Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Printed on: 29/01/2024 09:10:05 <b>Response:</b>
2023/5141/P	Janet Nassau & Brian Lake	27/01/2024 14:33:04	COMMNT	I have asked Lichfields to make a formal response to this third application for a mansard roof, but would add our personal comments:  1. Grafton Crescent has the benefit of the protection of local listing; unfortunately Healey Street does not. The result is that Healey Street, an equally good street architecturally, has been spoiled by the erection of mansard roofs.  2. Because of the lack of local listing, Camden's attempts to stop the defacement of Healey Street have been ineffective. This application for 13 Grafton Crescent would set a precedent for a street locally listed for its architectural value, which should be refused.  3. The applicant mentions several nearby examples which are described as 'precedents' justifying the application. No. 15 Grafton Crescent was built with a flat roof in the 19th century; it is less deep than most of the terrace. No. 14, similarly, and use as a terrace long precedes local listing. The roof extensions cited in Healey Street are irrelevant - see 1. above.  4. As a result we strongly object to this application. Brian Lake & Janet Nassau
2023/5141/P	janet Nassau	27/01/2024 15:18:03	COMMNT	We would like to make it clear that our 'comments' already made and recorded are an 'objection' to the application on the grounds stated.