








**GODWIN AND CROWDALE
ESTATE**
PHASE II GEOTECHNICAL AND
GEO-ENVIRONMENTAL
INVESTIGATION
LONDON BOROUGH OF CAMDEN
28/06/2019

Site:	GODWIN AND CROWDALE ESTATE	
Title:	PHASE II GEOTECHNICAL AND GEO-ENVIRONMENTAL INVESTIGATION	
Project:	CONSTRUCTION OF 10NO. RESIDENTIAL DWELLINGS	
Client:	LONDON BOROUGH OF CAMDEN	
Date:	28/06/2019	
Reference:	LS 4257	
Version:	V1.0	
Prepared by:		MOLLY BRADY M.Sc. <u>Project Geologist</u>
Checked by:		THOMAS KISTRUCK B.Sc. (Hons.), ACSM, FGS <u>Project Geologist</u>
Checked by:		DANIEL CANNEAUX B.Sc. (Hons.), FGS <u>Senior Geotechnical Engineer</u>
Checked by:		MICHAEL ROSE M.Sc., B.Sc., FGS, AIEMA <u>Principal Geo-Environmental Consultant</u>
Authorised by:		ELLIOT TOMS CEnv M.Sc., B.Sc. (Hons.), FGS, MIEnvSci <u>Managing Director</u>

A decorative graphic on the left side of the slide, consisting of three overlapping hexagons. The top-left hexagon is green, the bottom-left is blue, and the right one is orange. They are set against a light grey background with a faint, repeating pattern of the word 'Science'.

DESK STUDIES GROUND INVESTIGATION CONSULTANCY

You depend on a project team to get it right, first time.

At Land Science we aim to understand our clients needs, and appreciate the role ground issues play within a wider context. That's why we provide our clients with a reliable service and first class expertise tailored to specific requirements.





CONTENTS

1 INTRODUCTION5
2 INTRUSIVE INVESTIGATION7
3 GROUND CONDITIONS8
4 GEO-ENVIRONMENTAL TESTING.....9
5 GEOTECHNICAL FIELD TESTING.....10
6 GEOTECHNICAL LABORATORY TESTING12
7 GEOTECHNICAL ASSESSMENT13
8 HUMAN HEALTH RISK ASSESSMENT.....15
9 BUILT ENVIRONMENT RISK ASSESSMENT.....17
10 PRELIMINARY WASTE ASSESSMENT18
11 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS20

REPORT CONDITIONS21
GLOSSARY OF TERMS22
ACCOMPANYING NOTES – SOIL CONTAMINATION23
REFERENCES24

FIGURES

- FIGURE 1: Site Location Plan
FIGURE 2: Investigation Layout

APPENDICES

- APPENDIX A: Photographs
APPENDIX B: Engineering Logs
APPENDIX C: Geotechnical Testing Results
APPENDIX D: Contamination Testing Results

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 General

Land Science was instructed by London Borough of Camden to undertake a phase II geotechnical and geo-environmental investigation in relation to the proposed development of 10 no dwellings within the current grounds of the Godwin and Crowndale Estate, Crowndale Road, London, NW1 1NW. The location of the site is shown on Figure 1, which is centred at grid reference TQ 294 833.

1.2 The Site

The area under investigation comprised a public area to the rear of the Godwin and Crowndale residential buildings. This area included a car park, Multi Use Games Area (MUGA), and communal landscaped gardens.

The layout of the existing site is indicated on Figure 2, and a walkover survey is presented in section 3.0. The area was approximately 1.20 hectares. It was understood that the Client was in ownership of the site, and that this investigation was not a pre-purchase appraisal.

1.3 Form of Development

The proposed development was understood to comprise the construction of ten new residential dwellings with private gardens and landscape improvements to the courtyard of the Godwin and Crowndale Estate. Figure 3 illustrates the layout of the proposed redevelopment. The findings may change if the development proposals are revised.

1.4 Previous Investigations

Land Science previously conducted a Phase I Desk Study for this site in June 2019. That report has been referenced where appropriate and the recommendations therein have formed the basis for the scope of this Phase II assessment.

The recommendations included the following;

- Shallow boreholes, or trial pits, to assess the composition and depth of any Made Ground and any field evidence of contamination into the underlying soils.

- Selected samples (including materials bearing field evidence of contamination) should be sent for laboratory analysis. The main analytical suite is identified below.
- Positions should be located in areas of concern; i.e. targeted to specific points of potential contamination such as previous dwelling location, and in receptor sensitive locations such as in proposed garden areas, below the footprint dwellings, in the location of buried services, etc.
- Positions should be located adjacent to the site boundaries to evaluate the potential for contamination to have migrated laterally off site and impact on adjacent land users.

The analytical suite, based on the known site history and walkover survey, should include:

- General parameters: Acidity (pH), fraction of organic carbon.
- Metals; Arsenic, Cadmium, Chromium (total), Lead, Mercury, Selenium, Boron, Copper, Nickel and Zinc.
- Non metals: water soluble Sulphate, Sulphide, total Cyanide
- Visual asbestos screening of all Made Ground samples. Where possible asbestos fibres or ACMs are identified, these should be examined under a microscope to determine type.

The phase II investigation has been carried out on these recommendations.

1.5 Scope of Works

In accordance with the scope and the client's requirements, the Phase II investigation was to comprise the following:

- 5no. dynamic (windowless) sampler boreholes to 5.0m.
- A 15m deep cable percussive borehole.
- A preliminary falling head soakage test within a borehole.
- Laboratory testing.

The fieldwork was conducted broadly as planned on 21/05/2019 - 22/05/2019 under the supervision of Land Science.

1.6 Geotechnical Objectives

A geotechnical investigation was required to provide an interpretation of ground conditions with respect to foundations, pavements, soakaways, concrete specification and excavations.

1.7 Geo-Environmental Objectives

A phase II (intrusive investigation) was required, to provide a generic quantitative risk assessment (GQRA) in respect of the proposed redevelopment, adjacent land uses, and the wider environment, in the context of the planning regime.

1.8 Standards

Where practicable, the investigation was undertaken in accordance with the following standards and guidance:

- Model Procedures for the Management of Contaminated Land, DEFRA and Environment Agency, September 2004 (“CLR11”).
- Guiding Principles for Land Contamination, Environment Agency, March 2010, (“GPLC”).
- National Planning Policy Framework, July 2018.
- Building Regulations Approved Document C: Site preparation and resistance to contaminants and moisture, HM Government, July 2013.
- NHBC Standards Chapter 4.1: Land Quality - Managing Ground Conditions, 2018 edition.
- BS 5930:2015 Code of Practice for Site Investigations
- BS 1377:2018 Soils for Civil Engineering Purposes

Other technical sources have been cited in respect of specific aspects of the investigation, as referenced throughout the text.

1.9 Confidentiality and Limitations

This report may be relied upon by the Client and their agents and consultants, and should be read and used only in full.

The report may not be relied upon or transferred to any other parties without the express written agreement of Land Science. No responsibility will be accepted where this report is used, either in full or in part, by any other party.

Third party information used in the production of this report has been relied upon as being accurate. Land Science cannot warrant or accept any liability for errors and/or omissions in third party information.

This document is issued subject to our Terms and Conditions agreed and accepted by the Client, and the Report Conditions given towards the end of this report.

1.10 Regulators and Approvals

It is recommended that this report is submitted to any relevant authorities for their own assessments and to provide their approval or comments accordingly. This should be in good time before commencing on site.

1.11 Variations with time

The report relates to conditions revealed at the time of the investigation. A number of parameters may vary over time, particularly groundwater levels, ground gas compositions, or concentrations of contaminants.

2 INTRUSIVE INVESTIGATION

A factual record of the conditions encountered during the physical investigation of the site is presented in the following sections.

2.1 Investigation Strategy

Based on the findings of the conceptual site model and the geotechnical objectives, the intrusive investigation was based on the following strategy:

Aspect	Position	Targets			Testing, installations etc
		Depth / strata	Existing Location	Proposed Location	
Dynamic Sampler boreholes	WS1	5.0m	Next to MUGA within Godwin Court Courtyard	NE corner of proposed development; Location of previous dwellings	FHST, HP, SV
	WS2	5.0m	Courtyard	Perimeter of proposed development; Proposed	HP, SV
	WS3	5.0m	Garden area beside Crowndale Court	Close to NE corner of development; adjacent soft landscaping	HP, SV
	WS4	5.0m	Road	Road on SW corner of development	HP, SV
	WS5	5.0m	SE corner of current car park	SE corner of proposed development; on site boundary within proposed gardens	HP, SV
Cable Percussive	BH1	15.0m	Car Park	Centre of proposed development	SPT
		HP – Hand Penetrometer		SV – Shear Vane	
		FHST – Falling Head Soakage Test		SPT – Standard Penetration Test	

An explanation of the excavation and testing types are given in the following sections. WS1 was drilled to 3.00m but was terminated as the Made Ground was collapsing into the hole (this hole was then changed to WS1.1), a second hole (WS1.2) was drilled next to WS1.1 and achieved a depth of 5.00m.

WS3 was terminated at 3.00m on an obstruction, possibly a very large root. WS4 could not be drilled due to a number of services encountered. Attempts were made to move this position, but the estate committee expressed concerns with the potential damage to the Multi Use Games Area (MUGA) surface.

2.2 Dynamic (Windowless) Sampling (WS)

Dynamic Sampling entails 1m long hollow tubes with liners driven into the ground and retracted in order to obtain samples. The process is repeated sequentially to the target depth, unless impenetrable strata or borehole instability prevent further progress. The liners are split, logged, tested, and subsampled. Sample compression can occur within the liners, and the sampler can sometimes become blocked. Sample recovery is typically class 2 as defined in Eurocode 7.

2.3 Cable Percussive Boreholes (BH)

Cable Percussion is a traditional drilling technique which essentially involves repeatedly dropping a hollow sampling tube from height into the ground, and removing any plug of soil that is retrieved. Clay cutters, chisels, a shelling attachment and casing can also be used down the hole.

2.4 Standard Penetration Test (SPT)

A Standard Penetration Test is used to determine the bearing capacity of soils. A sampler attached to a drilling rod is driven into the ground for 450mm by a 63.5kg weight free-falling 760mm for each blow. The number of blows required to penetrate the last 300mm is recorded and an N-Value is obtained for the tested soil layer. The initial 150mm of penetration, known as the seating blows are disregarded (Smith, 2014).

3 GROUND CONDITIONS

3.1 General

The expected ground conditions were anticipated to comprise made ground over superficial deposits and London Clay Formation to depth. The investigation confirmed the anticipated ground conditions.

A summary of the encountered conditions is presented below.

Base Depth m						Strata
WS1.1	WS1.2	WS2	WS3	WS5	BH1	
-	-	-	-	-	0.20	Hardstanding
2.80	2.50	2.70	1.10	2.00	2.30	Made Ground
3.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	15.00	London Clay Formation

The identification of materials encountered as specific geological strata is tentative and should be used as a guide, and interpolation between or below investigation points should be treated with caution.

3.2 Hardstanding

Positions BH1 was located within the tarmacadam surfaced car park. The hardstanding was proved to a depth of 0.20m.

3.3 Made Ground

Made Ground was encountered to depths of between 1.10 and 2.80m, deeper made ground was identified in the north west of the site. The Made Ground generally comprised gravelly clayey sand and sandy gravelly clay. Gravel included brick, glass, tile and concrete fragments.

3.4 London Clay Formation

London Clay Formation was proven to the base depths of all positions and generally comprised orangish brown, bluish grey and purplish grey clay with occasional selenite. Occasional sandy lenses are also identified.

3.5 Roots and Rootlets

Several tall mature trees were identified within the investigation area. Roots and rootlets were identified in WS1.1, WS1.2, WS2 and WS3 to a max depth of 0.30m.

3.6 Field Evidence of Contamination

No evidence of possible soil contamination (such as staining, malodours, or brightly coloured soils) was identified in the field.

Made Ground was identified in all holes to a maximum depth of 2.80m, and such materials may be imported from an unknown source or mixed with hazardous materials, and as such may contain a wide range of potential contaminants. All such materials should be treated as suspect unless proven otherwise. Testing has been carried out, as described in section 5.

3.7 Groundwater

Groundwater was not encountered during excavation of any of the investigative positions.

3.8 Stability

WS1.1 was terminated early due to a collapse, this was likely due to the nature of the Made Ground with loose brick and other material falling into the borehole during drilling.

4 GEO-ENVIRONMENTAL TESTING

4.1 Geochemical Laboratory Analysis

Samples were selected for geochemical analysis, based on the following rationale:

- Representative samples of Made Ground were analysed for a routine screening suite. The samples were taken from all boreholes, given the exposure pathways identified in the CSM.
- Samples of Made Ground from WS3 and BH1 were screened in the laboratory for asbestos such as flecks of fibrous lagging and in asbestos containing materials such as cement board etc.

The scope and extent of testing was considered appropriate and in accordance with the Conceptual Site Model and preliminary risk assessment.

A summary of the testing scheduled is given below:

Sample	Suite				
	LS1	Asbestos	TOC	Mineral Oil	PH&SO4
WS1.1 (0.40m)	✓	✓	-	-	-
WS1.2 (0.30m)	-	-	-	-	✓
WS1.2 (0.40m)	-	-	✓	-	-
WS1.2 (0.40m)	-	-	✓	-	-
WS1.2 (0.40m)	-	-	✓	-	-
WS1.1 (0.40m)	-	-	✓	-	-
WS2 (0.50m)	✓	✓	-	-	-
WS3 (0.30m)	-	-	-	-	✓
WS3 (0.50m)	-	✓	-	-	-
WS5 (0.35m)	✓	✓	-	✓	-
BH1 (14.00m)	-	-	-	-	✓

The relevant screening suites are defined below. Where duplicate analysis exists between suites, each test is performed only once:


Suite	Definition
LS1 (soil)	Screening suite: pH, fraction of organic carbon, Metals and Non Metals, water soluble Sulphate, Sulphide, total Cyanide, total Phenols, speciated PAH's.
Asbestos	Asbestos screen: Laboratory screening for fibres and Asbestos Containing Materials; identification where identified. Using polarising light and dispersion staining as described in HSG 248, HSE Contract Research Report No 83/1996 and in Davies et al, 1996.
TOC	Total Organic Carbon: TOC methods utilise heat and oxygen, chemical oxidants or a combination of these to convert organic carbon to Carbon dioxide (CO2). The evolved CO2 is then measured.
PH&SO4	Measure of the acidity or alkalinity of a soil. Measure of sulphates in soil.

The results of geochemical analysis are discussed in section 7.0.

5 GEOTECHNICAL FIELD TESTING


5.1 Standard Penetration Testing (SPT's)

In-situ standard penetration tests (SPT's) were undertaken within CP1, in order to assess the relative density of the materials encountered. The blow count (N) to drive the cone 300mm after any seating blows is recorded, and is used as a measure of the relative density of granular soils (as defined in BS5930:2015). The results may also be used to estimate the consistency of cohesive soils, using an empirical correlation. The results are summarised below.




5.2 Shear Vanes

Laboratory shear vane tests were performed on samples of cohesive materials recovered within the boreholes. The test provides a direct estimate of undrained shear strength, and in turn may be used to give an indication of consistency as defined in BS5930. The results are summarised below.



5.3 Penetrometers

Hand penetrometer tests were performed on samples of cohesive materials recovered within the boreholes. The test is used to approximate undrained shear strength and in turn has been used to give an indication of consistency as defined in BS5930. The results are summarised below.



5.4 Soakage Testing

A falling head soakage test was undertaken in WS1.2. The water level fell 0.075m in 135mins. The readings were insufficient to calculate a soil infiltration rate.

6 GEOTECHNICAL LABORATORY TESTING

Laboratory quick undrained single stage triaxial tests were undertaken on selected “undisturbed” samples recovered from BH1, as summarised below. The mode of failure was generally brittle.

Strata	Depth	Bulk density (Mg/m ³)	Dry density (Mg/m ³)	Undrained Shear strength (kPa)	Mode of Failure
London Clay Formation	8.00-8.45	1.97	1.57	72	Brittle
	11.00-11.45	2.07	1.65	197	Compound
	14.55-15.00	1.92	1.55	160	Brittle

Geochemical testing for water soluble Sulphate and pH were undertaken, and the results are summarised on the following table.

Strata	No. of tests	Water soluble Sulphate (SO ₄ g/l)	pH (value)
Made Ground	6	0.078-0.35	8.1-10.6
London Clay Formation	1	0.88	8.80

7 GEOTECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

The following recommendations have been made with respect to geotechnical design.

7.1 General Foundation Design

The proposed development was understood to comprise the construction of ten new residential dwellings with private gardens and landscape improvements to the courtyard of the Godwin and Crowndale Estate

Significant thicknesses of Made Ground were encountered across the site, to depths order of 2.80mbgl. The depth and composition of the Made Ground was such that shallow foundations might not be economical or practical to construct.

On this basis, it is recommended that consideration may be given to an alternative foundation solution, such as the use of piles.

7.2 Volume Change Potential

Soil shrinkability has been assessed following the NHBC Standards Chapter 4.2 (January 2018 edition). It is recommended that the advice of this publication (or similar guidance) is taken when designing and constructing foundations in the zone of influence of trees and hedgerows that currently exist, are to be planted, or have recently been felled.

Strata	% passing 425µm sieve	Modified Plasticity Index	Shrinkability classification
London Clay Formation	-	>40%	High volume change potential

Specifications for heave precautions on high volume change potential soils are summarised below. In addition to the depths marked *, localised deepening of foundations will be required in the influence of trees; it will be necessary to evaluate tree species and height in relation to the proposed building footprints. If not already carried out, an arboricultural survey will be required.

Volume Change Potential		High
Minimum void dimension	Against side of traditional foundations and ground beams etc.	35mm
	Beneath ground beam and suspended in-situ concrete ground floors etc.	150mm
	Beneath suspended precast concrete or timber floors etc.	300mm
Minimum allowance for potential ground movement for new drains		150mm

All foundations should extend below any major root zones or desiccated soil encountered, and trenches should be carefully inspected accordingly.

7.3 Piled Foundations

The working load for piled foundations will depend on the installation technique, the dimensions of the individual piles and any pile groups, in addition to the ground conditions. The construction of piled foundations is a specialist job and the advice of a reputable contractor should be sought prior to finalising the design.

Whilst driven piles may give a higher working load compared to a bored pile, their use may be prevented due to the proximity of adjacent structures. Preliminary working load capacities have been calculated for varying diameters of bored piles taken into the London Clay Formation, below:

Depth (m)	300mm diameter	450mm diameter	600mm diameter
11	205	340	495
13	240	380	530
15	300	470	665

These working loads have been calculated on the basis of the ground and groundwater conditions encountered within the boreholes and based on the following assumptions:

- The contribution to the working load on the upper 3.00m has been ignored.
- A factor of safety of 3 was used on the skin friction and end bearing working loads respectively.

Piles should be taken at least five times the pile diameter into the founding strata.

The bearing values given are applicable to single vertically loaded piles. Where groups of piles are to be constructed, the bearing value of each individual pile should be reduced by a factor of 0.8 and a calculation made to check for the factor of safety against block failure.

In accordance with the NHBC Standards, heave precautions may be required on the upper portions of piles and on ground beams within the zone of influence of trees.

7.4 Ground Floor Slabs

Given the proposals for a piled foundation design it is understood that all ground floor slabs will be fully suspended, with a suitable minimum void space.

7.5 Excavations

The risks arising from excavation works should be properly assessed and appropriate safety precautions should be adopted. Reference may be made to various guidance including BS8000-1:1989, BS6031:2009 and CIRIA C97.

The likelihood of excavation instability through different strata has been assessed as summarised below. It should be noted that all open unsupported excavations have the potential to collapse. Excavations which are to remain open for prolonged periods will require trench support.

Strata	Stability
Topsoil/Made Ground	Generally unstable. May be battered back to a safe angle. Deeper excavations may require trench support.

It is considered that normal-rated plant and machinery will be sufficient for undertaking excavations. Care should be taken so as not to undermine existing structures, services, or adjacent property.

Adjacent excavations should generally be tackled in order of depth with the deepest first. Vehicles and spoil heaps etc. should not surcharge excavations, and edge protection and fencing should be used as appropriate. Frozen materials should not be used as backfill.

7.6 Pavements

The formation level for pavements is expected to comprise Made Ground at 450mm depth.

The Made Ground was of a mixed composition, and the engineering characteristics of such soils are highly variable and unpredictable. Due to the variability of the Made Ground it would be prudent to assume the material to be frost susceptible throughout, thus a minimum pavement thickness of 450mm would be appropriate.

The formation level should be carefully inspected, and any soft or loose zones should be removed and replaced with engineering fill, well-compacted in layers to a suitable specification. Consideration might be given to installing geotextiles. All engineering fill should be granular and non-frost susceptible (i.e. <10% fine material passing 425µm sieve).

Any hard spots in the formation level such as old foundations may induce reflective cracking in the pavement and allowance should be made for removing any slabs or other hard spots etc. that may be present.

It is assumed that all estate roads will be privately maintained. Where any roads are to become adopted by the relevant Highways Authority, they should be consulted in order to confirm local specifications and design parameters. Further testing may be required.

7.7 Building Materials

Based on BS8500-1:2015+A1:2016, the results of the Sulphate and pH analyses fell into Class DS-2 and an ACEC class AC-2 is deemed appropriate. The advice of this publication should be taken for the design and specification of all sub surface concrete.

Buried plastics used for potable water supplies should be upgraded to resist chemical contamination. Metal or aluminium barrier pipework will be acceptable. No pipework should be laid where there is evidence of hydrocarbons.

7.8 Surface Water Drainage

Given the low permeability of shallow soils, it is unlikely that soakaways will perform satisfactorily at this site. Consideration might be given other means of disposal such as discharge to surface water sewer.

8 HUMAN HEALTH RISK ASSESSMENT

8.1 General

A Tier 1 Generic Quantitative Risk Assessment (GQRA) has been prepared for soil contamination. It should be noted that the presence of a possible contaminant does not necessarily imply that a site or area is contaminated or that there is any unacceptable risk to human health.

The conceptual site model identified a potential pollutant linkage between made ground and End Users & Adjacent Land Users.

Source	Pathway	Receptor
Soils: Made Ground	Dermal contact Inhalation Ingestion	End Users
	Inhalation	Adjacent Land Users

8.2 Screening Values

Several different partly overlapping schemes are currently in use in the UK, based on the Environment Agencies CLEA Model but with differing toxicological parameters. For the purpose of this report these schemes have and have been applied in the following hierarchy:

- Suitable For Use levels (S4UL) recently published by LQM in association with the CIEH.
- Category 4 Screening Levels (C4SL) recently published by the DEFRA and CL:AIRE.

The soil chemical analysis results have been compared against respective screening values for residential with vegetation land uses.

Whilst other standards exist, such as the LQM Generic Assessment Criterion and the Environment Agency's Soil Guideline Values, these are considered to have been superseded by the above publications.

For contaminants where the respective screening value is dependent on Soil Organic Matter (SOM), the corresponding value for 2.75% was used (the arithmetic mean SOM value for the soil was 2.5%).

Where no standard exists, the contaminant is either not considered a priority in terms of human health (at least in the scenario being considered), or no screening value has been published.

The results showed that Lead and Mercury both exceeded the screening values in 2no locations.

8.3 Statistical Analysis (no)

In accordance with CL:AIRE *Guidance on Comparing Soil Contamination Data with a Critical Concentration*, the use of statistical tools was not considered appropriate in this instance. There was not a sufficient quantity of data to enable this type of analysis. The results of the chemical analysis have therefore been compared directly against the respective standards.

8.4 Other Substances

The screening value for Mercury was based on the elemental form, which was the lowest set of standards. Elemental Mercury is very unlikely to occur in soils and the less conservative screening values for Inorganic or Methyl Mercury may be more appropriate. WS1.1 – 0.4m and WS2 0.5 both exceeded the threshold for elemental mercury. Additional specialist speciated mercury testing could be considered.

8.5 Asbestos

A total of 4 samples of Made Ground were screened for the presence of Asbestos, and a summary of the results is presented below.

Soil	Sample	Asbestos present	Type	Quantification
Made Ground	WS1.1 (0.40m)	Detected	Chrysotile	< 0.001
	WS2 (0.50m)	Detected	Chrysotile	< 0.001
	WS3 (0.50m)	None detected	-	-
	WS5 (0.35m)	None detected	-	-

The samples that contained Asbestos were below the detection limit (< 0.001), therefore the risk is considered negligible. However, there is no 'safe' limit and a risk assessment framework by CL:AIRE or CAR:SOIL should be prepared.

8.6 End Users

The results of the chemical analysis indicated elevated concentrations of Lead & Mercury in WS1.1 & WS2. Localised remediation or further investigation was therefore considered necessary in this respect.

8.7 Adjacent Land Users

Surrounding land uses were identified to comprise residential housing and public spaces, analogous with the proposed development on site. With reference to section 8.6, a possible risk was posed to human health from elevated Lead and Mercury. It is therefore concluded that the soils on this site pose a possible significant risk to surrounding residential land uses. Further detailed risk assessment is recommended in this respect.

8.8 Conclusions

The results of the chemical analysis indicated that elevated lead and mercury levels in WS1.1 and WS2. Either remediation or further investigation was therefore considered necessary in this respect.

The extent of Made Ground was more significant than anticipated and the testing regime is insufficient for a 10no housing development of 1.2 hectares. The advice of the local authority contaminated land officer should be sought. This report should be treated as preliminary.

A copy of this report should be submitted to the relevant authorities for approval in sufficient time prior to commencement on site.

A suitably qualified Environmental Consultant should prepare a full *Implementation, Verification Monitoring and Maintenance Plan*. An appropriate level of supervision and testing will be required, to form part of a formal *Verification Report*.

9 BUILT ENVIRONMENT RISK ASSESSMENT

9.1 General

The conceptual site model identified a potential pollutant linkage between made ground and End Users & Adjacent Land Users.

Source	Pathway	Receptor
Soils: Made Ground	Plant uptake Chemical attack	Soft landscaping Structural concrete Potable water supplies

9.2 Soft Landscaping

A number of documents include guidance on screening levels of phytotoxic contaminants within soils, including:

- BS3882:2015 “Specification for topsoil and requirements for use” (although stipulated as not to be used in contaminated land risk assessment).
- ICRCCL in publication 70/90 1990 'Notes on the Restoration and Aftercare of Metalliferous Mining Sites for Pasture and Grazing' (although indirectly withdrawn) (where marked *).

The results of the chemical analysis for determinands known to pose a potential phytotoxic risk to plant growth are summarised on the following table, together with the respective adopted screening values for plant growth. The results of the chemical analysis were evaluated singularly without the use of statistical tools.

Determinand	Phytotoxicity Value (mg/kg)			Results in excess of screening value
	pH <6.0	pH 6.0-7.0	pH >7.0	
Zinc	<200	<200	<300	WS2 0.50m (750mg/kg)
Copper	<100	<135	<200	None
Nickel	<60	<75	<110	None
Cadmium *	50			None
Arsenic *	1,000			None

On this basis, it was concluded that elevated Zinc in the vicinity of WS2 might pose a risk of phytotoxic effects on plant growth. Therefore, remedial measures were considered necessary in this respect.

This aside, materials generally considered physically suitable for soft landscaping purposes were not encountered within the investigative positions, and verifiably suitable topsoil or sub-soil is likely to be necessary in order to facilitate and sustain plant growth in soft landscaped areas. The materials should meet the chemical standards set out in BS3882.

9.3 Structural Concrete

Recommendations with respect to Sulphate and buried concrete are made in section 7.7. The results of the Sulphate and pH analyses fell into Class DS-2 and an ACEC class AC-2 is deemed appropriate. Buried plastics used for potable water supplies should be upgraded to resist chemical contamination.

10 PRELIMINARY WASTE ASSESSMENT

10.1 General

Waste may be defined as any substance or object in Annex 1 of the Waste Framework Directive¹ which the holder discards, intends to discard, or is required to discard. Subject to certain provisions, soils may either be handled as either:


- Non-Waste, and re-used (on or off-site), or
- Waste, and disposed of (to a waste management facility).

Given the confines of the site, it was anticipated that all materials would be disposed of from site as waste.

The waste producer has a legal duty of care to ensure that waste materials are handled properly and sent to the appropriate licenced facility. Further inspection, testing, segregation etc will be required on site, and the advice of a suitably qualified consultant sought wherever necessary. Substantial tax penalties and fines are being levied by the regulators. The advice contained in this section is preliminary only.

10.2 Waste Disposal

Where materials are not re-used they must be handled as Waste, and must be sent to a licenced waste management facility. The classification of waste is prescribed under the Waste Framework Directive² and the Landfill Directive³, as summarised below. Different waste management facilities may also have specific acceptance criteria, and their advice should be sought.



The results of the soil analysis have been classified as follows:

Soil	Hazardous		Non Hazardous		Details
	Hazardous	Stable Non-Reactive	Non-Hazardous	Inert	
Made Ground (WS1.1 0.40m & WS2 0.50m)	✓				HP7 by way of Carc. 1A; H350 (Lead). WAC testing not carried out.
Made Ground (WS5 0.35m)			✓		Non hazardous. WAC testing not carried out

WAC testing may be considered for soils identified as Non-Hazardous, as the tests may enable those materials to be re-classified as Inert and therefore represent a potential saving on disposal costs.

With reference to the current List of Wastes (formerly European Waste Catalogue), waste soils and stone derived from construction and demolition sites may be disposed of under either of the following codes as appropriate:

Waste	Code	Description
Hazardous	17 05 03*	soil and stones containing dangerous substances
Non-Hazardous	17 05 04	soil and stones other than those mentioned in 17 05 03

(Note, the asterix is a Mirror Entry, as defined in the List of Wastes, conferring the relationship with the non-hazardous code 17-05-04).

11 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

This summary is a brief precis of the main findings and conclusions of the investigation. For detailed information, the reader is referred to the main report.

11.1 General

The intrusive investigation included 5no Dynamically Sampled positions and 1no Cable Percussion borehole. The area under investigation comprised a car park, Multi Use Games Area (MUGA), and communal landscaped gardens. The proposed development comprised ten new residential dwellings with private gardens and landscape improvements.

11.2 Soils Encountered

Strata	Depth m	Summary
Hardstanding	0.20	Asphalt
Made Ground	1.10 - 2.80	Gravelly clayey sand and sandy gravelly clay. Gravel included brick, glass, tile and concrete.
London Clay Formation	15.00+	Comprised orangish brown, bluish grey and purplish grey clay with occasional selenite.

11.3 Groundwater

No groundwater was encountered within 15m depth.

11.4 Foundations

Piled foundations are recommended and design parameters are given. The formation should be treated as being high volume change potential.

11.5 Excavations

Generally likely to remain stable. Risk assessments should be prepared and appropriate safety measures provided.

11.6 Pavements

The formation level for pavements is expected to comprise Made Ground at 450mm depth. Due to the variability of the Made Ground, assume the material to be frost susceptible.

11.7 Building Materials

DS-2 and AC-2 in accordance with BS8500. Water supply pipe work will require protection from aggressive soil contaminants.

11.8 Soakaways

A preliminary falling head soakage test was undertaken within WS1.2. However, the percolation was extremely poor and a soil infiltration rate could not be calculated.

11.9 Radon Protection

No issues with respect to Radon gas have been identified.

11.10 Soil Contamination

The results of the chemical analysis indicated an elevated concentration of Lead & Mercury in WS1.1 & WS2. Either remediation or further investigation was therefore considered necessary in this respect.

11.11 Waste Disposal

Preliminary chemical results classified the Made Ground as Hazardous within the proposed soft landscaped gardens. Further testing is recommended by the contractor, as part of a materials management plan. It is likely that natural soils could be handled as Inert Waste.

11.12 Further Action

Further investigation will be required into the presence of Lead & Mercury and additional site investigation in consultation with the local authority contaminated land officer. A Remediation Method Statement should be prepared. This report should be submitted to relevant regulatory bodies and warranty providers in good time for approval.

REPORT CONDITIONS

Interpretation of ground conditions inherently depends on the conditions revealed by a limited data set. Land Science takes all reasonable professional care in preparation of this report, using current standards and industry best practice. However, we accept no liability whatsoever expressed or implied in respect of:

- The scope, extent or design of an investigation.
- Any conditions not directly revealed by the investigation.
- Published standards or methodologies used or adopted in this report.
- The opinion of any other party including any regulator, authority or stakeholder.
- Any dispute, claim or consequential loss arising from this report.
- Any matter other than ground conditions in the area under investigation.

Information contained in this report is intended for the use of the Client and his agents for the purposes set out, and we accept no liability for its use by other party or for any other purpose.

This report makes no representation on other matters such as ecology, agronomy, arboriculture, structural condition, building materials, boundaries and planning etc.

No aspect of this report should be taken as a guarantee whatsoever that a site is free of pollution, contamination or hazardous materials.

The levels of mobile liquid or gaseous contaminants may vary over time. Further or additional investigation may be necessary.

GLOSSARY OF TERMS

ACM	Asbestos Containing Material	PQRA	Preliminary Quantitative Risk Assessment
BGS	British Geological Survey	PSD	Particle Size Distribution Test
BRE	Building Research Establishment	RMS	Remediation Method Statement
BS	British Standard	SGV	Soil Guideline Value
CBR	California Bearing Ratio	SOM	Soil Organic Matter
CDM	Construction Design and Management regulations	SPZ	Source Protection Zone
CIRIA	Construction Industry Research and Information Association	SPT	Standard Penetration Test
CL:AIRE	Contaminated Land: Applications in Real Environments	SSSI	Sites of Special Scientific Interest
CLEA	Contaminated Land Exposure Assessment model	ST-WEL	Short Term Workplace Exposure Limit
CoC	Chemical of Concern	SVOC's	Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds
CSM	Conceptual Site Model	TPH	Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons
EA	Environment Agency	TRRL	Transport Road Research Laboratory
EQS	Environmental Quality Standards	TWA-WEL	Time Weighted Average Workplace Exposure Limit
FOC	Fraction of Organic Carbon	UK HBF	United Kingdom House Building Federation
GAC	Generic Assessment Criterion	VOC's	Volatile Organic Compounds
mbgl	Meters Below Ground Level	WAC	Waste Acceptance Criteria
NHBC	National House Building Council		
mod	Metres above Ordnance Datum		
PAH's	Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons		
PCoC	Potential Contaminant of Concern		
PBET	Physiological Based Extraction Testing		
PHE	Public Health England		
PID	Photo-Ionisation Detector		

ACCOMPANYING NOTES – SOIL CONTAMINATION

Screening Suites

The LS1 routine screening suite is based broadly upon determinands listed within the former ICRL guidance note 59/83 2nd edition 1987, CLR publication CLR8, and Environment Agency R&D66 publication. Additional testing for stone and moisture content, fraction of organic carbon ('foc'), and pH value, were also undertaken. Given that Sulphate is not a priority in terms of human health, water soluble Sulphate is analysed instead in order to assess the risks posed to the built environment.

Site Workers

Site managers are responsible for the safety of persons in their employ under a variety of instruments including the CDM regulations and Health & Safety at Work Act. In terms of working on contaminated sites, guidance can be sought from the CIRIA publication entitled "A Guide for Safe Working on Contaminated Sites".

Any work in confined spaces should only be carried out following appropriate risk assessment and following suitable safety protocols in accordance with the HSE guidance entitled "Work in Confined Spaces". A detailed risk assessment can be prepared in this respect, but is outside the scope of this appointment.

Discovery Strategy

Unexpected soil conditions may be encountered during the process of site demolition and construction. Examples may include oily pockets within the soil, pockets of cement boarding or fibrous materials within the soil, black ashy materials, soils exhibiting strong odours, brightly coloured materials, and former structures or brickwork.

Should previously undiscovered contamination be encountered during construction by the ground worker's, this should be reported to the Geo-Environmental Consultant immediately in order that any necessary inspection may be made. All site workers should be made aware of their responsibility to observe, report, and act on any potentially suspicious or contaminated materials they may encounter.

Primary and Secondary Sources

The secondary sources used in this report are: soil, groundwater and ground gases, as summarised below:

Secondary source	Summary
Soil	Contaminants bound into or entrained with the soil matrix, for instance ashes, clinkers, bituminous materials, asbestos containing materials, etc. Also, soils may become contaminated by other activities, such as leaking chemical storage, drainage and the like, becoming bound into the soil mineralogy or organic matter. Soils may also generate soil-borne dusts and volatile organic compounds may generate organic vapours.
Volatile vapours	Many organic compounds are either volatile or semi volatile (at different temperatures and pressures) which mean they will volatilise and generate vapours. In an enclosed system, the ratio of vapours to other compartments will come into equilibrium, but in open systems the process may continue until the source has been depleted.
Ground gases	Organic matter, including wastes, hydrocarbons and other compounds, will decay through microbial action. This will primarily release Carbon Dioxide but may also release Methane under anaerobic conditions. This may be an issue in natural soils (e.g. alluvium and dock silt) in man-made soils (e.g. landfill sites and filled ground) and other environments (e.g. mine workings).
Groundwater	Contaminants may dissolve into pore water which in turn can percolate downwards into the groundwater table. Rapid discharge of fluids may also enter groundwater directly. Organic compounds may form separate light or dense non-aqueous phase liquids upon or at the base of the water column. Organic contaminants may generate organic vapours.


REFERENCES

¹ Revised EU Waste Framework Directive 2008 2008/98/EC [transposed into English law under The Waste (England and Wales) Regulations 2011]


² Revised EU Waste Framework Directive 2008 2008/98/EC [transposed into English law under The Waste (England and Wales) Regulations 2011]

³ European Community (EC) Directive 1999/31/EC [transposed into English law under the Landfill (England and Wales) Regulations 2002]


FIGURES



Title: Godwin and Crowndale Estate		Reference: LS4257	
Project: Phase II Report		Figure: 1	
Client: Camden Borough Council		Date: 11/06/2019	
Prepared by: MB	Checked by: TK	Version: 1	Sheet: 1



7500
 tive. Not to scale.

	TITLE:	Existing Site / Investigation Layout	REF:	LS4257	PREPARED:	TK
	PROJECT:	Godwin & Crownsdale Estate, Crowndale Rd, London NW1 1NW	FIGURE:	3	CHECKED:	ET
	CLIENT:	Camden Council	DATE:	11/06/2019	VERSION	V1

APPENDIX A



BH1



WS1.2 Soakage Test



TITLE:	Photo Sheet	REF:	LS4257	PREPARED:	MB
PROJECT:	Godwin and Crowndale Estate	FIGURE:	A	CHECKED:	ET
CLIENT:	Camden Borough Council	DATE:	21/06/2019	VERSION	V1




WS5



WS2









WS3

	TITLE: Photo Sheet		REF: LS4257	
	PROJECT: Godwin and Crowndale Estate		FIGURE 1	
	CLIENT: Camden Borough Council		DATE: 21/06/2019	
	CHECK: ET	CHECK: ET	VERSION V1	SHEET: 1 OF 1

APPENDIX B

Excavation Method Drive-in Windowless Sampler	Dimensions	Ground Level (mOD) 21.50	Client Camden Council	Job Number LS4257
	Location	Dates 20/05/2019	Engineer Land Science	Sheet 1/1

Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Water Depth (m)	Field Records	Level (mOD)	Depth (m) (Thickness)	Description	Legend	Water
0.40	D			21.40	0.10	Dark brown sandy CLAY with abundant rootlets. (MADE GROUND)		
0.80	D			20.80	0.70 (0.30)	Light brown slightly clayey gravelly SAND. Gravel is fine to coarse brick, glass and tile. Numerous cobbles of brick and concrete. (MADE GROUND)		
1.50	D			20.50	1.00	Dark brown slightly clayey gravelly fine to coarse SAND. Gravel are fragments of brick, glass and tile. (MADE GROUND)		
2.30	D				(1.60)	Light brown slightly clayey gravelly SAND. Sand is fine to coarse. Gravel is fine to coarse, sub angular to rounded brick and flint and occasional charcoal. (MADE GROUND)		
2.80	D			18.90	2.60 (0.20)	Light brown slightly sandy gravelly CLAY. Sand is fine to coarse. Gravel is fine to coarse, sub angular to rounded brick and flint and occasional charcoal. (MADE GROUND)		
				18.70	2.80 (0.20)	Light brown and orangish brown and bluish grey mottled CLAY. (LONDON CLAY FORMATION)		
				18.50	3.00	Terminated at 3.00m		








Remarks GROUNDWATER: None encountered BACKFILL: Backfilled with arisings. NOTES: Hand excavated inspection pit to 1.20mbgl. Borehole terminated at 3.00m due to instability.	Scale (approx)	Logged By
	1:50	MB
	Figure No. LS4257.WS1.1	

Excavation Method Drive-in Windowless Sampler	Dimensions	Ground Level (mOD) 21.50	Client Camden Council	Job Number LS4257
	Location	Dates 20/05/2019	Engineer Land Science	Sheet 1/1

Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Water Depth (m)	Field Records	Level (mOD)	Depth (m) (Thickness)	Description	Legend	Water
0.30	D			21.40	0.10 (0.40)	Dark brown clayey medium SAND with abundant grass rootlets. (MADE GROUND)		
0.70	D			21.00	0.50	Light brown gravelly clayey SAND. Sand is medium. Fine to coarse sub angular to rounded flint, brick and chalk. (MADE GROUND)		
1.20	HP 50kPa				(1.50)	Light brown mottled blueish grey gravelly CLAY. Gravel is fine to coarse, sub angular to rounded chalk and flint and fragments of concrete and brick. (MADE GROUND)		
1.70	D							
2.00	SV 52kPa			19.50	2.00 (0.40)	Orangish brown mottled with bluish grey CLAY with rare fine subangular flint gravel and brick fragments. (MADE GROUND)		
2.20	HP 100kPa			19.10	2.40			
2.40	D			19.00	2.50	Orangish brown mottled with bluish grey gravelly CLAY. Gravel is fragments of brick. (MADE GROUND)		
2.80	HP 175kPa			18.90	2.60	Orangish brown mottled with bluish grey CLAY with rare fine subangular flint gravel. (LONDON CLAY FORMATION)		
2.80	D							
3.00	SV 150kPa					Light purplish brown CLAY with bluish grey mottling, selenite and occasional orange brown fine sand lenses. (LONDON CLAY FORMATION) ...Decayed root at 3.40m.		
3.20	HP 213kPa				(1.60)			
3.20	D							
3.70	HP 213kPa							
3.70	D							
4.00	SV 143kPa							
4.10	HP 175kPa			17.30	4.20 (0.80)	Light purplish brown CLAY with orange brown fine sand lenses and selenite with bluish grey veins. (LONDON CLAY FORMATION)		
4.10	D							
4.50	HP 200kPa							
4.50	D							
4.80	HP 200kPa							
4.80	D							
5.00	SV 174kPa			16.50	5.00	Terminated at 5.00m		









Remarks GROUNDWATER: None encountered BACKFILL: Backfilled with arisings. NOTES: Hand excavated inspection pit to 1.20m bgl. Borehole terminated at target depth.	Scale (approx)	Logged By
	1:50	MB
	Figure No. LS4257.WS1.2	

Excavation Method Drive-in Windowless Sampler	Dimensions	Ground Level (mOD) 21.45	Client Camden Council	Job Number LS4257
	Location	Dates 20/05/2019	Engineer Land Science	Sheet 1/1

Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Water Depth (m)	Field Records	Level (mOD)	Depth (m) (Thickness)	Description	Legend	Water
0.50	D			21.25	(0.20) 0.20	Dark brown sandy CLAY with abundant grass roots. Sand is medium. (MADE GROUND)		
					(0.70)	Dark brown very gravelly slightly clayey SAND, sand is fine to medium. Gravel is fine to coarse angular to rounded flint, clinker, slate and bricks. (MADE GROUND)		
1.20	D			20.55	0.90	Light brownish grey very gravelly clayey SAND. Sand is medium to coarse. Gravel is fine to coarse brick, concrete and clinker. (MADE GROUND) ... Sandstone cobble 70mm length encountered at 1.00m. ... Whole brick encountered at 1.50m.		
1.90	D			19.45	2.00	Dark brown very gravelly clayey SAND. Sand is medium to coarse. Gravel is fine to coarse brick, concrete and slate. (MADE GROUND)		
2.10	D			19.05	(0.40)			
2.40	D			19.05	2.40	Light brown gravelly clayey SAND. Sand is medium to coarse. Gravel is fine to coarse, sub angular to rounded flint and brick fragments. (MADE GROUND)		
2.60	D			18.75	(0.30)			
2.80	HP 125kPa			18.75	2.70			
2.80	D			18.45	(0.30)	Brown and blueish grey mottled gravelly CLAY. Gravel is medium, subangular to rounded flint with black staining around gravels. (LONDON CLAY FORMATION)		
3.00	SV 87kPa				3.00			
3.10	HP 138kPa							
3.20	D							
3.50	D							
3.60	HP 125kPa							
3.80	HP 150kPa							
3.80	D				(2.00)	Light purplish brown and bluish grey veined CLAY with medium orangish brown sand lenses. (LONDON CLAY FORMATION) ... Selenite crystals from 3.80m.		
4.20	HP 188kPa							
4.30	D							
4.50	SV 112kPa							
4.50	D							
4.50	HP 163kPa							
4.70	HP 188kPa							
4.80	D							
4.90	HP 213kPa			16.45	5.00	Terminated at 5.00m		
5.00	SV 148kPa							










Remarks GROUNDWATER: None encountered BACKFILL: Backfilled with arisings. NOTES: Hand excavated inspection pit to 1.20m bgl. Borehole terminated at target depth.	Scale (approx)	Logged By
	1:50	MB
	Figure No. Is4257.WS2	

Excavation Method Drive-in Windowless Sampler	Dimensions	Ground Level (mOD) 21.20	Client Camden Council	Job Number LS4257
	Location	Dates 20/05/2019	Engineer Land Science	Sheet 1/1

Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Water Depth (m)	Field Records	Level (mOD)	Depth (m) (Thickness)	Description	Legend	Water
0.30	D			20.90	(0.30)	Dark brown clayey gravelly SAND with abundant grass roots. Sand is medium. Gravel is fine to coarse, sub angular to rounded flint and glass fragments. (MADE GROUND)		
0.50	D			20.55	(0.35)			
0.60	D				0.65	Dark brown clayey gravelly SAND. Sand is medium. Gravel is fine to coarse, sub angular to rounded flint, brick and concrete fragments. (MADE GROUND)		
0.80	D			20.10	(0.45)			
1.30	HP 138kPa				1.10	Pale brown very sandy clayey GRAVEL. Sand is medium to coarse. Gravel is fine to coarse, subangular to rounded flint and occasional fine brick fragments. (MADE GROUND) ... A shell of 30mm length was encountered at 0.90m.		
1.30	D							
1.70	D				(1.50)	Brown and orangish brown and red mottled sandy CLAY with fine decayed roots. Sand is medium. (LONDON CLAY FORMATION) ... Band of fine to medium, subangular to rounded flint gravel encountered between 1.70m and 1.90m. ... Becoming more sandy from 2.00m.		
1.90	HP 125kPa							
1.90	D							
2.00	SV 49kPa							
2.30	HP 150kPa							
2.30	D							
2.60	HP 125kPa			18.60	2.60	Brown and orangish brown CLAY mottled with beige and bluish grey CLAY with occasional orangish brown fine to medium micaceous sand lenses. (LONDON CLAY FORMATION)		
2.60	D							
3.00	SV 101kPa				(1.40)			
3.40	HP 175kPa							
3.40	D					Terminated at 4.00m		
3.80	HP 175kPa							
3.80	D							
3.90	SV 116kPa			17.20	4.00			









Remarks GROUNDWATER: None encountered BACKFILL: Backfilled with arisings. NOTES: Hand excavated inspection pit to 1.20m bgl. Borehole terminated at 4.0m due to obstruction (possibly tree roots).	Scale (approx)	Logged By
	1:50	MB
	Figure No. Is4257.WS3	

Excavation Method Drive-in Windowless Sampler	Dimensions	Ground Level (mOD) 21.72	Client Camden Council	Job Number LS4257
	Location	Dates 21/05/2019	Engineer Land Science	Sheet 1/1

Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Water Depth (m)	Field Records	Level (mOD)	Depth (m) (Thickness)	Description	Legend	Water
0.35	D			21.37	(0.35)	Dark brown slightly sandy slightly gravelly CLAY. Sand is medium. (MADE GROUND)		
0.70	D			21.17	(0.35) (0.20) 0.55	Brown sandy gravelly CLAY. Sand is medium. Gravel is fine to medium, sub angular flint and boulder concrete and brick. (MADE GROUND)		
1.20	D			20.52	(0.65)	Reddish brown slightly clayey gravelly SAND. Sand is medium to coarse, gravel is subangular to rounded flint, crushed brick and brick cobbles. (MADE GROUND)		
1.50	HP 125kPa							
1.70	D			19.92	(0.60)	Pale brown gravelly CLAY. Gravel is fine to coarse, sub angular to subrounded flint, cream and charcoal with crushed brick. (MADE GROUND)		
1.80	HP 100kPa			19.72	(1.80) (0.20) 2.00	Bluish grey and orangish brown slightly gravelly mottled CLAY with black staining. Gravel is rare fine subangular flint. (LONDON CLAY FORMATION)		
2.00	SV 68kPa			19.42	(0.30)	No recovery.		
2.20	D							
2.40	D							
2.80	HP 125kPa			18.92	(0.50)	Pale orangish brown and bluish grey mottled slightly sandy gravelly CLAY with orangish brown fine sand pockets. Sand is fine to medium. Gravel is fine to medium sub angular to rounded flint. (LONDON CLAY FORMATION)		
2.80	D			18.72	(0.20) 3.00	Orangish brown CLAY with bluish grey clay veining and beige clay pockets. (LONDON CLAY FORMATION)		
3.00	SV 114kPa							
3.20	HP 125kPa							
3.20	D							
3.70	HP 150kPa							
3.70	D							
4.00	SV 127kPa				(2.00)	Dark brown CLAY with bluish grey veining and occasional orangish brown, fine to medium sand pockets. (LONDON CLAY FORMATION)		
4.10	D							
4.20	HP 200kPa							
4.50	HP 213kPa							
4.50	D							
4.70	HP 200kPa							
4.80	D							
5.00	SV 172kPa			16.72	5.00	Terminated at 5.00m		

Remarks GROUNDWATER: None encountered BACKFILL: Backfilled with arisings. NOTES: Hand excavated inspection pit to 1.20mbgl. Borehole terminated at target depth.	Scale (approx)	Logged By
	1:50	MB
	Figure No. LS4257.WS5	

Boring Method Cable Percussion	Casing Diameter		Ground Level (mOD) 21.65	Client Camden Council	Job Number LS4257
	Location		Dates 21/05/2019	Engineer Land Science	Sheet 1/2

Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Casing Depth (m)	Water Depth (m)	Field Records	Level (mOD)	Depth (m) (Thickness)	Description	Legend	Water
0.30	D				21.45	(0.20)	Tarmac (MADE GROUND)		
0.50	B				21.35	0.20 0.30	Dark brown clayey gravelly SAND. Sand is medium. Gravel is fine to medium sub angular to subrounded flint and crushed brick. (MADE GROUND)		
1.20-1.65	SPT(C) N=40 B			5,7/9,10,10,11		(2.00)	Reddish brown sandy GRAVEL. Sand is medium to coarse. Gravel is crushed brick, concrete, clinker and subangular to rounded flint. (MADE GROUND)		
1.75	D								
2.00-2.25	SPT(C) 16/100 B			4,5/4,4,3,5					
2.00-2.45					19.35	2.30	Brown CLAY with orangish brown fine micaceous sand pockets. (LONDON CLAY FORMATION)		
2.75	D				18.90	2.75	Brown CLAY with bluish grey clay veins and selenite crystals (LONDON CLAY FORMATION)		
3.00-3.45	U								
3.75	D								
4.00-4.45	SPT N=20 D			4,4/4,5,5,6					
4.00-4.45						(3.65)			
4.75	D								
5.00-5.45	U								
6.00	D								
6.50-6.95	SPT N=38 D			9,5/7,9,10,12	15.25	6.40	Orangish brown gravelly CLAY with fine to medium orangish brown sand lenses. Gravel is reddish brown medium to coarse subangular sandstone. (LONDON CLAY FORMATION)		
6.50-6.95						(1.10)			
7.50	D				14.15	7.50	Dark grey slightly silty CLAY with lenses of fine orangish brown sand and coarse selenite crystals.(LONDON CLAY FORMATION)		
8.00-8.45	U					(1.50)			
9.00	D				12.65	9.00	Dark grey sandy CLAY with fine selenite crystals. Sand is fine. (LONDON CLAY FORMATION)		
9.50-9.95	SPT N=25 D			4,4/5,6,7,7					
9.50-9.95									

Remarks GROUNDWATER: None encountered. DIAMETER: 150mm throughout. BACKFILL: Backfilled with arisings. NOTES: Hand excavated inspection pit to 1.20mbgl. Borehole terminated at target depth.	Scale (approx)	Logged By
	1:50	MB
	Figure No. Is4257.BH1	

Boring Method Cable Percussion	Casing Diameter		Ground Level (mOD) 21.65	Client Camden Council	Job Number LS4257
	Location		Dates 21/05/2019	Engineer Land Science	Sheet 2/2

Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Casing Depth (m)	Water Depth (m)	Field Records	Level (mOD)	Depth (m) (Thickness)	Description	Legend	Water
10.50	D								
11.00-11.45	U								
12.00	D					(6.00)			
12.50-12.95 12.50-12.95	SPT N=27 D			4,5/6,6,7,8					
13.50	D								
14.00	D								
14.55-15.00	U								
					6.65	15.00	Terminated at 15.00m		

Remarks	Scale (approx) 1:50	Logged By MB
	Figure No. Is4257.BH1	

APPENDIX C



TEST CERTIFICATE

i2 Analytical Ltd
7 Woodshots Meadow
Croxley Green Business Park
Watford Herts WD18 8YS



One Dimensional Consolidation Test

Tested in Accordance with: BS 1377-5: 1990: Clause 3


Client: Land Science
Client Address: The Old Police Station, Jobs Lane,
Sayers Common, West Sussex,
BN6 9HE
Contact: Tom Kistruck
Site Name: Godwin and Crowndale Estate
Site Address: Not Given

Client Reference: LS4257
Job Number: 19-42706
Date Sampled: 22/05/2019
Date Received: 23/05/2019
Date Tested: 28/05/2019
Sampled By: Not Given

Test Results:

Laboratory Reference: 1229525
Hole No.: BH1
Sample Reference: Not Given
Sample Description: Brown CLAY

Depth Top [m]: 11.00
Depth Base [m]: 11.45
Sample Type: U



Applied Pressure kPa	Voids ratio	Mv m2/MN	Cv (t50, log) m2/yr	Cv (t90, root) m2/yr	Csec
0	0.713	-	-	-	-
100	0.650	0.37	N/A	N/A	N/A
200	0.631	0.12	15	N/A	N/A
400	0.596	0.11	2.2	3.6	0.0019
800	0.550	0.072	1.5	2.6	0.0013
1 200	0.523	0.044	0.59	2.2	0.0019
400	0.552	0.024			

Preparation

Index tests

Orientation of the sample	Vertical
Particle density	assumed 2.65 Mg/m3
Liquid limit	N/A %
Plastic limit	N/A %

Specimen details

	Initial	Final	
Diameter	50.00	-	mm
Height	20.00	18.11	mm
Moisture Content	26	26	%
Bulk density	1.95	2.15	Mg/m3
Dry density	1.55	1.71	Mg/m3
Voids Ratio	0.713	0.552	
Saturation	96	125	%
Avg. temperature for test	22.0		°C
Swelling Pressure	Not measured		kPa
Settlement on saturation			%

Note: Cv corrected to 20°C

Remarks: Stage 1-swelling

Approved: Dariusz Piotrowski
PL Geotechnical Laboratory Manager
Date Reported: 07/06/2019

Signed: Darren Berrill
Geotechnical General Manager
for and on behalf of i2 Analytical Ltd GF 172.11

"Opinions and interpretations expressed herein are outside of the scope of the UKAS Accreditation. This report may not be reproduced other than in full without the prior written approval of the issuing laboratory. The results included within the report are representative of the samples submitted for analysis. The analysis was carried out at i2 Analytical Limited, ul. Pionierow 39, 41-711 Ruda Slaska, Poland."

"Any assessment of compliance with specifications based on the analytical results in a report take in to account no contribution from uncertainty of measurement. Application of uncertainty of measurement would provide a range within which the true result lies. An estimate of measurement uncertainty can be provided on request."



TEST CERTIFICATE

Unconsolidated Undrained

Triaxial Compression

Tested in Accordance with:
BS 1377-7: 1990: Clause 8

i2 Analytical Ltd
7 Woodshots Meadow
Croxley Green Business Park
Watford Herts WD18 8YS



Client: Land Science
Client Address: The Old Police Station, Jobs Lane,
Sayers Common, West Sussex,
BN6 9HE
Contact: Tom Kistruck
Site Name: Godwin and Crowndale Estate
Site Address: Not Given

Client Reference: LS4257
Job Number: 19-42706
Date Sampled: 22/05/2019
Date Received: 23/05/2019
Date Tested: 28/05/2019
Sampled By: Not Given

Test Results:


Laboratory Reference: 1229524
Hole No.: BH1
Sample Reference: Not Given
Sample Description: Brown CLAY

Depth Top [m]: 8.00
Depth Base [m]: 8.45
Sample Type: U


Test Number	1
Length	197.87 mm
Diameter	103.29 mm
Bulk Density	1.97 Mg/m ³
Moisture Content	26 %
Dry Density	1.57 Mg/m ³
Membrane Correction	0.11 kPa

Rate of Strain	2.00 %/min
Cell Pressure	160 kPa
Axial Strain at failure	2.1 %
Deviator Stress, ($\sigma_1 - \sigma_3$) _f	144 kPa
Undrained Shear Strength, c_u	72 kPa $\frac{1}{2}(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)_f$
Mode of Failure	Brittle
Membrane thickness	0.21 mm

Deviator Stress v Axial Strain



Mohr Circles



Position within sample



Note: Deviator stress corrected for area change and membrane effects. Mohr circles and their interpretation is not covered by BS1377.
This is provided for information only.

Remarks:

Approved: Dariusz Piotrowski
PL Geotechnical Laboratory Manager
Date Reported: 07/06/2019

Signed: Darren Berrill
Geotechnical General Manager
for and on behalf of i2 Analytical Ltd GF 184.7

Opinions and interpretations expressed herein are outside of the scope of the UKAS Accreditation. This report may not be reproduced other than in full without the prior written approval of the issuing laboratory. The results included within the report are representative of the samples submitted for analysis. The analysis was carried out at i2 Analytical Limited, ul. Pionierow 39, 41-711 Ruda Slaska, Poland.

Any assessment of compliance with specifications based the analytical results in a report take in to account no contribution from uncertainty of measurement. Application of uncertainty of measurement would provide a range within which the true result lies. An estimate of measurement uncertainty can be provided on request.



TEST CERTIFICATE

Unconsolidated Undrained

Triaxial Compression

Tested in Accordance with:
BS 1377-7: 1990: Clause 8

i2 Analytical Ltd
7 Woodshots Meadow
Croxley Green Business Park
Watford Herts WD18 8YS



Client: Land Science
Client Address: The Old Police Station, Jobs Lane,
Sayers Common, West Sussex,
BN6 9HE
Contact: Tom Kistruck
Site Name: Godwin and Crowndale Estate
Site Address: Not Given

Client Reference: LS4257
Job Number: 19-42706
Date Sampled: 22/05/2019
Date Received: 23/05/2019
Date Tested: 28/05/2019
Sampled By: Not Given

Test Results:


Laboratory Reference: 1229525
Hole No.: BH1
Sample Reference: Not Given
Sample Description: Brown CLAY

Depth Top [m]: 11.00
Depth Base [m]: 11.45
Sample Type: U


Test Number	1
Length	200.24 mm
Diameter	101.86 mm
Bulk Density	2.07 Mg/m ³
Moisture Content	26 %
Dry Density	1.65 Mg/m ³
Membrane Correction	0.50 kPa

Rate of Strain	2.00 %/min
Cell Pressure	220 kPa
Axial Strain at failure	11.8 %
Deviator Stress, (σ ₁ - σ ₃) _f	394 kPa
Undrained Shear Strength, c _u	197 kPa ½(σ ₁ - σ ₃) _f
Mode of Failure	Compound
Membrane thickness	0.20 mm

Deviator Stress v Axial Strain



Mohr Circles



Position within sample



Note: Deviator stress corrected for area change and membrane effects. Mohr circles and their interpretation is not covered by BS1377.
This is provided for information only.

Remarks:

Approved: Dariusz Piotrowski
PL Geotechnical Laboratory Manager
Date Reported: 07/06/2019

Signed: Darren Berrill
Geotechnical General Manager
for and on behalf of i2 Analytical Ltd GF 184.7

*Opinions and interpretations expressed herein are outside of the scope of the UKAS Accreditation.
This report may not be reproduced other than in full without the prior written approval of the issuing laboratory.
The results included within the report are representative of the samples submitted for analysis.
The analysis was carried out at i2 Analytical Limited, ul. Pionierow 39, 41-711 Ruda Slaska, Poland.*

Any assessment of compliance with specifications based the analytical results in a report take in to account no contribution from uncertainty of measurement. Application of uncertainty of measurement would provide a range within which the true result lies. An estimate of measurement uncertainty can be provided on request.



TEST CERTIFICATE

Unconsolidated Undrained

Triaxial Compression

Tested in Accordance with:
BS 1377-7: 1990: Clause 8

i2 Analytical Ltd
7 Woodshots Meadow
Croxley Green Business Park
Watford Herts WD18 8YS



Client: Land Science
Client Address: The Old Police Station, Jobs Lane,
Sayers Common, West Sussex,
BN6 9HE
Contact: Tom Kistruck
Site Name: Godwin and Crowndale Estate
Site Address: Not Given

Client Reference: LS4257
Job Number: 19-42706
Date Sampled: 22/05/2019
Date Received: 23/05/2019
Date Tested: 28/05/2019
Sampled By: Not Given

Test Results:


Laboratory Reference: 1229526
Hole No.: BH1
Sample Reference: Not Given
Sample Description: Brown CLAY

Depth Top [m]: 14.55
Depth Base [m]: 15.00
Sample Type: U


Test Number	1
Length	191.54 mm
Diameter	103.77 mm
Bulk Density	1.92 Mg/m ³
Moisture Content	24 %
Dry Density	1.55 Mg/m ³
Membrane Correction	0.21 kPa

Rate of Strain	2.00 %/min
Cell Pressure	291 kPa
Axial Strain at failure	5.0 %
Deviator Stress, ($\sigma_1 - \sigma_3$) _f	320 kPa
Undrained Shear Strength, c_u	160 kPa $\frac{1}{2}(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)_f$
Mode of Failure	Brittle
Membrane thickness	0.16 mm

Deviator Stress v Axial Strain



Mohr Circles



Position within sample



Note: Deviator stress corrected for area change and membrane effects. Mohr circles and their interpretation is not covered by BS1377.
This is provided for information only.

Remarks:

Approved: Dariusz Piotrowski
PL Geotechnical Laboratory Manager
Date Reported: 07/06/2019

Signed: Darren Berrill
Geotechnical General Manager
for and on behalf of i2 Analytical Ltd GF 184.7

Opinions and interpretations expressed herein are outside of the scope of the UKAS Accreditation. This report may not be reproduced other than in full without the prior written approval of the issuing laboratory. The results included within the report are representative of the samples submitted for analysis. The analysis was carried out at i2 Analytical Limited, ul. Pionierow 39, 41-711 Ruda Slaska, Poland.

Any assessment of compliance with specifications based the analytical results in a report take in to account no contribution from uncertainty of measurement. Application of uncertainty of measurement would provide a range within which the true result lies. An estimate of measurement uncertainty can be provided on request.

APPENDIX D



Tom Kistruck
Land Science
The Old Police Station
Jobs Lane
Sayers Common
West Sussex
BN6 9HE

i2 Analytical Ltd.
7 Woodshots Meadow,
Croxley Green
Business Park,
Watford,
Herts,
WD18 8YS

t: 0845 604 6494

e: Tom.Kistruck@landscience.co.uk

t: 01923 225404

f: 01923 237404

e: reception@i2analytical.com

Analytical Report Number : 19-42649

Project / Site name:	Godwin and Crowndale Estate	Samples received on:	23/05/2019
Your job number:	LS4257	Samples instructed on:	23/05/2019
Your order number:		Analysis completed by:	04/06/2019
Report Issue Number:	1	Report issued on:	04/06/2019
Samples Analysed:	7 soil samples		

Signed:

Dr Claire Stone
Quality Manager
For & on behalf of i2 Analytical Ltd.

Standard Geotechnical, Asbestos and Chemical Testing Laboratory located at: ul. Pionierów 39, 41 -711 Ruda Śląska, Poland.

Accredited tests are defined within the report, opinions and interpretations expressed herein are outside the scope of accreditation.

Standard sample disposal times, unless otherwise agreed with the laboratory, are :

soils	- 4 weeks from reporting
leachates	- 2 weeks from reporting
waters	- 2 weeks from reporting
asbestos	- 6 months from reporting

Excel copies of reports are only valid when accompanied by this PDF certificate.

Any assessments of compliance with specifications are based on actual analytical results with no contribution from uncertainty of measurement. Application of uncertainty of measurement would provide a range within which the true result lies. An estimate of measurement uncertainty can be provided on request.

Iss No 19-42649-1 Godwin and Crowndale Estate LS4257

This certificate should not be reproduced, except in full, without the express permission of the laboratory.

The results included within the report are representative of the samples submitted for analysis.

Page 1 of 6



4041



Environmental Science

Analytical Report Number: 19-42649

Project / Site name: Godwin and Crowndale Estate

Lab Sample Number	1229211	1229212	1229213	1229214	1229215			
Sample Reference	BH1	WS1.1	WS1.2	WS2	WS3			
Sample Number	D	ES	D	ES	D			
Depth (m)	14.00	0.40	0.30	0.50	0.30			
Date Sampled	22/05/2019	22/05/2019	22/05/2019	22/05/2019	22/05/2019			
Time Taken	None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied			
Analytical Parameter (Soil Analysis)	Units	Limit of detection	Accreditation Status					
Stone Content	%	0.1	NONE	< 0.1	40	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
Moisture Content	%	N/A	NONE	15	10	14	8.5	8.0
Total mass of sample received	kg	0.001	NONE	0.87	1.1	1.1	1.6	1.1

Asbestos in Soil Screen / Identification Name	Type	N/A	ISO 17025	-	Chrysotile	-	Chrysotile	-
Asbestos in Soil	Type	N/A	ISO 17025	-	Detected	-	Detected	-
Asbestos Quantification (Stage 2)	%	0.001	ISO 17025	-	< 0.001	-	< 0.001	-
Asbestos Quantification Total	%	0.001	ISO 17025	-	< 0.001	-	< 0.001	-

General Inorganics

pH - Automated	pH Units	N/A	MCERTS	8.8	10.6	8.4	8.3	8.6
Total Cyanide	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	-	< 1	-	< 1	-
Water Soluble SO4 16hr extraction (2:1 Leachate Equivalent)	g/l	0.00125	MCERTS	0.88	0.35	0.22	0.21	0.13
Sulphide	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	-	7.8	-	11	-
Fraction Organic Carbon (FOC)	N/A	0.001	MCERTS	-	0.0079	-	0.018	-

Total Phenols

Total Phenols (monohydric)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	-	< 1.0	-	< 1.0	-
----------------------------	-------	---	--------	---	-------	---	-------	---

Speciated PAHs

Naphthalene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	-	< 0.05	-	< 0.05	-
Acenaphthylene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	-	< 0.05	-	0.21	-
Acenaphthene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	-	< 0.05	-	0.26	-
Fluorene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	-	< 0.05	-	0.42	-
Phenanthrene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	-	0.49	-	3.3	-
Anthracene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	-	0.10	-	0.64	-
Fluoranthene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	-	1.1	-	5.3	-
Pyrene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	-	1.0	-	4.6	-
Benzo(a)anthracene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	-	0.58	-	3.3	-
Chrysene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	-	0.59	-	2.1	-
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	-	0.66	-	3.4	-
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	-	0.38	-	1.3	-
Benzo(a)pyrene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	-	0.57	-	2.7	-
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	-	0.37	-	1.5	-
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	-	< 0.05	-	0.43	-
Benzo(ghi)perylene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	-	0.43	-	1.9	-
Coronene	mg/kg	0.05	NONE	-	< 0.05	-	< 0.05	-

Total PAH

Total WAC-17 PAHs	mg/kg	0.85	NONE	-	6.2	-	31	-
-------------------	-------	------	------	---	-----	---	----	---

Heavy Metals / Metalloids

Arsenic (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	-	21	-	28	-
Barium (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	-	260	-	750	-
Beryllium (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	0.06	MCERTS	-	0.56	-	0.95	-
Boron (water soluble)	mg/kg	0.2	MCERTS	-	2.2	-	1.7	-
Cadmium (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	0.2	MCERTS	-	0.5	-	0.6	-
Chromium (hexavalent)	mg/kg	1.2	MCERTS	-	< 1.2	-	< 1.2	-
Chromium (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	-	21	-	35	-
Copper (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	-	80	-	110	-
Lead (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	-	1400	-	1600	-
Mercury (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	0.3	MCERTS	-	2.8	-	1.4	-
Nickel (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	-	16	-	52	-
Selenium (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	-	< 1.0	-	1.2	-
Vanadium (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	-	51	-	51	-
Zinc (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	-	270	-	750	-



4041



Environmental Science

Analytical Report Number: 19-42649

Project / Site name: Godwin and Crowndale Estate

Lab Sample Number				1229216	1229217			
Sample Reference				WS3	WS5			
Sample Number				ES	ES			
Depth (m)				0.50	0.35			
Date Sampled				22/05/2019	22/05/2019			
Time Taken				None Supplied	None Supplied			
Analytical Parameter (Soil Analysis)	Units	Limit of detection	Accreditation Status					
Stone Content	%	0.1	NONE	-	< 0.1			
Moisture Content	%	N/A	NONE	-	18			
Total mass of sample received	kg	0.001	NONE	-	1.1			

Asbestos in Soil Screen / Identification Name	Type	N/A	ISO 17025	-	-			
Asbestos in Soil	Type	N/A	ISO 17025	Not-detected	Not-detected			
Asbestos Quantification (Stage 2)	%	0.001	ISO 17025	-	-			
Asbestos Quantification Total	%	0.001	ISO 17025	-	-			

General Inorganics

pH - Automated	pH Units	N/A	MCERTS	-	8.1			
Total Cyanide	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	-	< 1			
Water Soluble SO4 16hr extraction (2:1 Leachate Equivalent)	g/l	0.00125	MCERTS	-	0.078			
Sulphide	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	-	7.8			
Fraction Organic Carbon (FOC)	N/A	0.001	MCERTS	-	0.022			

Total Phenols

Total Phenols (monohydric)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	-	< 1.0			
----------------------------	-------	---	--------	---	-------	--	--	--

Speciated PAHs

Naphthalene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	-	< 0.05			
Acenaphthylene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	-	< 0.05			
Acenaphthene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	-	< 0.05			
Fluorene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	-	< 0.05			
Phenanthrene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	-	0.22			
Anthracene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	-	< 0.05			
Fluoranthene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	-	0.50			
Pyrene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	-	0.48			
Benzo(a)anthracene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	-	0.31			
Chrysene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	-	0.31			
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	-	0.39			
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	-	0.27			
Benzo(a)pyrene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	-	0.38			
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	-	0.26			
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	-	< 0.05			
Benzo(ghi)perylene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	-	0.34			
Coronene	mg/kg	0.05	NONE	-	< 0.05			

Total PAH

Total WAC-17 PAHs	mg/kg	0.85	NONE	-	3.5			
-------------------	-------	------	------	---	-----	--	--	--

Heavy Metals / Metalloids

Arsenic (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	-	16			
Barium (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	-	120			
Beryllium (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	0.06	MCERTS	-	1.0			
Boron (water soluble)	mg/kg	0.2	MCERTS	-	2.3			
Cadmium (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	0.2	MCERTS	-	0.4			
Chromium (hexavalent)	mg/kg	1.2	MCERTS	-	< 1.2			
Chromium (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	-	29			
Copper (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	-	41			
Lead (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	-	94			
Mercury (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	0.3	MCERTS	-	0.4			
Nickel (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	-	29			
Selenium (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	-	2.0			
Vanadium (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	-	57			
Zinc (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	-	100			



Analytical Report Number: 19-42649
Project / Site name: Godwin and Crowndale Estate
Your Order No:

Certificate of Analysis - Asbestos Quantification

Methods:

Qualitative Analysis

The samples were analysed qualitatively for asbestos by polarising light and dispersion staining as described by the Health and Safety Executive in HSG 248.

Quantitative Analysis

The analysis was carried out using our documented in-house method A006 based on HSE Contract Research Report No: 83/1996: Development and Validation of an analytical method to determine the amount of asbestos in soils and loose aggregates (Davies et al, 1996) and HSG 248. Our method includes initial examination of the entire representative sample, then fractionation and detailed analysis of each fraction, with quantification by hand picking and weighing.

The limit of detection (reporting limit) of this method is 0.001 %.

The method has been validated using samples of at least 100 g, results for samples smaller than this should be interpreted with caution.

Both Qualitative and Quantitative Analyses are UKAS accredited.

Sample Number	Sample ID	Sample Depth (m)	Sample Weight (g)	Asbestos Containing Material Types Detected (ACM)	PLM Results	Asbestos by hand picking/weighing (%)	Total % Asbestos in Sample
1229212	WS1.1	0.40	133	Loose Fibres	Chrysotile	< 0.001	< 0.001
1229214	WS2	0.50	136	Loose Fibres	Chrysotile	< 0.001	< 0.001

Opinions and interpretations expressed herein are outside the scope of UKAS accreditation.



4041

**Analytical Report Number : 19-42649****Project / Site name: Godwin and Crowndale Estate**

* These descriptions are only intended to act as a cross check if sample identities are questioned. The major constituent of the sample is intended to act with respect to MCERTS validation. The laboratory is accredited for sand, clay and loam (MCERTS) soil types. Data for unaccredited types of solid should be interpreted with care.

Stone content of a sample is calculated as the % weight of the stones not passing a 10 mm sieve. Results are not corrected for stone content.

Lab Sample Number	Sample Reference	Sample Number	Depth (m)	Sample Description *
1229211	BH1	D	14.00	Grey clay and sand.
1229212	WS1.1	ES	0.40	Brown clay and loam with stones and brick.
1229213	WS1.2	D	0.30	Brown sandy clay with gravel and brick.
1229214	WS2	ES	0.50	Brown clay and loam with gravel and brick.
1229215	WS3	D	0.30	Brown sandy loam with gravel and vegetation.
1229216	WS3	ES	0.50	-
1229217	WS5	ES	0.35	Brown clay and sand with gravel and vegetation.



4041



Environmental Science

Analytical Report Number : 19-42649**Project / Site name: Godwin and Crowndale Estate****Water matrix abbreviations: Surface Water (SW) Potable Water (PW) Ground Water (GW) Process Water (PrW)**

Analytical Test Name	Analytical Method Description	Analytical Method Reference	Method number	Wet / Dry Analysis	Accreditation Status
Asbestos identification in soil	Asbestos Identification with the use of polarised light microscopy in conjunction with disperion staining techniques.	In house method based on HSG 248	A001-PL	D	ISO 17025
Asbestos Quantification - Gravimetric	Asbestos quantification by gravimetric method - in house method based on references.	HSE Report No: 83/1996, HSG 248, HSG 264 & SCA Blue Book (draft).	A006-PL	D	ISO 17025
Boron, water soluble, in soil	Determination of water soluble boron in soil by hot water extract followed by ICP-OES.	In-house method based on Second Site Properties version 3	L038-PL	D	MCERTS
D.O. for Gravimetric Quant if Screen/ID positive	Dependent option for Gravimetric Quant if Screen/ID positive scheduled.	In house asbestos methods A001 & A006.	A006-PL	D	NONE
Fraction of Organic Carbon in soil	Determination of fraction of organic carbon in soil by oxidising with potassium dichromate followed by titration with iron (II) sulphate.	In-house method based on BS1377 Part 3, 1990, Chemical and Electrochemical Tests	L009-PL	D	MCERTS
Hexavalent chromium in soil (Lower Level)	Determination of hexavalent chromium in soil by extraction in water then by acidification, addition of 1,5 diphenylcarbazide followed by colorimetry.	In-house method	L080-PL	W	MCERTS
Metals in soil by ICP-OES	Determination of metals in soil by aqua-regia digestion followed by ICP-OES.	In-house method based on MEWAM 2006 Methods for the Determination of Metals in Soil.	L038-PL	D	MCERTS
Moisture Content	Moisture content, determined gravimetrically.	In-house method based on BS1377 Part 2, 1990, Chemical and Electrochemical Tests	L019-UK/PL	W	NONE
Monohydric phenols in soil	Determination of phenols in soil by extraction with sodium hydroxide followed by distillation followed by colorimetry.	In-house method based on Examination of Water and Wastewater 20th Edition: Clesceri, Greenberg & Eaton (skalar)	L080-PL	W	MCERTS
pH in soil (automated)	Determination of pH in soil by addition of water followed by automated electrometric measurement.	In-house method based on BS1377 Part 3, 1990, Chemical and Electrochemical Tests	L099-PL	D	MCERTS
Speciated WAC-17 PAHs in soil	Determination of PAH compounds in soil by extraction in dichloromethane and hexane followed by GC-MS with the use of surrogate and internal standards.	In-house method based on USEPA 8270. MCERTS accredited except Coronene.	L064-PL	D	NONE
Stones content of soil	Standard preparation for all samples unless otherwise detailed. Gravimetric determination of stone > 10 mm as % dry weight.	In-house method based on British Standard Methods and MCERTS requirements.	L019-UK/PL	D	NONE
Sulphate, water soluble, in soil (16hr extraction)	Determination of water soluble sulphate by ICP-OES. Results reported directly (leachate equivalent) and corrected for extraction ratio (soil equivalent).	In-house method based on BS1377 Part 3, 1990, Chemical and Electrochemical Tests, 2:1 water:soil extraction, analysis by ICP-OES.	L038-PL	D	MCERTS
Sulphide in soil	Determination of sulphide in soil by acidification and heating to liberate hydrogen sulphide, trapped in an alkaline solution then assayed by ion selective electrode.	In-house method	L010-PL	D	MCERTS
Total cyanide in soil	Determination of total cyanide by distillation followed by colorimetry.	In-house method based on Examination of Water and Wastewater 20th Edition: Clesceri, Greenberg & Eaton (Skalar)	L080-PL	W	MCERTS

For method numbers ending in 'UK' analysis have been carried out in our laboratory in the United Kingdom.

For method numbers ending in 'PL' analysis have been carried out in our laboratory in Poland.

Soil analytical results are expressed on a dry weight basis. Where analysis is carried out on as-received the results obtained are multiplied by a moisture correction factor that is determined gravimetrically using the moisture content which is carried out at a maximum of 30oC.