
From: Mark Duff [REDACTED]
Sent: 01 March 2024 12:29
To: Planning
Subject: Planning Application 2023/5081/P-13 Jeffrey's Place NW1 9PP

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] Beware – This email originated outside Camden Council and may be malicious Please take extra care with any links, attachments, requests to take action or for you to verify your password etc.

March 1 2024 8 Jeffrey's Street
Camden Town
NW1 9PP

Dear Sir or Madam

Response to Planning Application 2023/5081/P-13 Jeffrey's Place NW1 9PP

We would like to object in the strongest possible terms to the above proposal for works at 13 Jeffrey's Place.

We feel particularly vulnerable to the impact of the proposed works. When we moved to our home, at 8 Jeffrey's Street, in early 1997, we inherited a large sloping glass rooflight which faces south towards the rear of the townhouses in Jeffrey's Place, less than 16 metres away. At the time our privacy was safeguarded by the design of the houses in Jeffrey's Place, which featured relatively small rear windows, sensitively designed for a dense urban environment.

We were horrified on our return from a few years abroad, in 2014, to be greeted by the sight of a neighbour in Jeffrey's Place gazing down from a newly-constructed balcony straight into our previously private little haven of a garden.

The spread of balconies along the rear of Jeffrey's Place has already compromised the peace and privacy of homes in Jeffrey's Street. If permitted, this proposed development at 13 Jeffrey's Place would have a devastating impact — and effectively mark the end of any pretence of privacy. Indeed, if allowed to go ahead, it would set a precedent that would almost certainly see copycat development take place.

In short, we face the prospect of our home for thirty-odd years — a home we've been renovating with a view to seeing out our last years here — being directly overlooked, with residents in Jeffrey's Place given a direct line of sight into our living area. The impact on our wellbeing, mental health and, simply put, the enjoyment of living here would be profound and irreversible.

Please do not approve this development.

Yours faithfully

Mark Duff/Rosanna La Falce