

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2024/0055/L	Matthew Paine	19/02/2024 17:53:54	OBJ	As an addendum to my comment of 12 February, and concerning the front boundary of the property, the proposal to raise the height of the boundary wall to some 6 feet does not seem in keeping with the overall frontage of the 129-133 terrace. The existing wall is a mere 2-3', as are the boundary walls of the adjoining two properties. 129 has traditional iron fencing on top of its low wall, as did 131 historically, so both listed buildings remain visible from the street. Iron fencing on top of the existing wall would seem the most conservationally appropriate way for 133 to raise its boundary, not a high solid wall.

---