT	<p>Dear Sir/ Madam. My name is Mr Samuel Teshuva. I live next door in flat 4, 102 Haversack Hill. London NW3 2BD. I object to the application on the following grounds.</p> <p>We shouldn't lose the raised front courtyard in order to allow the installation of 3 refuse bins. The 3 refuse bins can be very easily installed within the raised front courtyard and be very easy accessible from within the property pass way . Further more, A gate or, railings and a gate can be installed to the current opening without the need to change the Raised Front court yard. In this conservation area where greenery in front courtyards are at a premium, we should make every effort not to lose any plants, shrubs, flowers etc as this application would do, since right now the raised front courtyard, house all these wonderful and colourful plants and flowers that flower throughout the different seasons of the year and are home to insects, birds and biodiversity in general.</p> <p>Should you be minded to grant the application, can you please make sure that is conditional on the fact that,The front courtyard will never be allowed to be used as Car Parking, because I feel that with the proposed reduction in the front courtyard hight to the pavement level as the application is for, the next step will be an application for a crossover to the Highway department and then cars can just drive though the gate.</p> <p>Also, the application does not provide any type of materials that will be used in the creation of the new and very low courtyard and gate. I think we must have a complete list of the materials so we can be sure that they are not at odd with the surrounding area, but complimenting it.</p> <p>I'm afraid the application also lack information on the effect the lowering of the front courtyard will have on running water and rain water. Will it accumulate access water in newly provided tank/s under the lowered courtyard, how would the water get disposed off and to where, would a heavy storm overwhelm the already full to capacity Drainage system etc? The above matters since, currently the hight of the courtyard and the amount of hearth it holds as well as the plants and tree, act as a sponge, and hold water rather then just release it in great volumes onto the pavement and road and into the drain system, has the Lower Courtyard would do.</p> <p>Due to the points above I respectfully object to granting consent for the application.</p> <p>Best Regards.</p> <p>Mr S Teshuva.</p>

---

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2023/5421/P	Eton CAAC	02/04/2024 11:28:07	OBJ	<p>Eton Conservation Area Advisory Committee</p> <p>Advice from Eton Conservation Area Advisory Committee:28.03.24</p> <p>Re 100 Haverstock Hill : 2023/5421/P</p> <p>Remove existing raised front terrace area and install entry gates and 3 x refuse bins</p> <p>This is an application in respect of a property on Haverstock Hill in the Parkhill and Upper Park Conservation Area. On the other side of Haverstock Hill is the Eton Conservation Area, hence our commenting.</p> <p>We have no objection in principle to the applicant wanting a security gate. (Assuming the reason for wanting it is real we entirely understand the need for it.) But the plans and elevations provided are at far too small a scale to provide the level of detail required for informed approval to be given. The crucial elevation showing the gate closed is too tiny to be detailed, so it is impossible to know if the design is appropriate for this location or not. A gate too fiercely protective, for instance, would not be suitable for this position, nor would it be necessary for its purpose. There is also no mention of materials.</p> <p>The adequacy and detail of drawings accompanying some applications has concerned us for some time. Camden's draft Local Plan Chapter 12 Design and Heritage Policy D1 makes specific reference to supporting documentation to achieve design excellence in paragraph 12.8:</p> <p>"To secure design excellence in Camden the Council will consider using several mechanisms, including... requesting that detailed design sections and supporting information is provided, where appropriate, to illustrate a proposal..."</p> <p>The drawings submitted to support this application do not meet this requirement.</p> <p>There is another concern. The covering letter makes no mention of any alterations other than the gate. But other alterations are shown in the drawings: the gate does not require the demolition of the wall on the left of entry. Nor does it require almost all of the garden (young trees, diverse greenery) to be cleared out and replaced by a large open space with an unspecified surface material. And there are alterations proposed for the steps.</p> <p>As already stated, the gate cannot be approved for the reasons given above. The other alterations, as they appear without any explanation, cannot be included for consideration within this application. if no detailed drawings and information is provided we object to the proposal, and to the loss of the garden and planting.</p> <p>Yours sincerely,</p> <p>Eton CAAC</p>