

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2023/5228/P	Paul Wales	07/02/2024 22:41:54	COMMNT	The black cap was one of London's iconic venues for lgbt+ people. Recognised throughout the UK as a safe space for our community, and a place that promoted equality and diversity amongst our population. Please consider the views of the lgbt+ community and how the venue can support their needs, for example, inclusion, social engagement, positive mental health wellbeing and a place that brings other lgbt+ people across the world to Camden. That must be worthwhile for business.

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2023/5338/P	D Rodriguez	07/02/2024 17:28:29	OBJ	<p>I strongly object to the planning application and ask that it is refused. Camden council has not completed a full and thorough consultation with residents for what would be mayor works to the estate. These will cause a great deal of disruption to our lives and our homes, as well as significant financial strain to residents.</p> <p>It is inaccurate to say that the proposed works are "Residential Minor Alterations". The level of works proposed would seriously affect the appearance of the Grade II* listed building, irreparably damaging it. The works should be considered a major conservation issue, damaging both external / internal aspects and the design that make the building unique.</p> <p>The heating system that is being proposed is an outdated concept, which relies on fossil fuels. Given the volatility of gas supplies and prices, and current guidelines to a move away from fossil fuels to heat homes, a proposal that is 100% reliant on gas is highly disappointing. It is also ridiculous that the proposal doesn't include fitting any additional insulation, given how important it is to heat homes adequately. Camden should be suggesting a proposal with environmentally friendly solutions, such as solar power, efficient insulation, air source heat pumps, etc. These would be long-term solutions to serve residents well in the future.</p> <p>Having lived in my property for over 40 years I am well aware that the brilliant design concepts of the estate are not without their flaws. My property is a lower ground A block flat and the very limited insulation in the lower floor results in my home being significantly colder than the rest of my home. The proposal that an entire, heated wall can be replaced with a radiator in only 3 downstairs areas is not credible. The provisions in the proposal are not sufficient to heat the property adequately, particularly since no additional insulation will be fitted.</p> <p>The proposed works will cause significant damage to my home; flooring and carpets will be damaged by the works and furniture will no longer fit in place, at great expense to me. I strongly believe the proposed heating retrofit will completely change the look and feel of my property. Poorly positioned and oversized radiators, boxing in new pipework, removing wooden skirting boards to replace them with (plastic) trunking are inadequate solutions. Over the years I have always kept the original features when redecorating and these works will destroy this. Not only will the works go against the preservation of the original features, it will have a detrimental effect on the aesthetics of my home, and devalue my property.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2023/5338/P	Anna Langer	07/02/2024 11:59:58	OBJ	<p>I strongly object to the planned replacement of the existing estate-wide heating distribution infrastructure, installation of new sub-plant rooms and cold water storage tank rooms etc. The proposed system plans to continue to use the current old boiler, which regularly breaks down, causing loss of heating and hot water for the whole estate. There is no benefit to changing the system to individual radiators if the same problems continue! The boiler is gas powered which is unsustainable. When upgrading a building Camden should rather think of real solutions which use renewable and more environmentally friendly sources of energy such as solar and wind for example, even if it's just for a portion of the energy used. Otherwise in a couple of years another expensive and disruptive upgrade has to be done.</p> <p>The proposed works sound like a huge disruption for myself and all other residents of Rowley Way, in the form of loud construction noise for the years (!) to complete the works. The quality of life in the estate would be diminished greatly with a constant activity of construction, not to mention health issues which could arise from construction especially for the many children (including my own toddler), the many elderly and vulnerable people living in the estate. Regarding the proposed sub-plants and water tanks, what is the problem with the current tanks on the roof? I've seen the tanks should be placed where the garages are, what about the residents who have cars parked there? If electricity fails do we have no water since it has to be pumped up? There are a lot of urgent questions which we have no answers to and I would appreciate Camden Council to take the concerns and questions of the residents seriously.</p> <p>The Alexandra & Ainsworth Estate is world famous for its architectural vision and its groundbreaking ideas about communal living, it is a shame Camden Council does not have the vision, creative confidence and expertise to lead the update of the heating system with a similar passion and courage to step into the future and design a system which provides a sustainable and long lasting energy supply. The building is so unique, it needs a truly future oriented and smartly designed upgrade not some old fashioned radiator system which has proven to be useless at the Whittington Estate for example. I'm concerned that following the proposed installations Rowley Way would lose its protected status as a Grade II* listed building. Is Camden Council in contact with English Heritage regarding these building works? Have they approved?</p> <p>I am also missing real and clear information by Camden to all residents. How about an information week where people could come to hear first hand from the Council what they are intending to build and get answers to the many questions everyone has.</p> <p>To conclude, I'm not entirely against a change of the current heating and hot water system which often fails, but it needs to be done with expertise and vision for a sustainable future and especially with proper involvement of residents since WE are the ones who will have to live with the construction and whatever new system for years to come. Real collaboration with a community would lead to better results and happier residents!</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2023/5338/P	Vicky	08/02/2024 07:22:55	OBJ	<p>OBJECTIONS</p> <p>The proposed new distribution pipework would severely and irreversibly harm the exterior appearance of Both A & B Blocks. This Planning Application should therefore be unconditionally refused.</p> <p>Having been a resident of Rowley Way and taken refurbishment with planning consent it would be a disgrace not to mention hypocritical if planning consent were to be given to a scheme that would damage the historical integrity of the buildings. Their core design were to provide heating from the walls without the use of radiators and yet that is the only option Camden is proposing.</p> <p>Given the opportunity to move to sustainable energy Camden have instead insisted on the use of Gas which is against all government recommendation and would be obsolete in less than 10 years.</p> <p>Camden's proposed scheme as detailed in their plans is no different from proven failures of renewed heating at other estates. Failure to provide adequate maintenance as experienced by myself and given the fiasco at Whittington Estate I have no faith that the proposed system would even work at all for residents at Rowley Way.</p> <p>The entire plan is flawed, out of date and a wanton destruction of the buildings.</p>

2023/5338/P	Francis Eley	07/02/2024 10:51:52	COMMNT	<p>OBJECTION</p> <p>The proposed new distribution pipework would severely and irreversibly harm the external appearance of Block A and B.</p> <p>This application should therefore be UNCONDITIONALLY REFUSED</p>
-------------	--------------	---------------------	--------	--
