

Delegated Report

Officer	Application Number(s)					
Liam Vincent	2024/0266/T					
Application Address						
49 Compayne Gardens, London NW6 3DB						
Proposal(s)						
REAR GARDEN: 1 x Cherry (T2) - Fell to ground level.						
Recommendation(s):	No objection to notification of intended works to Tree(s) in CA					
Application Type:	Notification of Intended Works to Tree(s) in a Conservation Area					
Consultations						
Adjoining Occupiers:	No. notified	28	No. of responses	1	No. of objections	1
Summary of consultation responses:	The Council received one response to this notification, which is summarised as follows: I would like to object to the proposed felling of this tree...the tree grows close to the boundary between the two properties and that roots are visible under the fence between the properties...this would be the second cherry tree (lost)...would represent a loss of biodiversity, habitat and visual amenity...a better solution would be to reduce the cherry tree height by say 30/40% rather than the drastic measure of felling the tree.					
CAAC/Local groups* comments: *Please Specify	None received					

Assessment

A s.211 notification has been received proposing works to three trees in two neighbouring rear gardens of private residences on Compayne Gardens, situated within the South Hampstead Conservation Area. This report concerns the s.211 notification ref 2024/0266/T and is for the proposed removal of a Cherry tree (T2). The associated notification for the neighbouring property is ref 2024/0269/T and concerns a Plane (T1) and Cherry tree (T2).

The works for this notification are to fell T2. No reason has been given for the proposed work.

T2 is in a neighbouring garden so it will be made clear that a determination of 'no objection' to the proposed works does not equal consent – consent to carry out works to a tree on someone else's property must be obtained from the owner by the applicant prior to starting work.

T2 is not visible from a public place.

The Council cannot specify what works are carried out for a tree not protected by a TPO.

Though the loss of T2 would be detrimental to the conservation area overall, it should be noted that there are other, larger, and fuller canopied trees in the immediate area which have a greater visual and environmental impact. The tree is not of rare or unusual species, or an exemplary specimen, or of any cultural or historic importance.

It is not expedient for The Council to serve a TPO.

The Council does not object to the proposed works.