



EAL CONSULT BUILDING SUSTAINABILITY SINCE 2008

DAYLIGHT & SUNLIGHT ASSESSMENT

PROPERTY ADDRESS

No 23 Boscastle Road,
London,
NW5 1EE

DATE

February 2024

PREPARED BY

EAL Consult

CONTENTS

Executive SummaryPage 3

Terms and Definitions.....Page 4

Current Policies, Regulations and BenchmarksPage 5

MethodologyPage 7

Site.....Page 8

Daylight & Sunlight assessment.....Page 11

ConclusionPage 14

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This daylight and sunlight assessment has been prepared to support the proposed scheme at No 23 Boscastle, in London NW5 1EE. This assessment should be consulted in conjunction with the accompanied planning drawings.

The primary purpose of this daylight & sunlight assessment is to determine the likely loss of light to adjacent buildings resulting from the proposed design. Therefore, the proposed extension at ground level can be identified as the potential source of impact.

The main objective to carry out this Daylight & Sunlight assessment is to:

- Assess the impact of the proposed extension, upon the current levels of sunlight & daylight being enjoyed by the existing surrounding buildings.

The methodology set out in this report is in accordance with BRE's 'Site Layout Planning for Daylight and Sunlight' (BR209, 2022), which is accepted as good practice by Planning Authorities. In June 2022 a new version of the Guidelines was published, which changes the criteria and methodology to assess daylight and sunlight within newly proposed schemes. However, the aim of the new guidance is the same as the old one, which is "to help ensure good conditions in the local environment considered broadly, with enough sunlight and daylight on or between the buildings for good interior and exterior conditions", as stated in Paragraph 1.5 of the new guidance.

The following assessments were carried out:

Daylight & Sunlight Assessment

- **Existing neighbouring properties**
 - a. Vertical Sky Component
 - b. Annual Probability of Sunlight Hours (APSH) annual and winter calculations

The assessment of daylight and sunlight to the surrounding residential properties, indicates that the proposed extension, will not cause a noticeable change in light levels to existing occupants.

The proposed rear and side extension does not extend further away from the existing walls and thus, an overshadowing assessment was not carried out.

The Autodesk Ecotect software was used to carry out the daylight and sunlight impact assessment. A 3-dimensional site model has been created from information supplied by the architect, drawings, including location and site plan, existing and proposed drawings.

TERMS AND DEFINITIONS

Daylight Factor (D)

Ratio of total daylight illuminance at a reference point on the working plane within a space to outdoor illuminance on a horizontal plane due to an unobstructed CIE standard overcast sky. Thus a 1% D would mean that the indoor illuminance at that point in the space would be one hundredth the outdoor unobstructed horizontal illuminance.

Target Daylight Factor

Daylight factor value equivalent to the target illuminance to be exceeded for more than half of annual daylight hours over a specified fraction of the reference plane within a daylight space.

Minimum Target Daylight Factor

Daylight factor value equivalent to the minimum target illuminance to be exceeded for more than half of annual daylight hours over 95% of the reference plane within spaces with vertical and/or inclined daylight apertures.

CIE standard overcast sky

A completely overcast sky for which the ratio of its luminance L_γ at an angle of elevation γ above the horizontal to the luminance L_z at the zenith is given by: $L_\gamma = L_z \cdot 3 \cdot (1 + 2 \sin \gamma)$ A CIE standard overcast sky is darkest at the horizon and brightest at the zenith (vertically overhead).

Daylight, natural light

Combined skylight and sunlight.

No sky line

The outline on the working plane of the area from which no sky can be seen.

Annual probable sunlight hours

The long-term average of the total number of hours during a year in which direct sunlight reaches the unobstructed ground (when clouds are taken into account).

Vertical sky component (VSC)

This is a measure of the amount of light reaching a window. It is the ratio of that part of illuminance, at a point on a given vertical plane, that is received directly from a CIE standard overcast sky, to illuminance on a horizontal plane due to an unobstructed hemisphere of this sky. Usually the 'given vertical plane' is the outside of a window wall. The VSC does not include reflected light, either from the ground or from other buildings.

CURRENT POLICIES, REGULATIONS AND BENCHMARKS

People expect good natural lighting in their homes and in a wide range of non-domestic buildings. Daylight makes an interior look more attractive and interesting as well as providing light to work or read by. Access to skylight and sunlight helps make a building energy efficient; effective daylighting will reduce the need for electric light, while winter solar gain can meet some of the heating requirements.

The quality and quantity of natural light in an interior depend on two main factors. The design of the interior environment is important: the size and position of windows, the depth and shape of rooms, and the colours of internal surfaces. But the design of the external environment also plays a major role: e.g. if obstructing buildings are so tall that they make adequate daylighting impossible, or if they block sunlight for much of the year.

Obstructions can limit access to light from the sky. This can be checked at an early design stage by measuring or calculating the angle of visible sky θ , angle of obstruction or vertical sky component (VSC) at the centre of the lowest window where daylight is required. If VSC is:

- at least 27% (θ is greater than 65° , obstruction angle less than 25°) conventional window design will usually give reasonable results.
- between 15% and 27% (θ is between 45° and 65° , obstruction angle between 25° and 45°) special measures (larger windows, changes to room layout) are usually needed to provide adequate daylight.
- between 5% and 15% (θ is between 25° and 45° , obstruction angle between 45° and 65°) it is very difficult to provide adequate daylight unless very large windows are used.
- less than 5% (θ less than 25° , obstruction angle more than 65°) it is often impossible to achieve reasonable daylight, even if the whole window wall is glazed.

In general a dwelling, or non-domestic building that has a particular requirement for sunlight, will appear reasonably sunlit provided:

- at least one main window wall faces within 90° of due south and
- a habitable room, preferably a main living room, can receive a total of at least 1.5 hours of sunlight on 21 March. This is assessed at the inside centre of the window(s); sunlight received by different windows can be added provided they occur at different times and sunlight hours are not double counted.

Where groups of dwellings are planned, site layout design should aim to maximise the number of dwellings with a main living room that meets the above recommendations.

If a living room of an existing dwelling has a main window facing within 90° of due south, and any part of a new development subtends an angle of more than 25° to the horizontal measured from the centre of the window in a vertical section perpendicular to the window, then the sun lighting of the existing dwelling may be adversely affected. This will be the case if the centre of the window:

receives less than 25% of annual probable sunlight hours and less than 0.80 times its former annual value; or less than 5% of annual probable sunlight hours between 21 September and 21 March and less than 0.80 times its former value during that period;

- and also has a reduction in sunlight received over the whole year greater than 4% of annual probable sunlight hours.

The British Standard “Daylight in buildings” (BS EN 17037) contains advice and guidance on interior daylighting. The guidance contained in this publication (BR 209) is intended to be used with BS EN 17037 and its UK National Annex[C1]. Other European countries have their own versions of EN17037, which do not include the UK National Annex.

BS EN 17037 supersedes BS 8206 Part 2 “Code of practice for daylighting”[C2], which contained a method of assessment based on Average Daylight Factor, which is now no longer recommended. For daylight provision in buildings, BS EN 17037 provides two methodologies. One is based on target illuminances from daylight to be achieved over specified fractions of the reference plane (a plane at table top height covering the room) for at least half of the daylight hours in a typical year. The other, alternative, method is based on calculating the daylight factors achieved over specified fractions of the reference plane.

BS EN 17037 gives three levels of recommendation for daylight provision in interior spaces: minimum, medium and high. For compliance with the standard, a daylit space should achieve the minimum level of recommendation.

Daylight factor method

The daylight factor is the illuminance at a point on the reference plane in a space, divided by the illuminance on an unobstructed horizontal surface outdoors. The CIE standard overcast sky[C3] is used, and the ratio is usually expressed as a percentage.

Table C2 gives the daylight factor targets for side lit rooms in London.

Table C2 – Target daylight factors (D) for London		
Level of recommendation	Target daylight factor D for half of assessment grid	Target daylight factor D for 95% of assessment grid
Minimum	2.1%	0.7%
Medium	3.5%	2.1%
High	5.3%	3.5%

METHODOLOGY

Surface reflectance

Internal and exterior surfaces and obstructions need to be modelled including appropriate surface reflectances.

Surface reflectances should represent real conditions. Where reflectance values have not been measured or specified, default values to be used in the calculation are given in Table C4.

Table C4 – Recommended default surface reflectances	
Surface	Default reflectance
Interior walls	0.5
Ceilings	0.7
Floors	0.2
Exterior walls and obstructions	0.2
Exterior ground	0.2

Where surface finishes have been specified or measured on site, they can be used in the calculations with appropriate factors for maintenance and furniture. To allow for these factors, maximum reflectances for white painted surfaces in the calculations should not exceed 0.8 indoors, and 0.6 outdoors. Maximum reflectances for light pastel walls should not exceed 0.7 in the calculations, and maximum reflectances for light wood floors should not exceed 0.4. Surface reflectances used should be presented in the assessment, along with a specification of the materials if non-default reflectances are used.

Glazing transmission

Glazing transmission factors, including maintenance factors, need to be included in the simulation along with account for, or modelling of, window framing. Where window frames are not specifically included in the model, frame factors should be applied based on the ratio of glass to overall window aperture area for the type of window to be used; this will generally vary with window size and whether the windows have opening lights. Where window types have not been specified, results for the overall window aperture should be multiplied by a default framing factor as given in Table C5.

Table C5 – Recommended default framing factors	
Window type	Default framing factor
Windows with small panes	0.5
Normal windows with opening lights	0.6
Patio doors	0.7

SITE

The proposed site is situated within a primarily dense residential area. As part of the planning process, a comprehensive assessment of the potential impacts on daylight, sunlight, and overshadowing on neighboring properties was undertaken. The primary focus of this assessment was to determine the effects of the proposed scheme on the surrounding residential areas.

The proposed design involves the construction of a rear side extension at ground floor level to create additional residential space. It is important to note that the massing and ridge height will not be increased in comparison to the existing building. This ensures that the visual impact on the surrounding area is carefully considered and minimized.

One significant concern that has been raised pertains to the potential impact on daylight and sunlight levels for neighboring property No. 21 Boscastle Road.

The assessment encompasses an evaluation of the potential impacts on daylight, sunlight, and overshadowing for all properties situated in close proximity to the proposed development. Special attention has been given to ensuring that the proposed residential extension does not unduly encroach upon the neighboring properties.



Figure 1 – Location Plan

This assessment considers the following neighbouring properties:

1. No 21 Boscastle Road – Two glazed areas were identified adjacent to the proposed site. Windows on the upper floors can be excluded from this assessment.
2. No 25 Boscastle Road – After careful review, it has been decided that this property can be excluded from this assessment. This decision is based on the proposed extension, which exceeds 1 meter further inward from the existing façade line of neighboring properties, specifically, No. 21 and No. 25 Boscastle Road.

The drawings below demonstrate the existing façade line of the neighbouring properties No 21 and No 25 Boscastle Road in relation to the rear façade of the proposed scheme at No 23 Boscastle Road.




Figure 2 - Existing Ground Floor




Figure 3 - Proposed Ground Floor

The following glazed areas have been assessed from the neighbouring property – No 21 Boscastle Road. (Drawings have been taken from the Planning Application of no. 21 Boscastle Road).




Figure 4 – Rear façade of No 21 Boscastle Road (and in relation to No 23 Boscastle Road)



Figure 5 – Aerial View of No 21 Boscastle Road

DAYLIGHT & SUNLIGHT ASSESSMENT

Vertical Sky Component (VSC)

VSC analysis of each window was carried out. The results are listed in the following pages. If the VSC is greater than 27%, then enough skylight should still be reaching the window and the levels of daylight experienced in the space should not be seriously affected.

Vertical Sky Component Assessment

Table 1 – Vertical Sky Component for neighbouring properties, Pre & Post Development

Vertical Sky Component		Pre development	Post development	VSC after Proposal	Comments
Assessed neighbouring property:	Window no.	BRE VSC %	BRE VSC %	>27	
No 21 Boscastle Road	First Floor				No Impact
	Win01(rear elevation)	21.4%	21.4%	No	
	Win02(side elevation)	30.5%	30.5%	Yes	

Results demonstrate there is no difference of the Vertical Sky Component, at pre- and post-construction phase and thus, the proposed rear and side extension will not impact the natural light levels for existing occupants.

Sunlight Assessment – Annual Probable Sunlight Hours

Annual probable sunlight hours (APSH) is a measure of sunlight that a given window may expect over a year period. The BRE guidance recognises that sunlight is less important than daylight in the amenity of a room and is heavily influenced by orientation. North facing windows may receive sunlight on only a handful of occasions in a year, and windows facing eastwards or westwards will only receive sunlight for some of the day. Therefore, BRE guidance states that only windows with an orientation within 90 degrees of south need be assessed.

For sunlight studies the APSH (annual probable hours) test calculates the percentage of statistically probable hours of sunlight received by each window in both the summer and winter months. From March 21st to September 21st – Summer period and from the 21st September to 21st of March – Winter period.

Sunlight is measured using a sun indicator which contains 100 spots, each representing 1% of APSH. Therefore, where no obstruction exists the total annual probable sunlight hours would amount to 1486 and therefore each spot equates to 14.86 hours of the total annual sunlight hours.

Following are the recommended Sunlight hours for London. Total recommended sunlight hours:

$$\begin{aligned} &= 25\% \text{ of APSH} \\ &= 25\% \text{ of } 1486\text{hrs} \\ &= (25/100) \times 1486 \\ &= 371.5\text{hrs/yr} \end{aligned}$$

Recommended sunlight hours for winter.

$$\begin{aligned} &= 5\% \text{ of APSH} \\ &= 5\% \text{ of } 1486\text{hrs} \\ &= (5/100) \times 1486 \\ &= 74.3\text{hrs/yr} \end{aligned}$$

Table 2 – Annual Probable Sunlight Hours for neighbouring properties

Annual Probable Sunlight Hours		Pre development	Post development	Comments
Assessed neighbouring property:	Window no.	>371.5hrs	>371.5hrs	
No 21 Boscastle Road	First Floor			No Impact
	Win01(rear elevation)	Yes	Yes	
	Win02(side elevation)	Yes	Yes	

Table 3 – Winter Probable Sunlight Hours for neighbouring properties

Winter Probable Sunlight Hours		Pre development	Post development	Comments
Assessed neighbouring property:	Window no.	>74.3hrs	>74.3hrs	
No 21 Boscastle Road	First Floor			No Impact
	Win01(rear elevation)	Yes	Yes	
	Win02(side elevation)	Yes	Yes	

Results demonstrate that ALL neighbouring windows will receive the minimum annual and winter probable sunlight hours.

CONCLUSION

The design of the proposed rear and side extension, along with additional construction works at No 23 Boscastle Road, has been meticulously planned to minimize its visual impact on the immediate surroundings. Despite inherent site constraints and limitations, the design aims to maximize sunlight hours for the property and its neighbouring dwellings.

Thorough calculations have been conducted to ensure that all existing neighbouring properties and their windows will continue to receive sufficient annual probable sunlight hours and adequate sunlight hours during the winter period.

Calculations further demonstrate that the Vertical Sky Component for all neighbouring windows remains consistent between the pre- and post-construction phases, indicating that the proposed design will not adversely impact the daylight levels in any neighbouring habitable room.

Since the proposed extension does not exceed the current facade line, it was determined that an overshadowing assessment was unnecessary.

The assessment of daylight and sunlight to the surrounding properties supports the conclusion that the proposed construction, will not result in a change in light levels for nearby occupants and is therefore suitable for the specific site.

Overall, the proposed construction works have been carefully planned and assessed to ensure minimal disruption to neighbouring properties and their access to sunlight, making it a favourable consideration for the designated location.