

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2024/0055/L	Matthew Paine	09/02/2024 16:16:12	OBJ	<p>No problem with proposed, low outbuilding, nor other proposed changes to the building fabric.</p> <p>However,</p> <p>1). Though not entirely clear from the supporting documents, seems the proposed front gates will be 'metal panelled', rather than following the style of the traditional open wrought iron bar gate presently in situ. (Also seen at 129 Haverstock Hill, and formerly at 131, now with no gate). Given the historic importance of the frontage, it does not seem appropriate to be blocking the view of the front of 133 with a solid barrier.</p> <p>2). The arboricultural report is not persuasive in its argument that the various mature trees at the front and along the boundary with 131 are of no value and should be removed. The present trees are natives that have been in place for many decades, felling would seem completely counter to tree preservation policy. The proposed replacements for 7 mature specimens are 3 shrubs and 3 reasonably sized saplings. This seems a significant loss of tree cover and habitat. Replacement/thinning of some of the existing trees to allow new planting may be appropriate, but clear felling of the entire site seems unjustifiable.</p>
