Proforma for development proposals in Camden to inform assessment of Air Quality v1a

I relevant yellow boxes must be completed on this and all relevant table. Incredent corresce offen with socies document and societorhabies inferences, required to autoorditually responses, as individual increases without the society of the societ

Scale

Major

Minor

interactions. This Proferes is intended to help you understand the air quality considerations we will take into account when considering an application in Candea, na will an helping to its considering application. This does not replace the requirement to provide an X-Outly Assessment or the calded guidance in the Candea. Parving Guidance (250) on Ar-application.

Application number (when known)								
Scheme name	139 - 147 Carr	den Road, Cam	den .					
Rohama address	139 - 147 Carr	den Road, Cam	den .					
Postcode	NW1 9HA							
Type of development (choose drop down options)	Residentia	Dv	elina					
No of residential units	6							
	Existing		Processed					
Scale of development details $(\boldsymbol{\mathfrak{m}}^2)$	TOTAL pre-	For demolition	New-build incl. infilis, re-build, extensions	Retained (refurbished or Change of Use)	TOTAL post-	Net UPLIFT cost- development		
Total floor area of development (GIA)					0		0	
of which residential							0	
of which non-residential					0		0	
Air Quality Assessment document details	2205351-R01	Air Quality Asses	isment					
Baseline scenario year used (projections not accepted	2021							
Development year used for scenarios	2021	I						

	1. Air Quality Assessment (AQA) requirement				justification / supporting
Approve/Condition/Refuse	Air Quality in development area (to determine assessment requirement)	Response		Document	Page/section reference
	a. NO2 at development site	52.32	µg/m ³ per annum	LAEI 2019 Maps	NA
	b. PM ₁₀ at development site	26.69	µg/m ³ per annum	LAEI 2019 Maps	NA
	c. PM _{0.5} at development site	14.54	µg/m ³ per annum	LAEI 2019 Maps	NA
	d. Does the proposal introduce new receptors?	YES		Development Description	NA
	e. Will the proposals include sensitive receptors?	YES		Development Description	NA
	f. to there a likely increase in traffic levels from existing base?	NO		No Proposed Parking - Development Description	NA
	g. Includes biomass boilers or CHP (combined heat and power)	NO		Energy and Sustainability Statement	NA
	h. Includes connections to existing decentralised energy networks	NO		Energy and Sustainability Statement	NA

Guidelines / notes

Anv known intended name for the development

10+ residential units is a Maior development even if less than 1000 so m. If over 75 new residences then an ACA is required. This should include all floor area which is out of the acolication including change of use and refubishment. Over 1000 so m is a maior development even if less than 10 dwallings.

Should include all works classified as medium or large for STEP2A of the Guidance on the Assessment of dust from demolition and construction.

Note if a basic (screaning) AQA then identifies the need for a detailed assessment then this should be completed.

New total floor area minus floor area of any existing buildings

As set out in CPG Air Quality

If a commercial development with floorspace over 2.500spm then an AQA is required. Full Stle. author: date and version

Note this should not be in the future as future background concentrations are not accepted.

Abde to LO 2015 age (or response or response) Farability, card anothering during during age of the process of photoles or generation and relation of the photoles of photoles of photoles age (or response). Abde to LO 2015 age (or response or response) Farability, card anothering during age of the photoles of photoles here reaidents may stay for more than 1 year, is also considered to bring receptor

Endotas concector la configura de construitada el energy referencia de la construición de la construici

May be required

 Strate Share build below build sments Required uction and Demolition Required

Are a Capoor air quality - as war with XO2, within 5% below the air quality dejective. 3(gpin ¹ micrograms per cubic methor) within 5% below XHO2 into d Toggin ¹ for ML1, and Toggin ¹ for ML1, and the with the Nucleation Plane. Sensitive an exceede mutation, according to the control of the control of the control of the most of the control of t ed to be introducing new receptors. Additional student acc idation is also co

Proforma for development in Camden - Air Quality Requirements

All relevant yellow boxes must be completed

Complete orange cells with source document and section/page references, required to justify/support responses

See guidelines / notes in column M

Approve/Condition/Refuse

Approve/Condition/Refuse

Recommendation	
(Council to complete)	2. Backgrou

(Council to complete)	2. Background AQ					
	Background concentrations used for modelling		Response		Document	Page/ section reference
Approve/Condition/Refuse	a. Background annual NO ₂ in vicinity of development		27.88	µg/m³	2205351-R01 Air Quality Assessment	33
Approve/Condition/Refuse	b. Background annual PM ₁₀ in vicinity of development		19.02	µg/m³	2205351-R01 Air Quality Assessment	33
Approve/Condition/Refuse	c. Background annual PM _{2.5} in vicinity of development		12.24	µg/m³	2205351-R01 Air Quality Assessment	33
	d. Background data source	DEFRA bac	kground ma	ps	Defra Background Maps	N/A

3. Operational impact of development on local area

	Response	
a. If gas boilers are proposed what is the NO_x rating?	<40	µg/m³
b. Is the development "zero on-site emission" (energy sources)	NO	
c. Is the development "zero on-site emission" (non-energy sources)	YES	
d. Is the development car free?	YES	
e. Is CHP proposed?	NO	
f. Is a biomass boiler proposed?	NO	
g. Is any stack at least 1m above the highest part of the development?	N/A	
h. What capacity of emergency or STOR generation is proposed?	N/A	kWe
i. Emergency or STOR generation fuel source?	N/A	

3a. Air Quality Neutral Assessment (required for all Major developments)

	NO _x kg per annum	PM ₁₀ kg per annum
Building Emissions Benchmark (BEB)	N/A	N/A
Total Building Emissions for development	N/A	N/A
Difference	#VALUE!	#VALUE!
Transport Emissions Benchmark (TEB)	N/A	N/A
Total Transport Emissions for development	N/A	N/A
Difference	#VALUE!	#VALUE!
Air Quality Neutral	#VALUE!	

	Desument	Developetien reference
	Document	Page/ section reference
m³	Energy and Sustainability Statement	18
	Energy and Sustainability Statement	N/A
	Energy and Sustainability Statement	N/A
	No Proposed Parking	N/A
	N/A	N/A
	N/A	N/A
	N/A	N/A
е	N/A	N/A
	N/A	N/A

Document	Page/ section reference
2205351-R01 Air Quality Assessment	40
2205351-R01 Air Quality Assessment	41
2205351-R01 Air Quality Assessment	42
2205351-R01 Air Quality Assessment	43
2205351-R01 Air Quality Assessment	44
2205351-R01 Air Quality Assessment	45

	4. Operational impact of development on occupants	
Approve/Condition/Refuse	Expected (worst case) air quality at the development	Response
	a. Are the expected 'with development' NO_2 levels for the site above $38\mu\text{g/m}^3$	NO
	b. Are the expected 'with development' NO_2 levels for the site above $42\mu g/m^3$	
	c. Are the expected 'with development' NO_2 levels for the site above $60\mu g/m^3$	
	d. Are the expected 'with development' PM_{10} levels for the site above $20\mu g/m^3$	YES
	e. Are the expected 'with development' $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ levels for the site above $10\mu\text{g/m}^3$	YES
Approve/Condition/Refuse	Mitigation proposed to protect internal air quality	Response
	a. Is MVHR proposed?	NO
	b. Will the MVHR inlet(s) be at roof level and away from busy roads and other emission sources such as extract systems and flues?	
	c. Is NO _x filtration proposed?	
	d. Is particulate filtration proposed?	
	e. Will windows be openable?	Yes
	f. Are winter gardens proposed?	YES
	g. Other mitigation proposed (provide reference for details)	N/A

Document	Page/ section reference
2205351-R01 Air Quality Assessment	39
N/A	N/A
N/A	N/A
2205351-R01 Air Quality Assessment	39
2205351-R01 Air Quality Assessment	39
Document	Page/ section reference
N/A	N/A

5.Demolition and construction impact

			Response
Approve/Condition/Refuse	e	a. What is the construction dust risk <i>before</i> mitigation?	low
		b. Has mitigation been proposed in line with the GLA checklist for risk level in a)?	YES
		c. Is real time dust monitoring proposed?	NO
		d. How many real time dust monitors are proposed?	
		e. Are there any other developments within a 100m radius of the development?	
		f. Is the site within 10m of a school or hospital?	NO
		g. Is the site within 500m of a school or hospital?	YES

Document	Page / section reference
2205351-R01 Air Quality Assessment	36
2205351-R01 Air Quality Assessment	41-44
N/A	N/A
N/A	N/A
N/A	N/A
N/A	N/A

Proforma for development in Camden - Air Quality Requirements

All relevant yellow boxes must be completed

Complete orange cells with source document and section/page references, required to justify/support responses

See guidelines / notes in	i column M					
Recommendation						
(Council to complete)	2. Background AQ				1 1	
	Background concentrations used for modelling	Background concentrations used for modelling			, 3	Document
Approve/Condition/Refuse	a. Background annual NO ₂ in vicinity of development			27.88	µg/m°	2205351-R01 Air Quality Assessment
Approve/Condition/Refuse	 Background annual PM₁₀ in vicinity of development Background annual PM in vicinity of development 	Background annual PM ₁₀ in vicinity of development			µg/m°	2205351-R01 Air Quality Assessment
Approve/Condition/Refuse	d. Background data source			12.24	µg/m°	2205351-R01 All Quality Assessment
					aps	Della Background Maps
	3. Operational impact of development on local a	rea				
Approve/Condition/Refuse				Response		Document
	a. If gas boilers are proposed what is the NO _x rating?			<40	µg/m³	Energy and Sustainability Statement
	b. Is the development "zero on-site emission" (ener	s the development "zero on-site emission" (energy sources)				Energy and Sustainability Statement
	c. Is the development "zero on-site emission" (non-	energy sourc	es)	YES		Energy and Sustainability Statement
	d. Is the development car free?	1. Is the development car free?				No Proposed Parking
	e. Is CHP proposed?			NO		N/A
	f. Is a biomass boiler proposed?			NO		N/A
	g. Is any stack at least 1m above the highest part o	f the develop	ment?	N/A		N/A
	h. What output capacity of emergency or STOR get	neration is pr	oposed?	N/A	kWe	N/A
	i. Emergency or STOR generation fuel source?			N/A		N/A
	2. Air Ouslite Neutral Assessment (manined for	all Maion d		`		
	3a. Air Quality Neutral Assessment (required for		PM)]	Γ	
		ka per	kg per			Document
Approve/Condition/Refuse		annum	annum			
	Building Emissions Benchmark (BEB)	N/A	N/A			2205351-R01 Air Quality Assessment
	Total Building Emissions for development	N/A	N/A			2205351-R01 Air Quality Assessment
	Difference	#VALUE!	#VALUE!			2205351-R01 Air Quality Assessment
	Transport Emissions Benchmark (TEB)	N/A	N/A			2205351-R01 Air Quality Assessment
	Total Transport Emissions for development	N/A	N/A			2205351-R01 Air Quality Assessment
	Difference	#VALUE!	#VALUE!			2205351-R01 Air Quality Assessment
	Air Quality Neutral #VALUE!		ALUE!			
	4. Operational impact of development on occup	ants	Deserves			
	Model details Res		onse			
	a. Emissions factor toolkit version used V		11			
	b. Air quality modelling software used (names and v	versions)	ADMS-	-Roads		
	Medallad appual expected (warst sees) air quality a	t the develor	mont	Deenenee		Desument
Approve/Condition/Refuse			ment	Response		Document
, pproto, condition, related	a. Are any expected 'with development' NO ₂ levels	for the site al	bove 38µg/m ³	NO		2205351-R01 Air Quality Assessment
	b. Are any expected 'with development' NO ₂ levels	for the site al	pove 42µg/m ³	NO		N/A
	c. Are any expected with development' NO ₂ levels for the site above $60 \mu g/m^3$			NO		N/A
	d. Are any expected 'with development' PM ₁₀ levels for the site above 20µg/m			YES		2205351-R01 Air Quality Assessment
	e. Are any expected 'with development' PM _{2.5} levels for the site above 10ug/m			YES		2205351-R01 Air Quality Assessment
	f. Has air quality been modelled at all levels and all facades?			YES		2205351-R01 Air Quality Assessment
	Mitigation proposed to protect internal air quality			Response		Document
Approve/Condition/Refuse	a Is MVHR proposed?					

Yes

YES

Document	Page/ section reference
2205351-R01 Air Quality Assessment	39
N/A	N/A
N/A	N/A
2205351-R01 Air Quality Assessment	39
2205351-R01 Air Quality Assessment	39
2205351-R01 Air Quality Assessment	23
_	
Document	Page/ section reference
Document N/A	Page/ section reference N/A
N/A N/A	Page/ section reference N/A N/A
N/A N/A N/A N/A	Page/ section reference N/A N/A N/A
N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	Page/ section reference N/A N/A N/A N/A
N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	Page/ section reference N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A
N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	Page/ section reference N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A

Page/ section reference 33 33 33 N/A

Page/ section reference 18 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A

N/A

Page/ section reference

40

5. Demolition and construction impact

c. Is NO_x filtration proposed? d. Is particulate filtration proposed? e. Will windows be openable?

f. Are winter gardens proposed?

emission sources such as extract systems and flues?

g. Other mitigation proposed (provide reference for details)

		Response
	a. What is the highest demolition/construction dust risk before mitigation?	low
Approve/Condition/Refuse	b. Has mitigation been proposed in line with the GLA checklist for risk level in	ו YES
	c. Is real time dust monitoring proposed?	NO
Approve/Condition/Refuse	d. How many real time dust monitors are proposed?	
	e. Are there any other developments within a 100m radius of the development	nt
	f. Is the site within 10m of a school or hospital?	NO
	g. Is the site within 500m of a school or hospital?	YES

b. Will the MVHR inlet(s) be at roof level and away from busy roads and other

Document	Page / section reference
2205351-R01 Air Quality Assessment	36
2205351-R01 Air Quality Assessment	41-44
N/A	N/A
N/A	N/A
N/A	N/A
N/A	N/A