

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2024/1043/T	Janice Bowmer	25/03/2024 13:05:58	OBJ	<p>Dear Blythe Smith and Camden Council,</p> <p>I am writing to object to application 2024/1043/T.</p> <p>This my pear tree belongs to me and sits in my garden. Eudora Pascall &amp; Andrew Thornton have no right to cut down, prune or damage my tree which is protected by laws to protect trees within this conservation area.</p> <p>It's worth noting that Eudora Pascall &amp; Andrew Thornton have already cut down and removed large sections of my pear tree before applying for this planning permission, and most certainly before any permission has been granted. Pictures &amp; a video of my pear tree being chainsawed &amp; large sections being ripped away and removed by two men were sent to the Rav Curry [Planning Assistant] on March 13th as evidence of this violation.</p> <p>Camden planning department [Johnson Ayieiwu] was contacted on the 13th March &amp; confirmed that no planning application was made to prune, fell or damage any tree at 23 Hampstead Lane.</p> <p>Eudora Pascall &amp; Andrew Thornton were told they were not allowed to do this &amp; did not have my permission to cut away large sections of my tree. After being told this they filed for planning permission on the 15th March which is 2 days after they already cut down and damaged huge branch sections of my pear tree.</p> <p>An investigation for this violation that took place on March 13th was filed that same day under reference number EN24/0191 by Planning Assistant Rav Curry. Since then they have continued to enter my garden without permission and cut more of my other tree branches on March 21st while I was in hospital, which is deeply upsetting and unfair, and a record of this with supporting photos as evidence has been sent to Camden Council to be added to the case file for investigation EN24/0191. They also left the cuttings and logs they chopped off in my section of the garden.</p> <p>I must also make clear the picture of the branch proposed to be cut in supporting documents is not the pear tree they intend to cut down, and has been added to mislead the planning officer. The Pear tree is a mature and healthy tree and can be seen in pics provided to planning officer Rav Curry for investigation EN24/0191, to which you can see the tree is a large strong healthy pear tree that is over 6 metres tall contrary to the 'collapsed tree with alive parts' they describe in their application, and most certainly not the branch they claim is to be cut in the photo they added for their application, which I can only assume is intended to mislead. They also claim they want to cut 'parts' that are growing over their garden border which is not true as it's the main trunk that slightly encroaches, and if this permission is granted they will be cutting my tree effectively at the base &amp; my whole pear tree would be felled and this would be a great injustice.</p> <p>For these reasons above I forcefully object to this planning permission to cut down my 20 year old mature &amp; beautiful pear tree that I love and cherish dearly.</p> <p>Many thanks Jan Bowmer</p>