

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2023/5339/P	Paula Gallardo	11/02/2024 16:39:04	OBJ	<p>OBJECTION</p> <p>the proposed new radiators , Skirting and other alterations would severely and irreversibly harm all 520 listed interiors</p> <p>this Application should therefore be UNCONDITIONALLY REFUSED</p> <p>As a leaseholder I do not consent to the putting in of double glazing in my living room sliding doors at my cost as the windows and frames in my flat were recently replaced and guaranteed to last a very long time</p> <p>We insist that we see a proposal of an efficient green fit for purpose affordable heating replacement system and guaranteed maintenance scheme before accepting the cost of double glazing</p> <p>We demand a full consultation with all residents is essential before ploughing ahead with incoherent expensive works</p> <p>I demand that the application be therefore REFUSED</p> <p>I reserve the right to comment further at a later date</p>

2023/5339/P	Michael Hall	11/02/2024 11:57:08	OBJ	<p>I have lived in Rowley Way for about 8 years, and I enjoy living here because of the amazing grade-II* listed architecture and the friendly community. This letter is my protest against Camden's proposed heating work because it will harm the internationally significant listed building and its interiors; it does not support the environmental goals of the community or Camden's stated goals; and it shows that Camden has disregarded the wishes of the residents, who are almost all in agreement that this is the wrong course of action.</p> <p>??Furthermore:?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Since the installation of the identical system at Whittington (Highgate New Town) seven years ago, they have been dealing with the same issues as we are: days or weeks without heat or hot water, hot water flowing from cold water taps, etc.?Installing new exterior plumbing throughout the estate and our apartments will need approximately two years.? • From October to May, there will be heating. If there is a cold spell outside, we won't be able to turn on the heating. •Our heating and hot water will also be affected if the boilers break down.? • In the bedroom cabinet under the single bed, the HIU will be found. The maker advises against doing this because it will be noisy and disrupt your sleep. ?• Because pipes and radiators will be present everywhere, our furniture arrangements will be affected.? • We'll have to pay for the heating we consume since Camden is going to install metres. We will incur higher costs and a significant loss of heat in our flat if they fail to take any action on insulation.? • When the boilers become obsolete in ten to fifteen years, we will need a new system and go through much more turmoil.? <p>With no backing from the residents, Camden has attempted to push through a very misguided and short-sighted approach that will badly effect the community. I hope you will see the united response from all types of inhabitants on the estate. ?</p>
-------------	--------------	---------------------	-----	--

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2023/5339/P	Sam Jones	11/02/2024 16:48:37	OBJ	<p>the proposed new radiators , Skirting and other alterations would severely and irreversibly harm all 520 listed interiors this Application should therefore be UNCONDITIONALLY REFUSED</p> <p>As a leaseholder I do not consent to the putting in of double glazing in my living room sliding doors at my cost as the windows and frames in my flat were recently replaced and guaranteed to last a very long time We insist that we see a proposal of an efficient green fit for purpose affordable heating replacement system and guaranteed maintenance scheme before accepting the cost of double glazing We demand a full consultation with all residents is essential before ploughing ahead with incoherent expensive works</p> <p>I demand that the application be therefore REFUSED I reserve the right to comment further at a later date</p>

2023/5339/P	Shannon Green	11/02/2024 15:31:44	OBJ	<p>I am a new resident to the estate and am dismayed to hear of these proposals. Having come from a different council estate in Islington the situation on the Alexandra and Ainsworth Estate is significantly better despite our old residence being on a newer system. I do not believe the implementation of a similar system here will do anything to resolve the issues that many have and in all likelihood will create more issues.</p> <p>Having done my own research I see that the current system is well past its expected service life, why then knowing this day was coming for many many years have the council failed to do anything? We are now at a point where the council is using the urgency of the matter to try and enforce a universally unpopular plan.</p> <p>These buildings were wonderfully designed to give all of us a good amount of space within a unique and interesting structure meant to evoke the best of futurism and a world in which social housing is an equal and valued part of the community. These proposals completely ignore this intent instead focusing only on cost effectiveness for the council.</p> <p>There is simply no space for the internal work required in each flat under these proposals and it is not fitting that residents should have to deal with so much disruption and additional personal costs because the council did not plan properly.</p> <p>Furthermore I was shocked to hear the council propose such an unsustainable and environmentally questionable solution. Given the councils commitment to significant climate goals I just do not see how these two issues square.</p> <p>Finally I really think this whole situation shows a lack of leadership and imagination at Camden council. You have such a unique and wonderful site and community that has so much potential for innovative ideas and pilots schemes. Why not partner with the amazing minds at The Bartlett School of Sustainable Construction, what a project this would be for them and we could benefit from the world's most advance knowledge on the topic.</p> <p>I really do hope to see a change in direction here, we expected a lot more from Camden council.</p>
-------------	---------------	---------------------	-----	---

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2023/5339/P	Adrian Bucher	10/02/2024 23:45:28	OBJ	<p>I am writing this in strong opposition to the planning applications intended for the estate - which includes 2023/5339/P.</p> <p>I would firstly like to start this by stating that I am appalled by the actions of Camden council to produce these applications without consulting residents appropriately. No one on the estate that I have spoken to were consulted on these very significant changes to the places that we are living. This is undemocratic.</p> <p>While residents have indeed experienced issues with heating and hot water, the solutions being proposed by the council are problematic for a variety of reasons including (but not limited to) being environmentally unsustainable; having been brought about by largely disregarding the views and preferences of those on the estate that have been working on the issue for many years; and ultimately introducing a situation which inevitably will not only fail to fix the issues, but actively harm the living standards of residents.</p> <p>From a financial perspective, this proposal is destined to fail. What is being proposed is tens of millions of tax-payers' money to be spent on a system that cannot and will not be able to heat homes properly or anywhere near as evenly as the present system (even with its faults) due to the inability of Camden's proposed system to avoid draughts, condensation, and (therefore) black mould; as well as the hesitancy and outright inability for some residents to afford even the most basic of heating with the seemingly never-ending energy price increases. For anyone that has ever lived in the estate, it is clear why this is an important issue due it being built using concrete. I would rather not be sentenced to having poorer health in my own home.</p> <p>Compounding this issue is that, what Camden council are proposing isn't even fixing the present problem - simply putting shiny new equipment while the underlying infrastructure, where the issues lie, remains the same. This is absurd. The present issues will continue to persist meaning that Camden will continue to spend money and valuable resources on maintenance trying to fix the present issues that will persist (not to mention any new ones that may arise) - but the only difference is that millions will have already been wasted on implementing this doomed proposal. It is puzzling as to why anyone would waste this much money on a system that is worse - and one that nobody wants. The only reason that I can think of as to why anyone would want to implement this is from the perspective of the council that wants to shift even more costs to residents. I (perhaps naïvely) hope that this isn't the case as the council are supposed to help the people of Camden instead of making their lives harder. However, that's how life seems to go more and more these days.</p> <p>Furthermore, speaking to neighbours, it becomes clear that the council has a bad reputation as far as maintenance of the present system is concerned. If the council cannot be relied upon to fix the present system, then there is no guarantee that the future maintenance of an inferior system can be depended upon. In fact, it would be foolish to think that this would change.</p> <p>The amount of work and disruption that this would cause is also unimaginable - not just when the actual work is being done (issues like property being left unlocked all day, furniture moved, the noise, the mess, etc.) but once the work has been completed (layout of properties will be completely changed that will, in several cases, need further work). This will have significant financial implications for residents - for which I'm sure that the council will absolutely not volunteer compensation. What of properties with older adults? Younger children? People with disabilities? Pets? People who work from home? People who work late shifts? It is impossible that these groups were not considered when this planning application was submitted...but it just feels like someone just doesn't care. This last remark may seem harsh, but is not without grounds. No one that I have spoken to</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2023/5339/P	James Stevens	11/02/2024 22:12:06	OBJ	<p>wants this new system.</p> <p>The Tenants and Residents Association, who have tried to work with the council on this for years (even being consulted via a workshop), have had their views all but disregarded - and they are people who actually live here, which, I recently found out, is not the case with employees of Camden council. How can such a significant change be proposed by people who don't even live here?</p> <p>I also understand that the council have also hid behind the estate's listed status to avoid doing work in previous years but then decide when it does or does not apply. I cannot believe that the council seriously intends to add external piping to the building. The estate is an architectural beauty, so why does Camden Council seem hell-bent on defacing the buildings?</p> <p>We accept that there are present issues - but what is being proposed in the planning applications makes absolutely no sense. Especially since the residents at Whittington Estate had simialr works forced upon them to dire results. Again, is the main aim for Camden to waste money?</p> <p>I, along with many other residents, firmly object to these plans by the council.</p>
				<p>I acknowledge the urgent need for double glazing of all windows in all flats, to improve energy efficiency, comfort, health and safety and to bring the building in to the 21st Century. However, I strongly object to the wider approach being taken to addressing the inadequacies of the existing heating and water system.</p> <p>They do not account for the essential needs of residents, holistically address the problems of the existing system, respect the buildings listed status, or frankly, our collective responsibility in today let alone tomorrow's climate emergency. In both their specifics and generalities, they appear to be a costly, inconvenient, and ineffective short-term focused attempt at addressing just the symptomatic issues plaguing the existing system, rather than a systemic replacement that would solve the core problems, and set us up for a financially and environmentally responsible future.</p> <p>The works would severely and irrevocably harm the buildings external appearance and character, and more importantly, the appearance and function of the interiors of the hundreds of homes on Alexandra & Ainsworth Estate. The work proposed will create a series of temporarily, and inevitably longer than planned inconveniences as it's done, permanently alter the lived experience within people's flats, perpetuate the use of environmentally unfriendly solutions and, based on the performance of similar works undertaken in similar buildings (e.g. Whittington Estate) not guarantee any kind of future reliability of service or expense.</p> <p>Double glazing is an important first step, but a bolder vision befitting a boldly envisioned building, and a community invested in it and the planet's future is needed to satisfactorily, and successfully overcome the tremendous issues with the current heating system.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2023/5339/P	Eliza BonhamCarter	11/02/2024 12:10:37	OBJ	<p>I strongly support the upgrades proposed to the windows.</p> <p>To all other aspects of these proposal I strongly object. The plans introduce new pipework to the exterior and interiors of Grade 2* listed buildings, fundamentally impacting on the appearance of this important building; this should not be allowed. The plans do not respond to the climate emergency, instead they lock the estate into an out of date system that pumps hot water long distances. Other than the introduction of double glazing, there is no ambition to look at insulating the fabric of the building - this should be the first step. There is concern that the loss of the heated walls will result in condensation and mould. This is not currently a problem on the estate. The proposals if effected, would require regular maintenance. Camden has proved incapable of maintaining the current system. There has been no meaningful consultation with residents.</p>

2023/5339/P	Ashley Chapman	11/02/2024 15:46:37	OBJNOT	<p>2023/5339/p:</p> <p>This is an OBJECTOION, despite some of the comments below!</p> <p>Replacement of existing single glazing with double glazing: in principle, double glazing proposal is okay. The idea of insulation is good, but oversight is needed to ensure the work is carried out to a high standard and a maintenance contract put in place. Also, tenants and leaseholders should approve the quality before the project is signed off. (and associated works? And finally, unless CBC ensures the work is guaranteed for no less than five years after the works and that maintenance contract is costed and included in the final proposal, then under no circumstances should this go forward: Can't approve work that is not described. I have to say that this last clause can only be described on the part of Camden Borough Council as an act of bad faith. What does this last clause mean?</p> <p>Therefore:</p> <p>OBJECTION</p> <p>The proposed new radiators, skirtings, and other alterations would severely and irreversibly harm all 520 listed interiors.</p> <p>This application should therefore be UNCONDITIONALLY REFUSED.</p>
-------------	----------------	---------------------	--------	--
