

| Application No: | Consultees Name: | Received: | Comment: | Response: |
|-----------------|------------------|---------------------|----------|---|
| 2023/5339/P | D Rodriguez | 07/02/2024 17:26:56 | OBJ | <p>I strongly object to the planning application and ask that it is refused. Camden council has not completed a full and thorough consultation with residents for what would be mayor works to the estate. These will cause a great deal of disruption to our lives and our homes, as well as significant financial strain to residents.</p> <p>It is inaccurate to say that the proposed works are "Residential Minor Alterations". The level of works proposed would seriously affect the appearance of the Grade II* listed building, irreparably damaging it. The works should be considered a major conservation issue, damaging both external / internal aspects and the design that make the building unique.</p> <p>The heating system that is being proposed is an outdated concept, which relies on fossil fuels. Given the volatility of gas supplies and prices, and current guidelines to a move away from fossil fuels to heat homes, a proposal that is 100% reliant on gas is highly disappointing. It is also ridiculous that the proposal doesn't include fitting any additional insulation, given how important it is to heat homes adequately. Camden should be suggesting a proposal with environmentally friendly solutions, such as solar power, efficient insulation, air source heat pumps, etc. These would be long-term solutions to serve residents well in the future.</p> <p>Having lived in my property for over 40 years I am well aware that the brilliant design concepts of the estate are not without their flaws. My property is a lower ground A block flat and the very limited insulation in the lower floor results in my home being significantly colder here than the rest of my home. The proposal that an entire, heated wall can be replaced with a radiator in only 3 downstairs areas is not credible. The provisions in the proposal are not sufficient to heat the property adequately, particularly since no additional insulation will be fitted.</p> <p>The proposed works will cause significant damage to my home; flooring and carpets will be damaged by the works and furniture will no longer fit in place, at great expense to me. I strongly believe the proposed heating retrofit will completely change the look and feel of my property. Poorly positioned and oversized radiators, boxing in new pipework, removing wooden skirting boards to replace them with (plastic) trunking are inadequate solutions. Over the years I have always kept the original features when redecorating and these works will destroy this. Not only will the works go against the preservation of the original features, it will have a detrimental effect on the aesthetics of my home, and devalue my property.</p> |

| Application No: | Consultees Name: | Received: | Comment: | Response: |
|-----------------|------------------|---------------------|----------|---|
| 2023/5339/P | Vicky | 08/02/2024 07:26:04 | OBJ | <p>OBJECTIONS</p> <p>The proposed new radiators, skirtings, and other alterations would severely and irreversibly harm all 520 listed interiors. This application should therefore be unconditionally refused.</p> <p>Being a resident of Rowley Way and having taken refurbishment with planning consent it would be a disgrace not to mention hypocritical if planning consent were to be given to a scheme that would damage the historical integrity of the buildings. Their core design were to provide heating from the walls without the use of radiators and yet that is the only option Camden is proposing.</p> <p>Given the opportunity to move to sustainable energy Camden have instead insisted on the use of Gas which is against all government recommendation and would be obsolete in less than 10 years.</p> <p>Camden's proposed scheme as detailed in their plans is no different from proven failures of renewed heating at other estates. Failure to provide adequate maintenance as experienced by myself and given the fiasco at Whittington Estate I have no faith that the proposed system would even work at all for residents at Rowley Way.</p> <p>The entire plan is flawed, out of date and a wanton destruction of the buildings.</p> |

| | | | | |
|-------------|--------------|---------------------|-----|---|
| 2023/5339/P | Francis Eley | 07/02/2024 10:54:44 | OBJ | <p>OBJECTION</p> <p>The proposed new radiators, skirting, and other alterations would severely and irreversibly harm all 520 listed interiors.</p> <p>This application should therefore be UNCONDITIONALLY REFUSED</p> |
|-------------|--------------|---------------------|-----|---|
