

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2023/5441/P	NJ Harding	22/01/2024 18:17:42	COMMNT	<p>I am concerned lest this proposal has any negative impact on the decisions made when the decision was made to allow the residential building currently called Princes Park to be built. The section 106 agreement signed at that time was intended to prevent that building from having a negative impact on the safety of the public, especially those visiting the Sports Centre. Princes Park is between the entrance to the Sports Centre building and Prince of Wales Road. It was built on top of most of a wide road and space which had provided safe access to the Sports Centre from PoW Road.</p> <p>Until recently I maintained this web site which remains available to the public <a href="https://sites.google.com/site/talacrefacts/">https://sites.google.com/site/talacrefacts/</a></p> <p>It contains much that is relevant including, for example, the s106 agreements and many FOI requests and responses. As far as I know, it remains accurate although I am now 86 and less able to be as active and up to date in this matter as I have been. The S106 requires for example, a marshal to be on duty throughout and beyond the opening time of the Sports Centre. This is for the safety of pedestrians and others who visit the Sports Centre. When I last looked into this, a few months ago, the situation was that no marshal had been employed for several years and Camden was seeking to get this corrected. See recent FOIs on the website. I have devoted much of my time since 2008 when the public enquiry for the Princes Park development took place and now so if there is anything I can explain etc, please do not hesitate to contact me.</p> <p>Nick Harding</p>

---

**Total: 4**