Application No.	Consultoes Nomes	Daniwada	Commonts	Printed on: 21/02/2024 09:10:06
Application No: 2024/0286/L	Consultees Name: Professor Neil Jackson	Received: 21/02/2024 00:11:12	Comment: OBJ	Response: Rowley Way is a modern building of extraordinary architectural significance recognised by its Grade II* listing. Due to its scale alone, these proposals can never be described as 'Residential Minor Alterations'. What is proposed will severely damage both the internal form and fabric of the building as well as its external appearance. There are already lodged a huge number of objections to these proposals (both Planning Application and Listed Building Consent) and the addition of one more is likely to be only repetitious, for very many good and significant points have been raised. I would draw your attention specifically to the objections made by Harry Charalambous (12.02.2024 at 23.31) and by Thomas Muirhead (13.02.2024 at 12.18). I would also refer you to the comprehensive refutation of the proposals submitted by Gerard and Judith Ryan (13.02.2024 at 17.01) in their document 'Objections to Planning Application 2023/5338/P and Listed Building Consent 2024/009'. Michael Hall, in his objection statement (11.02.2024 at 12.01), writes: 'I hope the collective response from all kinds of estate residents will show you how terribly misguided and ill-advised an approach Camden has attempted to push through without the backing of the people it will adversely affect.' For Camden LBC to pursue these proposals and award Listed Building Consent to this application would be a terrible abnegation of their responsibilities towards this Grade II* listed building and their own tenants (not to mention other leaseholders). I urge that the application is refused.