					Printed on:	02/02/2024	09:10:06
Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:			
2023/5086/P	rachel glaister	01/02/2024 18:33:54	COMMNT	RE the proposed works at No.11.			
				The proposed relocation of the front door would be out of sync with the others in the terrace and would directly abutt our (No.9) front ground floor bedroom. This is the room designated for my disabled sister, who visits regularly, and the noise around comings and goings would not be welcomed. Equally could scaffolding placement ensure clear access for a wheel chair to No 9 thanks. The front dormer is best matched to other existing dormers – unless all are allowed to be changed. The rear extension should be in proportion to the other terrace rear extensions – if, as proposed this will be a wider extension than No 9's, which only partially extends the width of the house due to the internal atrium being open, it should not go deeper the terrace. The width extension will already impact light on No 9's terrace as afternoon sun will be reduced and this reduction would be increased if the proportions were deeper. If a design solution could be found that used the current partial width footprint that also exists at No.11 (and other properties) it would impact light and overlooking a lot less. Many party walls with No 9 will be impacted so can all reasonable securities be made to repair damage. I understood No 11's parking space was near the white fence area by the entrance to this terrace, not around the rear.		no visits ding is will be a atrium o 9's terrace eper. If a (and other	