

| Application No: | Consultees Name: | Received: | Comment: | Response: |
|-----------------|------------------|---------------------|----------|--|
| 2024/1043/T | Janice Bowmer | 22/03/2024 17:51:13 | OBJ | <p>Dear Blythe Smith and Camden Council,</p> <p>I am writing to object to application 2024/1043/T.</p> <p>This my pear tree belongs to me and sits in my garden. Eudora Pascall & Andrew Thornton have no right to cut down, prune or damage my tree which is protected by laws to protect trees within this conservation area.</p> <p>It's worth noting that Eudora Pascall & Andrew Thornton have already cut down and removed large sections of my pear tree before applying for this planning permission, and most certainly before any permission has been granted. Pictures & a video of my pear tree being chainsawed & large sections being ripped away and removed by two men were sent to the Rav Curry [Planning Assistant] on March 13th as evidence of this violation.</p> <p>Camden planning department [Johnson Ayieiwu] was contacted on the 13th March & confirmed that no planning application was made to prune, fell or damage any tree at 23 Hampstead Lane.</p> <p>Eudora Pascall & Andrew Thornton were told they were not allowed to do this & did not have my permission to cut away large sections of my tree. After being told this they filed for planning permission on the 15th March which is 2 days after they already cut down and damaged huge branch sections of my pear tree.</p> <p>An investigation for this violation that took place on March 13th was filed that same day under reference number EN24/0191 by Planning Assistant Rav Curry. Since then they have continued to enter my garden without permission and cut more of my other tree branches on March 21st while I was in hospital, which is deeply upsetting and unfair, and a record of this with supporting photos as evidence has been sent to Camden Council to be added to the case file for investigation EN24/0191. They also left the cuttings and logs they chopped off in my section of the garden.</p> <p>I must also make clear the picture of the branch proposed to be cut in supporting documents is not the pear tree they intend to cut down, and has been added to mislead the planning officer. The Pear tree is a mature and healthy tree and can be seen in pics provided to planning officer Rav Curry for investigation EN24/0191, to which you can see the tree is a large strong healthy pear tree that is over 6 metres tall contrary to the 'collapsed tree with alive parts' they describe in their application, and most certainly not the branch they claim is to be cut in the photo they added for their application, which I can only assume is intended to mislead. They also claim they want to cut 'parts' that are growing over their garden border which is not true as it's the main trunk that slightly encroaches, and if this permission is granted they will be cutting my tree effectively at the base & my whole pear tree would be felled and this would be a great injustice.</p> <p>For these reasons above I forcefully object to this planning permission to cut down my 20 year old mature & beautiful pear tree that I love and cherish dearly.</p> <p>Many thanks Jan Bowmer</p> |

| | | | | |
|------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Application No: | Consultees Name: | Received: | Comment: | Response: |
|------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------|

| | | | | |
|-------------|----------------|---------------------|-----|--|
| 2024/1043/T | Jonathan Aihun | 22/03/2024 18:33:57 | OBJ | |
|-------------|----------------|---------------------|-----|--|

Dear Blythe Smith,

I reside in the same building as Andrew Thornton and Eudora Pascall, in Flat 3 on the First Floor. All of my south facing windows & terrace look out over the stretch of gardens to the back of Hampstead Lane, which is of considerable nature & beauty, due of the trees and green outlook preserved by laws protecting this conservation area.

I am writing to object to this planning application 2024/1043/T.

Eudora Pascall & Andrew Thornton have already cut down and damaged a large section of this pear tree, as well as a few other trees that belong to our neighbour Jan that reside in her garden. On March 13th myself and other neighbours heard a chainsaw in the garden, & when looked saw 2 men with this chainsaw cutting down a large section [a huge branch at least 3-4 meters in length] of this very old pear tree that belongs to Janice in flat b. The men said Eudora Pascall & Andrew Thornton had permission from Jan, however I called Jan and she told me this was a lie. They also did this without planning permission in a conservation area which is an offence according to Camden Council. Fortunately myself & neighbours at No25 informed them politely that this was not allowed just before they were about to cut down the main trunk, which is what they said Eudora Pascall & Andrew Thornton had instructed them to do.

Eudora Pascall & Andrew Thornton then filed a false police report against me for 'being offensive and abusive toward our fencer, and inciting others to do the same'. Ironically [with neighbours witnessing] I had a lovely conversation with the fencer and even arranged to play golf with him in a few weeks time, and send his nephew free golf clothing from my golf apparel company.

The application also says they want to cut the right arm of the pear tree, but this is the part they already cut away on March 13th before applying for planning permission on March 15th. The remaining part they want to cut to make space for a fence is the main trunk of the tree, & if this application is granted the whole pear tree will cease to exist with only its stump remaining. This would be a travesty.

This tree also has 2 birds nests, and is a beautiful tree with luscious green leaves that contribute to the naturalistic outlook and green nature of the garden. If this permission is granted the whole tree will be cut down as the section they intend to chainsaw is actually the main trunk and not the 'right arm' they claim in this misleading application. This pear tree is a wonderfully attractive tree which spans around 6-7 meters in width & around 6 metres in height, which will be gone forever if planning permission granted. It's worth mentioning that the pics in the application are not of the pear tree. The pear tree is huge, green, beautiful & healthy, and if seen would no doubt change anyones opinion on this matter.

It may be beneficial for a planning officer to visit the site to see the pear tree so it can be understood that this planning application is extremely inaccurate, and most certainly misleading to anyone reading it. This tree is beautiful and should not be cut down under any circumstance, especially not to make room for a small section of fence that serves no purpose. This would only cause further upset to everyone living in the apartments at 23 Hampstead lane, Jan who owns the pear tree, neighbours on each side at no 21, 25, and all others who share this beautiful stretch of garden outlook on leafy Hampstead Lane.

Thanks for your time on this matter.

| Application No: | Consultees Name: | Received: | Comment: | Response: |
|-----------------|--|---------------------|----------|--|
| | | | | Yours sincerely Jonathan Aihun x |
| 2024/1043/T | Pleasantview Property Management | 22/03/2024 14:15:08 | OBJ | <p>Dear Blythe Smith and Camden Council,</p> <p>This objection is in response to Eudora Pascall & Andrew Thornton's application 2024/1043/T.</p> <p>Eudora Pascall & Andrew Thornton prior to applying for this planning permission have already cut down and removed a substantial portion of the Pear tree that belongs to Jan Bowmer & sits in her garden, which overhangs across Eudora Pascall & Andrew Thornton's garden border, however it does not pose any danger and is not intrusive in any way. These trees as stated by Camden Council are protected by laws to safeguard trees within this conservation area.</p> <p>Johnson Ayieiowu from Camden planning department has confirmed on 13/3/24 that no application was filed for permission to prune, fell or damage any tree at 23 Hampstead Lane before this violation took place.</p> <p>Photos and videos of the tree being chainsawed by two men were provided to Planning Assistant Rav Curry on March 13th, & can be resubmitted as evidence if necessary. Again to emphasise this took place before any planning application had been made or granted.</p> <p>Eudora Pascall & Andrew Thornton were informed via email on March 13th that Highgate Village / Hampstead Lane falls within a conservation area. Camden Council and the Woodland Trust state; it is an offence to prune, fell or damage a tree without giving notice, and that those who carry out work without permission on a tree in a conservation area may be fined up to £20,000. They then filed for planning on on March 15th after already cutting down effectively half of this pear tree.</p> <p>Rav Curry set-up the investigation via the Enforcement Department under the reference number EN24/0191 on March 15th. Since then Eudora Pascall & Andrew Thornton have on March 21st cut down 3 more trees that reside in Jan Bowmers Garden, and also left the large logs and cuttings in Jan's garden. Photo evidence of this has been submitted to Rav Curry on March 22nd to add to the case file for investigation EN24/0191.</p> <p>We strongly implore that this planning application is rejected for the conservation and biodiversity of this protected area, and also recommend a fine is produced for the repeat violation that has already taken place under the laws in place to safeguard trees within this conservation area.</p> <p>Thank you for your time and consideration. Pleasantview Property Management</p> |