Application No: **Consultees Name:** Received: Comment: Response: Printed on: 26/02/2024

09:10:11

To whom it may concern.

2024/0286/L

Natalia Malejka

24/02/2024 21:22:17 OBJNOT

within existing douglas fir frame to dwellings around the estate. Removal of domestic hot water cylinders within Consent Application 2024/0286/L for 'Replacement of existing single glazing with double vacuum glazing the estate. flats and Installation of new heating interface unit, emitters and associated pipework within dwellings across We wish to make the following observations on the Planning Application 2023/5339/P and Listed Building

## Glazing replacement

wish that further more detailed information is provided on issues of design, maintenance and performance as providing sympathetic design execution and installation is provided against the listed building. We do however We have no objections and are in support of the replacement strategy of glazing with vacuum glazing

that the existing frames can hold the new weight. -The weight of the glazing panels to ensure smooth operation of sliding door is maintained and clarification -Glazing G-values and Reflectance values to ensure these do not change the appearance of the elevations

-Should glazing or doors fail are warranties provided for replacement and repair.

# Proposed heat emitters

We have the following concerns regarding the proposed heat emitters:

less than 5 years away from the 2030 deadline? distribution pipes will be inadequate but also the size of the radiators will be insufficient to heat the flats. Would Carbon goal and a low temperature system (such as an air source heat pump) is installed than not only the heaters required. If in the future the central heating system is to be retrofitted to meet Camden's Net Zero this mean the proposal is wasteful and should be future-proofed as by the time these are installed we will be The submitted proposal is for a high temperature distribution network (gas based) resulting in small sizes of

poverty might be exacerbated and tenants left in unheated flats. heaters on for most of the day and night (15-18h), which with current prices is unlikely to happen and fuel The system proposed and the sizing of the radiators seems to assume residents will have their individual

Irrespective of the above, to fully understand the compromises to the listed layouts, further dimensional information on wall mounted heaters and plinth heaters should be provided.

underfloor heating may be a more palatable solution for some and more sympathetic to the existing layouts. individual residents. This combined with the space constraints provided by on wall/plinth heaters suggests Space heating from a point source may not be enough to combat mould should heating be controlled by this a solution that could be considered as an option for other residents. Whilst we understand this solution may not be feasible due to keeping residents in-situ during installation, Is

Proposals seem to include installation of heat emitters above baths. We are concerned that a number of flats have been retrofitted with showers which cannot be fitted with the proposed emitters. Has an alternative

**Application No:** Consultees Name: Received: Comment: Response: Printed on:

26/02/2024

09:10:11

location been considered for these scenarios?

### Skirting details

network or underfloor heating be a possible alternative? the proposed skirting will impact the sliding door and prevent those from closing fully. Could a floor distribution sacrifice made in order to distribute the heat with little alternatives, we are concerned the projecting nature of the loss of the heritage-wise important bull-nose detail of the existing skirting. Whilst we appreciate that it is a The proposed skirting boards, which are to conceal the new flow and return pipes for heat emitters result in

electric wires still run beneath the skirting board there is going to be a clash with proposed pipework and fixing battens for the new skirting and existing socket locations. Furthermore, not all residents had their electrics upgraded rerouted via the trunking. In cases where the

#### Asbestos

the surroundings at the scale of the installation to 520 flats. We are concerned about the risk of uncovering and disturbing the asbestos floor tiling still present in most flats. Please confirm measures taken in identification of hazardous materials and mitigation in contaminating

# Consultation with residents

all comments made during the pilot projects, and people's concerns regarding works are not documented. A them with Camden on the much needed retrofit journey presentation and consultation process could help tenants understand current and future positions and bring the 1-bed, which will also be the one most spatially impacted. We think a more engaging, thorough their homes, however the flat types chosen did not extend to the most common type of a flat on the estate missed opportunity here during a consultation period was not displaying any of the proposals at the tenant's cause to their homes. We appreciate there were pilot projects to help residents understand the impacts on information long-standing tenants had been given and how few were aware of the disruption the works will hall for all to see and understand. As new residents to the estate we found it very surprising how little cohesive The reports reference consultation with residents, however no formal report, beyond the single page, outlining

We are looking forward to seeing this progress in detail further.

Kind regards Natalia Malejka and Ashley Tosh 23D Rowley Way

Application No: **Consultees Name:** Received: Comment: Response: Printed on:

2024/0286/L

Anna Langer

25/02/2024 23:01:21

OBJ

I strongly object to the planned replacement of the existing estate-wide heating distribution infrastructure, installation of heating interface units, emitters and associated works in the interior of the flats.

26/02/2024

09:10:11

of loud construction noise for the years (!) to complete the works. The quality of life in the estate would be when the flat is being outfitted with the proposed new pipes, trench heaters, radiators, HIUs etc.? unknown time of installation works, causing lengthy disruption to our daily lives. Especially in the one bedroom reduce heat loss, but I am against the addition of radiators and HIUs in the flats which would mean an element I do appreciate would be to update the windows to double glazing and insulation of the building to construction especially for the many children, the many elderly and vulnerable people living in the estate. The diminished greatly with a constant activity of construction, not to mention health issues which could arise from flats there is no place to go where it is quiet if there is construction. How is one supposed to work from home The proposed works sound like a huge disruption for myself and all other residents of Rowley Way, in the form

original creators and step into the future with a well designed heating upgrade which can provide a sustainable upgrade (ideally in dialogue with the residents), not some old fashioned radiator system. How do we even and long lasting energy supply. The building is so unique, it needs a truly future oriented and smartly designed confidence and expertise to lead the update of the heating system with a similar passion and courage to its no space for visible radiators, pipes etc. It is a shame Camden Council does not have the vision, creative interior of the flats, which are smartly designed with their heated walls and compact layout and therefore leave on the estate but it would also inevitably change the original design and look of the buildings as well as the Grade II\* listed building. Not only would the planned years of construction severely diminish the quality of life building and cherished home and community for so many people, which also serves as a design inspiration for ideas about communal living. I'm concerned that following the proposed heating installations this iconic know it would work? At the Whittington Estate for example radiators were installed about 6 years ago, which international architects and is valued as a location for film shoots etc. would loose its protected status as a The Alexandra & Ainsworth Estate is world famous for its pure architectural vision and its groundbreaking have not worked properly since.

being able to maintain this estate and its current heating and hot water system well enough over the decades solutions, otherwise in a couple of years another expensive and disruptive upgrade has to be done. No one causing loss of heating and hot water for the whole estate. There is no benefit to connecting individual When upgrading a building to make it fit for future generations Camden should think of smart and green radiators to that old boiler if the same problem continues. The boiler is gas powered which is unsustainable The proposed new system also plans to continue to use the current old boiler, which regularly breaks down. here in the estate believes a generic new system will actually work well, given Camdens track record of not

fails, but it needs to be done with a clear vision for a sustainable future and protection of the design and historic value of this building. To conclude, I'm not entirely against an upgrade of the current heating and hot water system which regularly

A lot of residents have lived in the estate since it was built in 1978! This place is home to their families, daily life of its residents and consideration of the emotional value the building holds for its many hundreds of sometimes over generations. Any heating upgrade should also be done with respect to the practicalities of the residents and its power to foster community

Total: 8