

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2023/5352/P	Ajay	28/03/2024 07:46:46	OBJ	<p>Upon review, it is evident that the proposed building project presents several significant concerns that warrant rejection based on various planning and conservation area regulations. Firstly, the proposed construction disregards numerous existing planning and conservation area regulations, which are in place to preserve the architectural and historical integrity of the surrounding environment. The current building holds substantial architectural and historical value, reflecting the heritage of the area. Moreover, the existing garden, recognized for its excellence with several awards, not only adds to the aesthetic appeal but also serves as a habitat for numerous rare plant species. The proposed plan jeopardizes this vital green space, which is essential for biodiversity and community well-being. Furthermore, the addition of a substantial basement as outlined in the proposed plan raises concerns about increased flood risks and building hazards to neighboring properties. The cumulative effect of numerous large basements in the vicinity has already been observed to contribute to significant subsidence issues, as evidenced by the challenges faced by Avenue Mansions. It is crucial to note that previous instances of major flooding in Hampstead and Highgate were attributed to the proliferation of large basements and the reduction of green spaces in the area. Therefore, approving another basement construction would only exacerbate these risks and compromise the safety and quality of life for residents. Additionally, the proposed design fails to harmonize with the existing character of the neighborhood and overlooks the privacy concerns of neighboring properties. This disregard for design coherence and privacy further underscores the inadequacy of the proposed plan. In light of these substantial contraventions, including poor design, disregard for heritage preservation, reduction of green spaces, heightened risks to the area, and overlooking the privacy of neighboring properties, it is evident that the proposed plan does not meet the necessary criteria for approval. Therefore, I strongly recommend rejecting the application to uphold the integrity and safety of the community.</p>
2023/5352/P	Stuart Mills	28/03/2024 12:36:00	OBJ	<p>I object to this application.</p> <p>The existing building is typical of the style seen in Heath Drive, especially in terms of fenestration, materiality and scale. Therefore, it is my view that the current building enhances the character and appearance of the Conservation Area.</p> <p>The development proposals are oafish by comparison with the present building and are ignorant of the architectural heritage of the Conservation Area. There is no justification for the demolition of the existing building at all but certainly not for these proposals which are alien in scale, type and quality.</p>
2023/5352/P	JLough	28/03/2024 20:05:35	OBJ	<p>Dear team</p> <p>The proposed development is too high and not in keeping with the road or area.</p> <p>The character of the area will be irreversibly, negatively impacted if any house is demolished and a block of flats is constructed on the site.</p>