




A-squared Studio

Harrington Square

Basement Impact Assessment (BIA)

January 2024

2874-A2S-XX-XX-RP-Y-0003-04



Project Name	Harrington Square
Project Number	2874
Client	Salboy (Mornington Crescent) Limited
Document Name	Basement Impact Assessment (BIA)

This document has been prepared for the sole benefit, use and information of Salboy (Mornington Crescent) Limited for the purposes set out in the document or instructions commissioning it. The liability of A2 Site Investigation Limited in respect of the information contained in this document is as per the A2-SI Terms & Conditions and will not extend to any third party. All concepts and proposals are copyright © January 2024. Issued in commercial confidence.

A-squared Studio Engineers Limited

One Westminster Bridge Rd
 London, SE1 7XW

020 7620 2868
 contact@a2-studio.com
 www.a2-studio.com

Prepared by

Nadia Hujair
 BSc PGDip MSc DIC

 Engineer


Checked by

James Woodcock
 MEng(Hons) MSc DIC CEng MICE

 Principal Engineer

Approved by

Tony Suckling
 Eur Ing BEng (Hons) MSc CEng FICE FGS
 MIEAust CPEr
 Director



Document Reference	Status	Revision	Issued by	Date
2874-A2S-XX-XX-RP-Y-0003-00	First Issue	00	NH	01.09.2023
2874-A2S-XX-XX-RP-Y-0003-01	Second Issue	01	NH	04.09.2023
2874-A2S-XX-XX-RP-Y-0003-02	Third Issue	02	NH	11.09.2023
2874-A2S-XX-XX-RP-Y-0003-03	Fourth Issue	03	JW	10.01.2024
2874-A2S-XX-XX-RP-Y-0003-04	Fifth Issue	04	JW	11.01.2024

Contents

1.	Non-Technical Summary	1
2.	Introduction	2
3.	Desk Study	5
4.	Screening	6
5.	Scoping	11
6.	Site Investigation	16
7.	Additional Assessments	17
8.	Construction Methodology / Engineer Statements	0
9.	Basement Impact Assessment	2

Appendices

Appendix A: Proposed Development Drawings

Appendix B: Ground Movement and Damage Impact Assessment

1. Non-Technical Summary

- 1.1.1. The site location is at the land adjacent to Hurdwick House, Harrington Square, Camden, London, NW1 2JE, within the London Borough of Camden.
- 1.1.2. The site is currently occupied by a private car park. The existing buildings near the site comprise a number of 4-5 storey residential properties.
- 1.1.3. The proposed development works include the construction of a new 5-storey residential apartment building which will be supported by a piled foundation system. A new one-storey basement level is also to be constructed across part of the building footprint. The excavation will be supported by reinforced concrete retaining walls and temporary sheet piling with the potential for some areas of open-cut where feasible.
- 1.1.4. The following assessments are presented in the current document:
- Screening.
 - Scoping.
 - Additional evidence/assessments (as required), including:
 - Ground movement assessment (GMA).
 - Architectural and structural drawings.
 - Basement Impact Assessment (BIA).
- 1.1.5. The site is generally flat, with an approximate surrounding ground elevation of +25.0mOD.
- 1.1.6. Based on a review of BGS data and site-specific ground investigation data, the ground conditions beneath the site are anticipated to comprise:
- Made Ground: to a depth of approximately 2.50 metres below existing ground level (mbgl) comprised of variable anthropogenic deposits.
 - London Clay Formation: >22.50m thick firm to stiff brown clay occasionally silty.
 - Lambeth Group/Thanet Sand.
 - Chalk.
- 1.1.7. No groundwater has been encountered during the ground investigation works and no interaction with the groundwater is anticipated in the proposed works.
- 1.1.8. The BIA has assessed land stability, and the impacts of the proposed development on the neighbouring structures will be limited to *Category 1 – Very Slight*, in accordance with the Burland (1995) damage classification scale.
- 1.1.9. The BIA has concluded that there will be low risk to the development and/or neighbouring properties associated with the risk of land and slope instability.
- 1.1.10. The BIA has concluded that there is a low risk of groundwater flooding.

2. Introduction

2.1. Overview

2.1.1. This Basement Impact Assessment (BIA) has been prepared by A-squared Studio Engineers Ltd (A-squared) on behalf of Salboy (Mornington Crescent) Limited (hereafter referred to as “the applicant”), in support of an application for Full Planning Permission for the proposed development at the land adjacent to Hurdwick House, Harrington Square, Camden, London, NW1 2JE (herein called the “site”).

2.1.2. The purpose of this assessment is to consider the potential effects of the proposed development on the local hydrology, geology, and hydrogeology, and to determine the potential impacts to neighbours and the wider environment.

2.1.3. The location of the proposed development is shown in Figure 2.1.



Approximate site boundary marked in red. Source: Google Earth.

Figure 2.1 Location of the proposed development

2.1.4. The development site is located within the jurisdiction of the London Borough of Camden.

2.1.5. The BIA has followed the approach developed by the London Borough of Camden, which is considered to represent current industry best practice.

2.1.6. The BIA comprises the following elements:

- Screening.
- Scoping.
- Additional evidence/assessments (as required), including:
 - Ground movement assessment (GMA).
 - Architectural and structural drawings.
- Basement Impact Assessment.

2.2. Credentials

- 2.2.1. The BIA has been reviewed by James Woodcock. James is a Chartered Member of the Institution of Civil Engineers (MICE) with 10 years of industry experience in geotechnical design and construction of ground engineering works. James has attained a Master of Science in Soil Mechanics (MSc DIC) from the Imperial College London.
- 2.2.2. The BIA has been approved by Tony Suckling. Tony is a Chartered Fellow of the Institution of Civil Engineers (FICE) and a Fellow of the Geological Society (FGS). Tony has a Master of Science (MSc) in Geotechnical Engineering from City University. Tony is a Registered Ground Engineering Professional (RoGEP) with almost 30 years of industry experience in geotechnical design and construction of ground engineering works. Tony has previously held the position of Technical Director for Balfour Beatty Ground Engineering Ltd. Tony has been a past Chairman of the Federation of Piling Specialists Technical Committee and a Board Member of the Deep Foundation Institute Europe. Tony was part of the steering group for CIRIA C760 Guidance on Embedded Retaining Wall Design.

2.3. Sources of Information

- 2.3.1. The following baseline data has been referenced to complete the BIA in relation to the proposed development:
- *Phase I Geo-Environmental Assessment Report* produced by Renaissance Associated Ltd (Renaissance), dated March 2023 (ref: HAR-REN-00-XX-RP-C-00003).
 - Drawings prepared by Renaissance.
 - *Factual Report* produced by A2 Site Investigation Limited, dated June 2023 (ref: 33023-A2SI-XX-XX-RP-X-0001-01).
 - Public domain geological mapping from British Geological Society Geology of Britain Viewer and Borehole Viewer.
 - Camden Planning Guidance – Basements (March 2018).
 - Flood map for planning – Environmental Agency.
 - LB Camden, Planning Guidance (CPG4) – Basements (March 2018).

2.4. Existing Development

- 2.4.1. The development site is located at the land adjacent to Hurdwick House, Harrington Square, Camden, London, NW1 2JE. The site area is approximately 0.05 ha.
- 2.4.2. The site is generally flat with an existing external ground level of approximately +25.0mOD.
- 2.4.3. The site is currently occupied by a by a private car park, containing hard and soft landscaping.

2.5. Neighbouring Properties and Infrastructure

- 2.5.1. The site is bounded by a residential apartment building to the south-east, Harrington Square highway to the south-west, and terraced housing to the north-west and north-east. A summary of the surrounding land uses and key buildings is presented in Table 2-1.
- 2.5.2. Underground utilities may be present in the area surrounding the site. Other asset owners with existing underground services that may be impacted by the proposed development include the following:

- London Borough of Camden and the Greater London Authority.
- London Underground Limited (LUL).
- Thames Water Utilities Ltd.
- BT (BT Group Plc) – Telecoms.
- UK Power Networks Ltd – Electricity distribution.
- National Grid Gas Plc – Gas.

2.5.3. Asset protection teams for the assets listed in 2.5.2 will be engaged as the design of the proposed development continues. Where necessary, separate GMAs will be prepared to meet design assurance requirements.

Table 2-1 Surrounding land uses summary

Bearing from Site	Features directly adjacent to the site boundary	Other identified land uses and key structures
North	1-5 Hurdwick Place terraced housing.	Mornington Crescent tube station. Other commercial premises, including restaurants and retail, and occasional residential use.
South	Hurdwick House - Residential apartment building	Other commercial premises, including restaurants and retail, and occasional residential use.
East	Eversholt Street – terraced mixed commercial and residential use.	Other commercial premises, including restaurants and retail, and occasional residential use. Railway tracks to/from London Euston Station.
West	Harrington Square highway and Harrington Square Gardens.	Other commercial premises, including restaurants and retail, and occasional residential use.

2.6. Proposed Development

- 2.6.1. The proposed development sketches / drawings are included in Appendix A.
- 2.6.2. The scheme for the proposed development comprises a multi-storey residential building including a single-storey basement across the southern portion of the building footprint. The basement is to be supported by reinforced concrete retaining walls with sheet piles providing support in the temporary condition. The sheet piles are expected to be sacrificial. The building is proposed to be supported by piled foundations.
- 2.6.3. The location of the proposed basement is indicated in Figure 2.2.

4. Screening

4.1. Subterranean (Groundwater) Flow, Screening Flowchart

Question	Response	Details
1a. Is the site located directly above an aquifer?	No	The London Clay is classified as an Unproductive stratum.
1b. Will the proposed basement extend beneath the water table surface?	No	No groundwater has been encountered in the ground investigation and therefore no excavations will extend below the groundwater table.
2. Is the site within 100m of a watercourse, well (used / disused) or potential spring line?	No	The site is not within 100m of a watercourse, well, or potential spring line.
3. Will the proposed basement development result in a change in the proportion of the hard surfaced / paved areas?	No	Basement to be formed in existing carpark which is currently covered by hardstanding.
4. As part of the site drainage, will more surface water (e.g. rainfall and run-off) than at present be discharged to the ground (e.g. via soakaways and / or SUDS)?	No	<p>The proposed development is expected to maintain the existing surface water discharge conditions.</p> <p>SUDs techniques are proposed as part of the scheme, including rainwater harvesting and blue roofs.</p> <p>More details can be found in the Outline Drainage Strategy prepared by Renaissance (ref. HSC-REN-XX-XX-RP-C-00002).</p>
5. Is the lowest point of the proposed excavation (allowing for any drainage and foundation space under the basement floor) close to, or lower than, the mean water level in any local pond?	No	No ponds are considered local to the site.

4.2. Stability Screening Flow Chart

Question	Response	Details
1. Does the existing site include slopes, natural or man-made, greater than 7 degrees (approximately 1 in 8)?	No	The site is generally flat.
2. Will the proposed re-profiling or landscaping at the site change slopes at the property boundary to more than 7 degrees (approximately 1 in 8)?	No	There are no slopes at the existing site and none are proposed.
3. Does the development neighbour land, including railway cuttings and the like, with a slope greater than 7 degrees (approximately 1 in 8)?	No	Adjacent properties have a similar flat topography to the site.
4. Is the site within a wider hillside setting in which the general slope is greater than 7 degrees (approximately 1 in 8)?	No	The site is within a generally flat wider area.
5. Is the London Clay the shallowest strata at the site?	No	BGS information and site-specific ground investigation data show that the site is likely to be underlain by Made Ground over London Clay.
6. Will any trees be felled as part of the development and/or are any works proposed within any tree protection zones where trees are to be retained?	Yes	2no. trees in the northwest corner of the site will be removed as part of the works.
7. Is there a history of seasonal shrink-swell subsidence in the local area and/or evidence of such effects at the site?	No	There is no evidenced history of shrink-swell induced subsidence at the site.
8. Is the site within 100m of a watercourse or a potential spring line?	No	The site is not within 100m of a watercourse or potential spring line.
9. Is the site within an area of previously worked ground?	No	The site is not in an area of previously worked ground.
10. Is the site within an aquifer? If so, will the proposed basement extend beneath the water table such that dewatering may be required during construction?	No	The London Clay is classified as an Unproductive stratum. The proposed basement will not extend below the groundwater table. No groundwater was encountered during the ground investigation.
11. Is the site within 5m of a highway or pedestrian right of way?	Yes	The site is bounded by Hurdwick Place and Harrington Square to the east and a pedestrian pavement.
12. Will the proposed basement significantly increase the differential depth of foundations relative to neighbouring properties?	Yes	The proposed basements formation level is approximately 4.3mbgl. For the purpose of conservatism in the ground movement assessment, neighbouring buildings are assumed to be founded at or close to ground level, ignoring any existing basements.
13. Is the site over (or within the exclusion zone of) any tunnels, e.g. railway lines?	Yes	Th site boundary is within the exclusion zone of a London Underground Limited (LUL) northern line tunnel located to the west of the site. The building footprint does not however overlap with the exclusion zone and proposed foundations will not enter the exclusion zone.

4.3. Surface Water and Flooding Screening Flowchart

Question	Response	Details
1. As part of the proposed site drainage, will surface water flows (e.g. volume of rainfall and peak run-off) be materially changed from the existing route?	No	The existing route is expected to be incorporated into the scheme.
2. Will the proposed basement development result in a change in the proportion of hard surfaced / paved external areas?	No	Basement to be formed in an existing carpark which is currently covered by hardstanding.
3. Will the proposed basement result in changes to the profile of the inflows (instantaneous and long-term) of surface water being received by adjacent properties or downstream watercourses?	No	The proposed basement is not anticipated to change the surface water discharged from the property.
4. Will the proposed basement result in changes to the quality of surface water being received by adjacent properties or downstream watercourses?	No	No change in surface water quality is anticipated.
5. Is the site in an area identified to have surface water flood risk according to either the Local Flood Risk Management Strategy or the Strategic Flood Risk Assessment or is it at risk from flooding, for example because the proposed basement is below the static water level of nearby surface water feature?	No	Based on the Environment Agency Flood Map, the site is in Flood Zone 1 and has a low probability of flooding. More details can be found in the Flood Risk Assessment prepared by Renaissance (ref. HSC-REN-XX-XX-RP-C-00001)

4.4. Statutory Listed Buildings Screening Flowchart

Question		Response	Details
1.	Is the building statutory Listed?	No	There is no existing structure on the site footprint.
2.	Is the site located adjacent to or close to a statutory Listed Building?	Yes	There is one listed structure within 50m of the site: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Mornington Crescent London Railway Transport Station is a Grade II Listed building located approximately 40m north of the site.

4.5. Non-Technical Summary of Screening Process

- 4.5.1. The screening process identifies the following issues to be carried forward to scoping for further assessment:
- The proposed basement excavation is adjacent to neighbouring structures and will increase the differential depth of foundations relative to neighbouring properties. The proposed basements formation level is approximately 4.3mbgl.
 - The site is within or above the exclusion zone of a tunnel.
 - Trees are to be removed as part of the scheme.
 - There is one listed building within 50m of the site.
- 4.5.2. The other potential concerns considered within the screening process have been demonstrated to be not applicable or not significant when applied to the proposed development.

5. Scoping

5.1. Stability: Proposed basement excavation is adjacent to neighbouring structures.

Hazards

- 5.1.1. Deep excavations will be carried out adjacent to neighbouring structures.

Potential Impacts

- 5.1.2. Collapse of the excavation and associated impact on surrounding assets.
- 5.1.3. Damage to the buried services due to excessive ground movements.
- 5.1.4. Damage to neighbouring properties induced by ground movements associated with proposed excavation/construction works.

Mitigating Factors

- 5.1.5. Localised temporary propping should be considered in to reduce the risk of adversely affecting the neighbouring properties and any surrounding third-party assets due to excessive ground movements.
- 5.1.6. Several basements of similar depth and scale have been successfully constructed throughout London within similar geological conditions and urban settings.
- 5.1.7. The proposed construction sequence will be driven by limiting ground movements and keeping the potential damage to the neighbouring structures to Burland (1995) *Category 1 – Very Slight*.


Assessments and Further Actions

- 5.1.8. Design of the retaining structures shall be carried out by an appropriately experienced and qualified specialist / engineer / ground engineering contractor in accordance with relevant Eurocodes / British Standards, Codes of Practice, and industry standards. The design shall allow for appropriate surcharging behind the retaining walls to accurately reflect the type and intensity of traffic and building loads.
- 5.1.9. A ground movement assessment has been carried out to determine the impact of proposed excavation works on the neighbouring properties, discussed in Section 8.2. The assessment predicts a maximum damage classification of *Category 1 – Very Slight* for the neighbouring properties, in accordance with the Burland (1995) damage classification scale.
- 5.1.10. Additional ground movement assessments will be performed as required to determine the impact of the proposed excavation works on any buried utilities and other third-party assets surrounding the site. These assessments will confirm the predicted impact of the development in accordance with performance limits set by the relevant third-party asset protection teams.
- 5.1.11. Appropriate ground movement monitoring should be implemented during construction to assess the performance of the earth retention system (baseline monitoring pre-commencement of the works should be carried out to determine any potential existing movement trends).

5.2. Stability: The site is located over (or within the exclusion zone of) a tunnel.

Hazards

- 5.2.1. Piling works and excavations will be carried out in proximity to two LUL northern line tunnels. The location of these in relation to the proposed development is presented in Figure 5.1.



Approximate site boundary marked in red. LUL tunnels marked in yellow. Exclusion zone marked in hatched red.

KEY	
	INDICATES APPROXIMATE LINE OF SITE BOUNDARY. REFER TO ARCHITECTS AND LEGAL BOUNDARY DRAWINGS FOR EXACT LOCATION
	INDICATES ASSUMED 1:1 ZONE TO EXISTING ADJACENT BASEMENT.
	INDICATES APPROXIMATE AREA OF PROPOSED BUILDING GROUND FLOOR. REFER TO ARCHITECT INFORMATION FOR SETTING OUT.
	INDICATES APPROXIMATE AREA OF PROPOSED BUILDING BASEMENT. REFER TO ARCHITECT INFORMATION FOR SETTING OUT.
	INDICATES APPROXIMATE LOCATION OF TRANSPORT FOR LONDON UNDERGROUND TUNNELS BELOW.
	INDICATES APPROXIMATE LOCATION OF UNITED UTILITIES COMBINED SEWER.
	INDICATES APPROXIMATE LOCATION OF SURFACE WATER SEWER.
	INDICATES APPROXIMATE LOCATION OF ONSITE TREES & VEGETATION.
	INDICATES ASSUMED LOCATION OF EXISTING HISTORIC RETAINING WALL.
	INDICATES APPROXIMATE LOCATION OF EXISTING BUILDINGS.
	INDICATES APPROXIMATE AREA OF TRANSPORT FOR LONDON UNDERGROUND TUNNEL PILING EXCLUSION ZONE BELOW.
	INDICATES APPROXIMATE EXTENT OF HISTORIC HOUSING NOW DEMOLISHED

Figure 5.1 Northern line tunnels in proximity to site.

Potential Impacts

- 5.2.2. Damage to the tunnel due to excessive ground movements.

Mitigating Factors

- 5.2.3. No piling to be carried out in the exclusion zone.
- 5.2.4. The footprint of the proposed building and associated loading does not breach the exclusion zone.

Assessments and Further Actions

- 5.2.5. A ground movement assessment has been carried out to determine the impact of proposed development works on the two nearby LUL northern line tunnels, discussed in Section 7. The impact has been found to be within acceptable criteria.
- 5.2.6. Appropriate ground movement monitoring should be implemented during construction to assess the performance of the earth retention system (baseline monitoring pre-commencement of the works should be carried out to determine any potential existing movement trends).

5.3. Stability: Trees are to be removed as part of the scheme

Potential Impacts

- 5.3.1. Damage to adjacent buildings due to tree removal.

Mitigating Factors

- 5.3.2. Trees to be removed are of a moderate water demand.
- 5.3.3. Shrinkable soil on site is assessed to be of medium volume change potential.
- 5.3.4. Structure adjacent to trees which will be removed is understood to have a semi-basement and is therefore founded at a greater depth.

Assessments and Further Actions


- 5.3.5. An assessment has been carried out to determine the risk of tree removal (refer to Section 6.3 of the Ground Movement Assessment provided in Appendix B). The risk was assessed to be negligible and no further assessment is required.

5.4. Statutory Listed Buildings: The site is located adjacent or close to a statutory Listed Building.

Hazards

5.4.1. There is one building within 50m of the site:

- Mornington Crescent London Railway Transport Station is a Grade II Listed building located approximately 40m north of the site. The location of this is presented in Figure 5.2.



Source: Historic England

Figure 5.2 Listed buildings within 50m of the site

Potential Impacts

- 5.4.2. Collapse of the excavation and associated impact on surrounding assets.
- 5.4.3. The proposed development will likely increase the differential foundation depths with neighbouring properties. Ground movements arising due to construction and excavation activities may damage these properties.

Mitigating Factors

- 5.4.4. Localised temporary propping should be considered in to reduce the risk of adversely affecting the neighbouring properties and any surrounding third-party assets due to excessive ground movements.
- 5.4.5. Several basements of similar depth and scale have been successfully constructed throughout London within similar geological conditions and urban settings.
- 5.4.6. The proposed construction sequence will be driven by limiting ground movements and keeping the potential damage to the neighbouring structures to Burland (1995) *Category 1 – Very Slight*.

Assessments and Further Actions

- 5.4.7. The design of the retaining walls shall be carried out by an appropriately experienced and qualified specialist / engineer / ground engineering contractor in accordance with relevant Eurocodes / British Standards, Codes of Practice, and industry standards. The design shall allow for appropriate surcharging behind the retaining walls to accurately reflect the type and intensity of traffic and building loads.
- 5.4.8. Appropriate ground movement monitoring should be implemented during construction to assess the performance of the earth retention system (baseline monitoring pre-commencement of the works should be carried out to determine any potential existing movement trends).

6. Site Investigation

- 6.1.1. A site-specific ground investigation has been undertaken at the site as presented in *A2 Site Investigation, Harrington Square Factual Report, ref. 33023-A2SI-XX-XX-RP-X-0001-01*.
- 6.1.2. At this stage, based on the anticipated ground model and desk-based review, it is not considered likely that the ground conditions would render the current proposals non-viable from a construction or stability perspective.

7. Additional Assessments

7.1. Northern Line Ground Movement Assessment

- 7.1.1. A ground movement assessment has been conducted to determine the impact of the proposed works on the LUL Northern Line assets to the west.
- 7.1.2. The impact of the construction works associated with the Harrington Square development has been assessed using the Oasys PDisp and XDisp software package, in general accordance with thresholds set by the LUL asset protection team. The Oasys PDisp and XDisp assessment simulates full greenfield conditions, where the impact of any structural stiffness from the proposed development and tunnel lining are not considered.
- 7.1.3. The tunnel deformations induced by ground movements have been assessed in the short- and long-term conditions accounting for time-dependent ground movements and load redistribution.
- 7.1.4. Soil parameters have been selected based on site specific ground investigation data.
- 7.1.5. The modelled locations of the Northern Line tunnels have been modelled based on archive and as-built information provided by LUL.
- 7.1.6. Whilst the asset protection team has not set specific criteria to be satisfied, the induced displacements, radii of curvature and radial distortions of all tunnels are within generally adopted criteria for similar assessments

8. Construction Methodology / Engineer Statements

8.1. Outline Temporary and Permanent Works Proposals

- 8.1.1. It is proposed at this stage to construct the basements using temporary sheet piling to form cast in situ reinforced concrete retaining walls with the potential for some areas of open-cut where feasible.
- 8.1.2. Standard means and methods of excavation are expected to be suitable to excavate the basement, based upon the anticipated ground conditions.
- 8.1.3. The basement excavation will be restrained by new cast in situ reinforced concrete walls and temporary sheet pile walls. The final arrangement is subject to ongoing design development.
- 8.1.4. Design of the retaining walls shall be carried out in accordance with the relevant Eurocodes, non-conflicting codes of practice, and associated design best practice.
- 8.1.5. The design currently assumes that the sheet piles will be sacrificial and will be left in-situ in the permanent condition. This is subject to detailed design by the chosen contractor.

8.2. Ground Movement and Damage Impact Assessment

- 8.2.1. A GMA has been carried out in accordance with CIRIA C760 and takes into account the construction methodology and site-specific ground and groundwater conditions.
- 8.2.2. All structures / properties within the zone of influence of the proposed development have been assessed.
- 8.2.3. The following assumptions have been made within the GMA:
 - The buildings included in the GMA are assumed to be founded at the ground surface.
 - The walls of these properties/buildings are assumed to behave as equivalent beams.
 - The stiffness of the structures have no impact on the ground movements (i.e. greenfield movements are used to determine damage).
- 8.2.4. The ground movements resulting from the works comprise deformations arising from the following mechanisms:
 - Installation of the reinforced concrete retaining walls and temporary sheet piling.
 - Bulk excavation works.
 - Ground heave and settlements due to unloading / loading.
- 8.2.5. The following structures were assessed, having been identified as falling within the zone of influence of the proposed development:
 - 5 Hurdwick Place
 - Hurdwick House
- 8.2.6. In accordance with the Burland Scale, the potential damage impacts are assessed not to be greater than *Category 1 – Very Slight*.
- 8.2.7. The following mitigation measures are proposed to ensure ground movements and impact on adjacent assets remain within acceptable limits:

- Design of the retaining wall and temporary propping measures shall be carried out in accordance with the relevant Eurocodes, non-conflicting codes of practice, and associated design best practice.
- Retaining wall construction to be performed by an experienced ground engineering contractor.
- Frequent monitoring of neighbouring properties to be carried out during excavation, to validate ground movement predictions against reality.
- Development of a monitoring-trigger-action plan that identifies trigger levels, responsible personnel and actions to be followed in the event of a trigger level exceedance.
- Incorporating stiff, high level propping into the temporary works design of the basement excavation, so as to provide a high stiffness earth retention system. Design details regarding minimum wall flexural stiffness, prop stiffness and arrangement, shall be defined as part of detailed design development and will take cognisance of the results of the scheme GMAs.
- Designated areas for stacking and storing materials behind the retaining wall should be identified. These should be located away from sensitive structures. The design of the retaining wall should incorporate an appropriate surcharge load to the rear of the wall, to capture effects of stacking and storing materials, vehicle traffic, etc.

8.2.8. An additional scheme GMA has been performed in order to review the impact of the scheme on LUL assets at an early stage of the design development. This assessment should be reviewed post-planning and further refined based on the ongoing scheme development, prior to issue to the relevant asset protection teams.

8.3. Control of Construction Works

- 8.3.1. Following the selection of a Principal Contractor, a Construction Method Statement should be developed, which will cover the items outlined in this section in detail.
- 8.3.2. Work method statements and logistics strategies shall be developed for the main stages of the construction works, outlining the means and methods of safely carrying out the works. Key risks and associated mitigation measures shall also be detailed.
- 8.3.3. Details of all temporary works required should be developed to ensure that structural stability is maintained throughout the demolition and excavation works.
- 8.3.4. Construction traffic management plans and environmental considerations for the site, including site waste management and noise / vibration / dust mitigation, should also be developed.
- 8.3.5. A detailed structural monitoring strategy should also be developed to control construction works and maintain movements / damage impacts that are within the predicted limits and tolerances. This should include the following:
- A structural monitoring layout plan of instrumentation / survey points / critical sections.
 - Programme / frequency of monitoring.
 - Trigger values derived for each of the structures within the zone of influence of the proposed works.
 - Contingency actions and project team lines of responsibility.

9. Basement Impact Assessment

9.1. General

9.1.1. The Conceptual Site Model (CSM) is described below:

- The proven ground conditions of the site generally comprise Made Ground to a depth of approximately 2.6mbgl overlying London Clay.
- The site and the general surrounding areas are relatively flat.
- No groundwater has been encountered during the ground investigation and it is therefore not anticipated to be present beneath the site. Finite perched bodies of perched groundwater may be present within the Made Ground.
- The site is currently occupied by a by a private car park, containing hard and soft landscaping.
- The scheme for the proposed development comprises a multi-storey residential building including a single-storey basement across the southern portion of the building footprint. The basement is to be supported partially by a reinforced concrete retaining wall and temporary sheet piles. The building is proposed to be supported by piled foundations.
- The excavations will be undertaken using temporary sheet piling to form cast in situ reinforced concrete retaining walls with the potential for some areas of open-cut where feasible. Sheet piles are assumed to be left in place in the permanent condition.
- For the purpose of the ground movement assessment undertaken as part of this study, all neighbouring buildings have conservatively been assumed to be founded near surface.
- The proposed development may result in limited impact/cosmetic damage to the neighbouring buildings. Any potential damage will be mitigated by appropriate construction means and methods (such as temporary propping/shoring and controlled excavation operations).
- The site is bounded by Harrington Square to the south-west. The road is at a minimum distance of approximately 5.3m from the proposed basement.
- Thames Water and other near surface utilities/assets may be present in the area surrounding the site. The potential impact of the development proposals on these assets will be reviewed as part of design development.

9.2. Land Stability / Slope Stability

- 9.2.1. It is assumed that all new substructure elements will be founded on the London Clay formation, which are considered to be a suitable founding stratum.
- 9.2.2. A GMA has concluded that ground movements caused by excavation and construction of the proposed development will be limited. The upper bound damage category for existing on site and surrounding structures within the zone of influence of the proposed development has been assessed as *Category 1 – Very Slight*, in accordance with the Burland damage classification scale.
- 9.2.3. The BIA has concluded that the risks to the adjacent properties is limited and will be mitigated in a reasonable fashion as part of design development.


9.3. Hydrology and Groundwater Flooding

- 9.3.1. The BIA has concluded that there is a low risk of groundwater flooding due to the proposed development.

9.4. Hydrology, Surface Water Flooding and Sewer Flooding

- 9.4.1. The BIA has concluded that there are little potential impacts to the wider hydrological environment. Subject to final scheme surface water drainage design and Flood Risk Assessments.

Appendix A: Proposed Development Drawings



GENERAL NOTES:

1. REFER TO DRAWING HSC-REN-XX-ZZ-DR-S-00010 FOR GENERAL NOTES AND REINFORCEMENT ESTIMATES

HEALTH & SAFETY NOTES:

1. RISK OF SURCHARGING ADJACENT MASONRY RETAINING WALL DUE TO SITE ACCESS FOR PILING AND FOUNDATION CONSTRUCTION. WORKING AND EXCLUSION ZONE ADJACENT TO BASEMENT WALL AND ASSOCIATED TEMPORARY WORKS IS TO BE DEVELOPED BY THE MAIN CONTRACTOR AND THEIR TEMPORARY WORKS DESIGNER

2. RISK OF STRIKING SERVICES DURING PILING WORKS. UTILITY MAPS AND REDUCED LEVELS DIOS REQUIRED TO DETERMINE LOCATION OF ALL SERVICES PRIOR TO PILING

HIGHWAYS RETAINING STRUCTURE

HIGHWAY RETAINING WALL TO RESIST A SURCHARGE LOAD OF 15kN/m² IN LINE WITH BS EN 1997-1:2004+A1:2013

ALLOWANCE WITHIN THE PROGRAMME SHOULD BE MADE FOR ENGAGEMENT WITH HIGHWAYS AUTHORITY AND AIP PROCESS UPON CONCLUSION OF AGREED BASEMENT CONSTRUCTION METHOD.

BASEMENT TANKING

WATER PROOFING TO SPECIALIST DESIGN AND DETAIL. TO INTERNAL AREAS ALLOW FOR CAT 3 BASEMENT PROTECTION TO BS8102 TO BE CONFIRMED BY ARCHITECT

EXTERNAL AREAS ALLOW FOR CAT 1 BASEMENT PROTECTION TO BS8102 TO BE CONFIRMED BY ARCHITECT

BELOW GROUND DRAINAGE

CONNECTION TO PUBLIC SEWER FOR NOT YET ASCERTAINED. ADDITIONAL CCTV DRAINAGE SURVEYS REQUIRED.

ALLOW FOR PACKAGE PUMPING STATION PER BASEMENT UNIT TO SUPPLIERS DESIGN AND DETAIL

FOUNDATIONS SIZES AND BEARING PRESSURE

THE UPPER RAFT IS BASED ON FOUNDING IN UNDISTURBED CLAY ABOVE THE GROUND WATER TABLE WITH AN ALLOWABLE BEARING PRESSURE OF 150kN/m². THE CONTRACTOR TO UNDERTAKE THE WORKS AND MANAGE THE GROUNDWATER IN A MANNER THAT DOES NOT DISTURB THE NATURAL FOUNDING STRATA AND COMPROMISE THE BEARING STRATA.

DETAILED UTILITIES INVESTIGATIONS

ALLOWANCE SHOULD BE MADE FOR THE DETAILED INVESTIGATIONS TO ESTABLISH THE POSITION AND EXTENT OF STATUTORY UTILITIES IN AND AROUND THE SITE. A CONTINGENT ALLOWANCE SHOULD BE CONSIDERED AT THIS STAGE FOR POTENTIAL WORKS TO MANAGE DIVERSIONS OR ISOLATION OF REDUNDANT SERVICES THAT SERVICE THE SITE.

PILES ARE ASSUMED 300mm DIAMETER, SPACED AT 3x PILE DIAMETER WITH A WORKING LOAD CAPACITY OF 200kN AND PILE LENGTH OF 15m. TBC BY PILE DESIGNER AND SUBJECT TO DETAILED GROUND MOVEMENT ASSESSMENT.

P03	AS CLOUDED	04.12.23	EM	AI
P02	AS CLOUDED	30.11.23	EM	AI
P01	UPDATED PROPOSALS - STAGE 2	06.09.23	EM	AI
Rev:	Description:	Date:	By:	Chkd:



renaissance

Renaissance Associates Ltd
Carvers Warehouse
77 Dale Street
Manchester
M1 2HG

Project:

**HARRINGTON SQUARE
CAMDEN**

Drawing Title:

BASEMENT PLAN

Status:

STAGE 2

Size:	Date:	Drawn by:	Designed by:	Checked by:
A1	MAR '23	EM	EM	AI
Scale:	1:100	Project No:	2202-03	


Project:	Originator:	Volume:	Level:	Type:	Role:	Category/Number:	Rev:
----------	-------------	---------	--------	-------	-------	------------------	------

HSC-REN-XX-B1-DR-S-01080 P03

RIBA STAGE 2

THESE DRAWINGS ARE INTENDED TO COMMUNICATE THE CONCEPT DESIGN FOR THE STRUCTURAL FRAME FOR THE PROPOSED BUILDING AND ARE APPROPRIATE FOR RIBA STAGE 2 (CONCEPT DESIGN). ALL STRUCTURAL SIZES ARE INDICATIVE ONLY AND TO ASSIST WITH HIGH LEVEL COSTING BY THE PROJECT QUANTITY SURVEYOR AND CONTRACTOR. ALL STRUCTURAL SIZES AND REINFORCEMENT RATES ARE INDICATIVE AT THIS STAGE AND ARE SUBJECT TO CHANGE AS THE DESIGN DEVELOPS THROUGH RIBA STAGE 3 (SPATIAL CO-ORDINATION) AND STAGE 4 (TECHNICAL DESIGN).

A DESIGN CONTINGENCY OF 15-20% IS RECOMMENDED TO ALLOW FOR DESIGN DEVELOPMENT DURING RIBA STAGE 2, 3 AND STAGE 4 (TECHNICAL DESIGN) AND TO REFLECT INPUT FROM CONTRACTOR DESIGNED PACKAGES.



GENERAL NOTES:

1. REFER TO DRAWING HSC-REN-XX-ZZ-DR-S-00010 FOR GENERAL NOTES AND REINFORCEMENT ESTIMATES

HEALTH & SAFETY NOTES:

1 RISK OF SURCHARGING ADJACENT MASONRY RETAINING WALL DUE TO SITE ACCESS FOR PILING AND FOUNDATION CONSTRUCTION. WORKING AND EXCLUSION ZONE ADJACENT TO BASEMENT WALL AND ASSOCIATED TEMPORARY WORKS IS TO BE DEVELOPED BY THE MAIN CONTRACTOR AND THEIR TEMPORARY WORKS DESIGNER

2 RISK OF STRIKING SERVICES DURING PILING WORKS. UTILITY MAPS AND REDUCED LEVELS DIOS REQUIRED TO DETERMINE LOCATION OF ALL SERVICES PRIOR TO PILING

HIGHWAYS RETAINING STRUCTURE

HIGHWAY RETAINING WALL TO RESIST A SURCHARGE LOAD OF 15kN/m² IN LINE WITH BS EN 1997-1:2004+A1:2013

ALLOWANCE WITHIN THE PROGRAMME SHOULD BE MADE FOR ENGAGEMENT WITH HIGHWAYS AUTHORITY AND AIP PROCESS UPON CONCLUSION OF AGREED BASEMENT CONSTRUCTION METHOD.

BASEMENT TANKING

WATER PROOFING TO SPECIALIST DESIGN AND DETAIL.

TO INTERNAL AREAS ALLOW FOR CAT 3 BASEMENT PROTECTION TO BS8102 TO BE CONFIRMED BY ARCHITECT

EXTERNAL AREAS ALLOW FOR CAT 1 BASEMENT PROTECTION TO BS8102 TO BE CONFIRMED BY ARCHITECT

BELOW GROUND DRAINAGE

CONNECTION TO PUBLIC SEWER FOR NOT YET ASCERTAINED. ADDITIONAL CCTV DRAINAGE SURVEYS REQUIRED.

ALLOW FOR PACKAGE PUMPING STATION PER BASEMENT UNIT TO SUPPLIERS DESIGN AND DETAIL

FOUNDATIONS SIZES AND BEARING PRESSURE

THE UPPER RAFT IS BASED ON FOUNDING IN UNDISTURBED CLAY ABOVE THE GROUND WATER TABLE WITH AN ALLOWABLE BEARING PRESSURE OF 150kN/m². THE CONTRACTOR TO UNDERTAKE THE WORKS AND MANAGE THE GROUNDWATER IN A MANNER THAT DOES NOT DISTURB THE NATURAL FOUNDING STRATA AND COMPROMISE THE BEARING STRATA.

DETAILED UTILITIES INVESTIGATIONS

ALLOWANCE SHOULD BE MADE FOR THE DETAILED INVESTIGATIONS TO ESTABLISH THE POSITION AND EXTENT OF STATUTORY UTILITIES IN AND AROUND THE SITE. A CONTINGENT ALLOWANCE SHOULD BE CONSIDERED AT THIS STAGE FOR POTENTIAL WORKS TO MANAGE DIVERSIONS OR ISOLATION OF REDUNDANT SERVICES THAT SERVICE THE SITE.

PILES ARE ASSUMED 300mm DIAMETER, SPACED AT 3x PILE DIAMETER WITH A WORKING LOAD CAPACITY OF 200kN AND PILE LENGTH OF 15m. TBC BY PILE DESIGNER AND SUBJECT TO DETAILED GROUND MOVEMENT ASSESSMENT.

P05	AS CLOUDED	04.12.23	EM	AI
P04	UPDATED PROPOSALS - STAGE 2	06.09.23	EM	AI
P03	UPDATED PROPOSALS - DRAFT SCHEME FOR COMMENT	11.07.23	EM	AI
P02	UPDATED ARCHITECTURAL PROPOSALS	14.06.23	EM	AI
P01	STAGE 2	31.03.23	EM	AI
Rev:	Description:	Date:	By:	Chkd:



renaissance

Renaissance Associates Ltd
Carvers Warehouse
77 Dale Street
Manchester
M1 2HG

Project:

**HARRINGTON SQUARE
CAMDEN**

Drawing Title:

FOUNDATION PLAN

Status:

STAGE 2

Size:	Date:	Drawn by:	Designed by:	Checked by:
A1	MAR '23	EM	EM	AI
Scale:	1:100	Project No:	2202-03	
Project:	Originator:	Volume:	Level:	Type:
				Role:
				Category/Number:

HSC-REN-XX-B1-DR-S-01090 P05

RIBA STAGE 2

THESE DRAWINGS ARE INTENDED TO COMMUNICATE THE CONCEPT DESIGN FOR THE STRUCTURAL FRAME FOR THE PROPOSED BUILDING AND ARE APPROPRIATE FOR RIBA STAGE 2 (CONCEPT DESIGN). ALL STRUCTURAL SIZES ARE INDICATIVE ONLY AND TO ASSIST WITH HIGH LEVEL COSTING BY THE PROJECT QUANTITY SURVEYOR AND CONTRACTOR. ALL STRUCTURAL SIZES AND REINFORCEMENT RATES ARE INDICATIVE AT THIS STAGE AND ARE SUBJECT TO CHANGE AS THE DESIGN DEVELOPS THROUGH RIBA STAGE 3 (SPATIAL CO-ORDINATION) AND STAGE 4 (TECHNICAL DESIGN).

A DESIGN CONTINGENCY OF 15-20% IS RECOMMENDED TO ALLOW FOR DESIGN DEVELOPMENT DURING RIBA STAGE 2, 3 AND STAGE 4 (TECHNICAL DESIGN) AND TO REFLECT INPUT FROM CONTRACTOR DESIGNED PACKAGES.



ALLOWANCE SHOULD BE MADE FOR SECONDARY HOT ROLLED GALVANISED STEELWORK FORMING MASONRY RESTRAINT TO THE PERIMETER.
ALLOWANCE 15kg/m²

GENERAL NOTES:
1. REFER TO DRAWING HSC-REN-ZZ-XX-DR-S-00010 FOR GENERAL NOTES AND REINFORCEMENT ESTIMATES.

ROOF STRUCTURE DEFLECTION
THE COMPOSITE STEEL FRAME WILL DEFLECT UNDER ITS OWN SELF-WEIGHT, FINISHES AND PLANT LOADING. COMPOUND SLAB DEFLECTIONS AT MIDSPAN BETWEEN COLUMNS WILL BE UP TO SPAN/250. FOR THE ARRANGEMENT SHOWN MIDSPAN DEFLECTION COULD IN THE RANGE OF 25-30mm.

IF THE ARCHITECT, ROOFING CONTRACTOR, OR ROOFING SUPPLIER REQUIRE A 'FLAT' ROOF WITH NO NEGATIVE FALLS THEN THIS SHOULD BE FORMED WITHIN THE TAPERED ROOF INSULATION AND AGREED BETWEEN THE ABOVE PARTIES AND THE MAIN CONTRACTOR.

STEEL STAIRS
ALLOW FOR STEEL STAIRCASE'S TO ARCHITECT'S DESIGN INTENT AND SPECIALIST SUPPLIERS DESIGN AND DETAIL, INCLUDING FIXINGS BACK TO PRIMARY FRAME

COLUMN SETTING OUT
ALL COLUMNS TO BE LOCATED CONCENTRICALLY ON GRIDLINES UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE

FAÇADE SUPPORT
FOR FAÇADE PRINCIPLES, INCLUDING MASONRY SUPPORT, SECONDARY STEELWORK ETC. REFER TO FAÇADE CONSULTANTS INFORMATION

CAST-IN CHANNELS, FIXINGS AND BRACKETRY
FOR ALL CAST-IN CHANNEL, FIXINGS AND BRACKETRY REQUIREMENTS, INCLUDING SPECIFICATION AND DETAILED SETTING OUT, REFER TO CLADDING CONTRACTORS, LIFT MANUFACTURES AND BALLUSTRADE DRAWINGS.

WHERE CAST-IN CHANNEL AND FIXINGS ARE INDICATED ON THE RENAISSANCE DRAWINGS, THESE ARE INDICATIVE AND FOR COORDINATION PURPOSES ONLY. REFERENCE SHOULD BE MADE TO THE RELEVANT CONTRACTOR DRAWINGS FOR DETAILED REQUIREMENTS.

FLOOR LEVEL - TOLERANCE & SURFACE REGULARITY
THE CONCRETE FRAME IS TO BE CONSTRUCTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE NATIONAL STRUCTURAL STEELWORK SPECIFICATION TOLERANCES. IN ADDITION FLOOR WILL DEFLECT AT MID-SPAN UP TO SPAN/250. WHERE FLOOR FINISHES REQUIRE AN ENHANCED SURFACE REGULARITY (I.E. SR1, SR2 OR SR3) ALLOW FOR SELF LEVELLING SCREED OR COMPOUND

SERVICE PENETRATIONS & RISER
ALL SERVICE OPENING TO THE BUILDING SERVICES CONSULTANTS REQUIREMENTS. REFER TO THE ARCHITECTS DRAWINGS FOR DETAILED SETTING OUT OF SLAB EDGE AND SERVICE OPENINGS.

ALL SVP & RWP ARE TO BE SET OUT BY THE BUILDING SERVICES CONSULTANT AND ARCHITECT. CONTRACTOR TO ALLOW FOR CASTING FIRE COLLARS TO ALL SVP & RWP PENETRATIONS AND ALL OTHER SERVICE OPENINGS WHERE FEASIBLE

- KEY**
- DENOTES SPAN OF 140mm RC32/40 NORMAL WEIGHT REINFORCED CONCRETE SLAB ON 0.9mm GAUGE SMD 60 + (450 GRADE) DECKING. PROVIDE 1No. LAYER A193 REINFORCEMENT MESH TOP OF SLAB WITH 30mm COVER. ALLOW 5kg/m² ON PLAN OF LOOSE REINFORCEMENT TO COVER REQUIREMENTS AT SLAB EDGES ETC.

FINAL DESIGN AND DETAILING BY SUPPLIER TO ACCOMMODATE MAXIMUM IMPOSED CONSTRUCTION LOAD OF 1.5kN/m² AND 1 HOUR FIRE RESISTANCE. MAXIMUM ALLOWABLE POINT LOADING TO BE CONFIRMED BY SUPPLIER FOR CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES. ALLOW FOR 19DIA X 105mm L.A.W STUDS AT 300mm SPACING TO EVERY BEAM SUPPORTING THE SLAB.
 - ↔ DENOTES SPAN OF 100mm RC32/40 NORMAL WEIGHT REINFORCED COMPOSITE PRECAST CONCRETE SLAB BY BISON OR SIMILAR APPROVED. ALLOW FOR 75mm INSITU RC TOPPING.
 - ↗ DENOTES SPAN OF 200mm RC32/40 GROUND BEARING REINFORCED CONCRETE SLAB.
ALLOWANCE SHOULD BE MADE FOR PLINTHS BENEATH TANK ROOM

SCHEDULE

C01 -	254x254x89 UC
C02 -	203x203x86 UC
C03 -	203x203x46 UC
C04 -	100x100x10 PFC

P03	UPDATED PROPOSALS	06.09.23	EM	AI
P02	UPDATED ARCHITECTURAL PROPOSALS	14.06.23	EM	AI
P01	STAGE 2	31.03.23	EM	AI
Rev:	Description:	Date:	By:	Chkd:



renaissance

Renaissance Associates Ltd
Carvers Warehouse
77 Dale Street
Manchester
M1 2HG

Project:
**HARRINGTON SQUARE
CAMDEN**

Drawing Title:
GROUND FLOOR PLAN



Status:
STAGE 2

Size:	Date:	Drawn by:	Designed by:	Checked by:
A1	MAR '23	EM	EM	AI
Scale:	1:100	Project No:	2202-03	
Project:	Originator:	Volume:	Level:	Type:
				Role:
				Category/Number:
				Rev:


HSC-REN-XX-00-DR-S-01100 P04

RIBA STAGE 2
THESE DRAWINGS ARE INTENDED TO COMMUNICATE THE CONCEPT DESIGN FOR THE STRUCTURAL FRAME FOR THE PROPOSED BUILDING AND ARE APPROPRIATE FOR RIBA STAGE 2 (CONCEPT DESIGN). ALL STRUCTURAL SIZES ARE INDICATIVE ONLY AND TO ASSIST WITH HIGH LEVEL COSTING BY THE PROJECT QUANTITY SURVEYOR AND CONTRACTOR. ALL STRUCTURAL SIZES AND REINFORCEMENT RATES ARE INDICATIVE AT THIS STAGE AND ARE SUBJECT TO CHANGE AS THE DESIGN DEVELOPS THROUGH RIBA STAGE 3 (SPATIAL CO-ORDINATION) AND STAGE 4 (TECHNICAL DESIGN).

A DESIGN CONTINGENCY OF 15-20% IS RECOMMENDED TO ALLOW FOR DESIGN DEVELOPMENT DURING RIBA STAGE 2, 3 AND STAGE 4 (TECHNICAL DESIGN) AND TO REFLECT INPUT FROM CONTRACTOR DESIGNED PACKAGES.



TYPICAL FLOOR BUILD-UP
1:10



INDICATIVE TERRACE STEP DETAIL
1:10

GENERAL NOTES:
REFER TO DRAWING HSC-REN-ZZ-XX-DR-S-00010 FOR GENERAL NOTES AND REINFORCEMENT ESTIMATES.

ROOF STRUCTURE DEFLECTION
THE COMPOSITE STEEL FRAME WILL DEFLECT UNDER ITS OWN SELF-WEIGHT, FINISHES AND PLANT LOADING. COMPOUND SLAB DEFLECTIONS AT MIDSPAN BETWEEN COLUMNS WILL BE UP TO SPAN/250. FOR THE ARRANGEMENT SHOWN MIDSPAN DEFLECTION COULD IN THE RANGE OF 25-30mm.
IF THE ARCHITECT, ROOFING CONTRACTOR, OR ROOFING SUPPLIER REQUIRE A 'FLAT' ROOF WITH NO NEGATIVE FALLS THEN THIS SHOULD BE FORMED WITHIN THE TAPERED ROOF INSULATION AND AGREED BETWEEN THE ABOVE PARTIES AND THE MAIN CONTRACTOR.

STEEL STAIRS
ALLOW FOR STEEL STAIRCASE'S TO ARCHITECTS DESIGN INTENT AND SPECIALIST SUPPLIERS DESIGN AND DETAIL, INCLUDING FIXINGS BACK TO PRIMARY FRAME

COLUMN SETTING OUT
ALL COLUMNS TO BE LOCATED CONCENTRICALLY ON GRIDLINES UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE

FAÇADE SUPPORT
FOR FAÇADE PRINCIPLES, INCLUDING MASONRY SUPPORT, SECONDARY STEELWORK ETC. REFER TO FAÇADE CONSULTANTS INFORMATION

CAST-IN CHANNELS, FIXINGS AND BRACKETRY
FOR ALL CAST-IN CHANNEL, FIXINGS AND BRACKETRY REQUIREMENTS, INCLUDING SPECIFICATION AND DETAILED SETTING OUT, REFER TO CLADDING CONTRACTORS, LIFT MANUFACTURERS AND BALLUSTRADE DRAWINGS.
WHERE CAST-IN CHANNEL AND FIXINGS ARE INDICATED ON THE RENAISSANCE DRAWINGS, THESE ARE INDICATIVE AND FOR COORDINATION PURPOSES ONLY. REFERENCE SHOULD BE MADE TO THE RELEVANT CONTRACTOR DRAWINGS FOR DETAILED REQUIREMENTS.

FLOOR LEVEL - TOLERANCE & SURFACE REGULARITY
THE CONCRETE FRAME IS TO BE CONSTRUCTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE NATIONAL STRUCTURAL STEELWORK SPECIFICATION TOLERANCES. IN ADDITION FLOOR WILL DEFLECT AT MID-SPAN UP TO SPAN/250. WHERE FLOOR FINISHES REQUIRE AN ENHANCED SURFACE REGULARITY (I.E. SR1, SR2 OR SR3) ALLOW FOR SELF LEVELLING SCREED OR COMPOUND

SERVICE PENETRATIONS & RISER
ALL SERVICE OPENING TO THE BUILDING SERVICES CONSULTANTS REQUIREMENTS. REFER TO THE ARCHITECTS DRAWINGS FOR DETAILED SETTING OUT OF SLAB EDGE AND SERVICE OPENINGS.
ALL SVP & RWP ARE TO BE SET OUT BY THE BUILDING SERVICES CONSULTANT AND ARCHITECT. CONTRACTOR TO ALLOW FOR CASTING FIRE COLLARS TO ALL SVP & RWP PENETRATIONS AND ALL OTHER SERVICE OPENINGS WHERE FEASIBLE

KEY
DENOTES SPAN OF 140mm RC32/40 NORMAL WEIGHT REINFORCED CONCRETE SLAB ON 0.9mm GAUGE SMD 60 + (450 GRADE) DECKING. PROVIDE 1No. LAYER A193 REINFORCEMENT MESH TOP OF SLAB WITH 30mm COVER. ALLOW 5kg/m² ON PLAN OF LOOSE REINFORCEMENT TO COVER REQUIREMENTS AT SLAB EDGES ETC.
FINAL DESIGN AND DETAILING BY SUPPLIER TO ACCOMMODATE MAXIMUM IMPOSED CONSTRUCTION LOAD OF 1.5kN/m² AND 1 HOUR FIRE RESISTANCE. MAXIMUM ALLOWABLE POINT LOADING TO BE CONFIRMED BY SUPPLIER FOR CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES. ALLOW FOR 19DIA X 105mm L.A.W STUDS AT 300mm SPACING TO EVERY BEAM SUPPORTING THE SLAB.

SCHEDULE				
C01 -	254x254x89 UC			
C02 -	203x203x86 UC			
C03 -	203x203x46 UC			
C04 -	100x100x10 PFC			

P04	UPDATED PROPOSALS - STAGE 2	06.09.23	EM	AI
P03	UPDATED PROPOSALS - DRAFT SCHEME	11.07.23	EM	AI
P02	UPDATED ARCHITECTURAL PROPOSALS	14.06.23	EM	AI
P01	STAGE 2	31.03.23	EM	AI
Rev:	Description:	Date:	By:	Chkd:



HARRINGTON SQUARE CAMDEN

Drawing Title:
FIRST FLOOR PLAN

Status:
STAGE 2

Size:	Date:	Drawn by:	Designed by:	Checked by:
A1	MAR '23	EM	EM	AI
Scale:	1:100	Project No:	2202-03	

Project: Originator: Volume: Level: Type: Role: Category/Number: Rev:
HSC-REN-XX-01-DR-S-01101 P04

RIBA STAGE 2
THESE DRAWINGS ARE INTENDED TO COMMUNICATE THE CONCEPT DESIGN FOR THE STRUCTURAL FRAME FOR THE PROPOSED BUILDING AND ARE APPROPRIATE FOR RIBA STAGE 2 (CONCEPT DESIGN). ALL STRUCTURAL SIZES ARE INDICATIVE ONLY AND TO ASSIST WITH HIGH LEVEL COSTING BY THE PROJECT QUANTITY SURVEYOR AND CONTRACTOR. ALL STRUCTURAL SIZES AND REINFORCEMENT RATES ARE INDICATIVE AT THIS STAGE AND ARE SUBJECT TO CHANGE AS THE DESIGN DEVELOPS THROUGH RIBA STAGE 3 (SPATIAL CO-ORDINATION) AND STAGE 4 (TECHNICAL DESIGN).
A DESIGN CONTINGENCY OF 15-20% IS RECOMMENDED TO ALLOW FOR DESIGN DEVELOPMENT DURING RIBA STAGE 2, 3 AND STAGE 4 (TECHNICAL DESIGN) AND TO REFLECT INPUT FROM CONTRACTOR DESIGNED PACKAGES.



GENERAL NOTES:

1. REFER TO DRAWING HSC-REN-ZZ-XX-DR-S-00010 FOR GENERAL NOTES AND REINFORCEMENT ESTIMATES.

ROOF STRUCTURE DEFLECTION

THE COMPOSITE STEEL FRAME WILL DEFLECT UNDER ITS OWN SELF-WEIGHT, FINISHES AND PLANT LOADING. COMPOUND SLAB DEFLECTIONS AT MIDSPAN BETWEEN COLUMNS WILL BE UP TO SPAN/250. FOR THE ARRANGEMENT SHOWN MIDSPAN DEFLECTION COULD IN THE RANGE OF 25-30mm.

IF THE ARCHITECT, ROOFING CONTRACTOR, OR ROOFING SUPPLIER REQUIRE A 'FLAT' ROOF WITH NO NEGATIVE FALLS THEN THIS SHOULD BE FORMED WITHIN THE TAPERED ROOF INSULATION AND AGREED BETWEEN THE ABOVE PARTIES AND THE MAIN CONTRACTOR.

STEEL STAIRS

ALLOW FOR STEEL STAIRCASE'S TO ARCHITECTS DESIGN INTENT AND SPECIALIST SUPPLIERS DESIGN AND DETAIL, INCLUDING FIXINGS BACK TO PRIMARY FRAME

COLUMN SETTING OUT

ALL COLUMNS TO BE LOCATED CONCENTRICALLY ON GRIDLINES UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE

FAÇADE SUPPORT

FOR FAÇADE PRINCIPLES, INCLUDING MASONRY SUPPORT, SECONDARY STEELWORK ETC. REFER TO FAÇADE CONSULTANTS INFORMATION

CAST-IN CHANNELS, FIXINGS AND BRACKETRY

FOR ALL CAST-IN CHANNEL, FIXINGS AND BRACKETRY REQUIREMENTS, INCLUDING SPECIFICATION AND DETAILED SETTING OUT, REFER TO CLADDING CONTRACTORS, LIFT MANUFACTURES AND BALLUSTRADE DRAWINGS.

WHERE CAST-IN CHANNEL AND FIXINGS ARE INDICATED ON THE RENAISSANCE DRAWINGS, THESE ARE INDICATIVE AND FOR COORDINATION PURPOSES ONLY. REFERENCE SHOULD BE MADE TO THE RELEVANT CONTRACTOR DRAWINGS FOR DETAILED REQUIREMENTS.

FLOOR LEVEL - TOLERANCE & SURFACE REGULARITY

THE CONCRETE FRAME IS TO BE CONSTRUCTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE NATIONAL STRUCTURAL STEELWORK SPECIFICATION TOLERANCES. IN ADDITION FLOOR WILL DEFLECT AT MID-SPAN UP TO SPAN/250. WHERE FLOOR FINISHES REQUIRE AN ENHANCED SURFACE REGULARITY (I.E. SR1, SR2 OR SR3) ALLOW FOR SELF LEVELLING SCREED OR COMPOUND

SERVICE PENETRATIONS & RISER

ALL SERVICE OPENING TO THE BUILDING SERVICES CONSULTANTS REQUIREMENTS. REFER TO THE ARCHITECTS DRAWINGS FOR DETAILED SETTING OUT OF SLAB EDGE AND SERVICE OPENINGS.

ALL SVP & RWP ARE TO BE SET OUT BY THE BUILDING SERVICES CONSULTANT AND ARCHITECT. CONTRACTOR TO ALLOW FOR CASTING FIRE COLLARS TO ALL SVP & RWP PENETRATIONS AND ALL OTHER SERVICE OPENINGS WHERE FEASIBLE

KEY

← DENOTES SPAN OF 140mm RC32/40 NORMAL WEIGHT REINFORCED CONCRETE SLAB ON 0.9mm GAUGE SMD 60 + (450 GRADE) DECKING. PROVIDE 1No. LAYER A193 REINFORCEMENT MESH TOP OF SLAB WITH 30mm COVER. ALLOW 5kg/m² ON PLAN OF LOOSE REINFORCEMENT TO COVER REQUIREMENTS AT SLAB EDGES ETC.

FINAL DESIGN AND DETAILING BY SUPPLIER TO ACCOMMODATE MAXIMUM IMPOSED CONSTRUCTION LOAD OF 1.5kN/m² AND 1 HOUR FIRE RESISTANCE. MAXIMUM ALLOWABLE POINT LOADING TO BE CONFIRMED BY SUPPLIER FOR CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES. ALLOW FOR 19DIA X 105mm L.W STUDS AT 300mm SPACING TO EVERY BEAM SUPPORTING THE SLAB.

SCHEDULE

C01 - 254x254x89 UC
C02 - 203x203x86 UC
C03 - 203x203x46 UC
C04 - 100x100x10 PFC

P04	UPDATED PROPOSALS - STAGE 2	06.09.23	EM	AI
P03	UPDATED PROPOSALS - DRAFT SCHEME	11.07.23	EM	AI
P02	UPDATED ARCHITECTURAL PROPOSALS	14.06.23	EM	AI
P01	STAGE 2	31.03.23	EM	AI
Rev:	Description:	Date:	By:	Chkd:



Project:

HARRINGTON SQUARE CAMDEN

Drawing Title:

SECOND FLOOR PLAN

Status:

STAGE 2

Size:	Date:	Drawn by:	Designed by:	Checked by:
A1	MAR '23	EM	EM	AI
Scale:	1:100	Project No:	2202-03	


Project:	Originator:	Volume:	Level:	Type:	Role:	Category/Number:	Rev:
----------	-------------	---------	--------	-------	-------	------------------	------

HSC-REN-XX-02-DR-S-01102 P04

RIBA STAGE 2

THESE DRAWINGS ARE INTENDED TO COMMUNICATE THE CONCEPT DESIGN FOR THE STRUCTURAL FRAME FOR THE PROPOSED BUILDING AND ARE APPROPRIATE FOR RIBA STAGE 2 (CONCEPT DESIGN). ALL STRUCTURAL SIZES ARE INDICATIVE ONLY AND TO ASSIST WITH HIGH LEVEL COSTING BY THE PROJECT QUANTITY SURVEYOR AND CONTRACTOR. ALL STRUCTURAL SIZES AND REINFORCEMENT RATES ARE INDICATIVE AT THIS STAGE AND ARE SUBJECT TO CHANGE AS THE DESIGN DEVELOPS THROUGH RIBA STAGE 3 (SPATIAL CO-ORDINATION) AND STAGE 4 (TECHNICAL DESIGN).

A DESIGN CONTINGENCY OF 15-20% IS RECOMMENDED TO ALLOW FOR DESIGN DEVELOPMENT DURING RIBA STAGE 2, 3 AND STAGE 4 (TECHNICAL DESIGN) AND TO REFLECT INPUT FROM CONTRACTOR DESIGNED PACKAGES.



GENERAL NOTES:

1. REFER TO DRAWING HSC-REN-ZZ-XX-DR-S-00010 FOR GENERAL NOTES AND REINFORCEMENT ESTIMATES.

ROOF STRUCTURE DEFLECTION

THE COMPOSITE STEEL FRAME WILL DEFLECT UNDER ITS OWN SELF-WEIGHT, FINISHES AND PLANT LOADING. COMPOUND SLAB DEFLECTIONS AT MIDSPAN BETWEEN COLUMNS WILL BE UP TO SPAN/250. FOR THE ARRANGEMENT SHOWN MIDSPAN DEFLECTION COULD IN THE RANGE OF 25-30mm.

IF THE ARCHITECT, ROOFING CONTRACTOR, OR ROOFING SUPPLIER REQUIRE A 'FLAT' ROOF WITH NO NEGATIVE FALLS THEN THIS SHOULD BE FORMED WITHIN THE TAPERED ROOF INSULATION AND AGREED BETWEEN THE ABOVE PARTIES AND THE MAIN CONTRACTOR.

STEEL STAIRS

ALLOW FOR STEEL STAIRCASE'S TO ARCHITECTS DESIGN INTENT AND SPECIALIST SUPPLIERS DESIGN AND DETAIL, INCLUDING FIXINGS BACK TO PRIMARY FRAME

COLUMN SETTING OUT

ALL COLUMNS TO BE LOCATED CONCENTRICALLY ON GRIDLINES UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE

FAÇADE SUPPORT

FOR FAÇADE PRINCIPLES, INCLUDING MASONRY SUPPORT, SECONDARY STEELWORK ETC. REFER TO FAÇADE CONSULTANTS INFORMATION

CAST-IN CHANNELS, FIXINGS AND BRACKETRY

FOR ALL CAST-IN CHANNEL, FIXINGS AND BRACKETRY REQUIREMENTS, INCLUDING SPECIFICATION AND DETAILED SETTING OUT, REFER TO CLADDING CONTRACTORS, LIFT MANUFACTURES AND BALLUSTRADE DRAWINGS.

WHERE CAST-IN CHANNEL AND FIXINGS ARE INDICATED ON THE RENAISSANCE DRAWINGS, THESE ARE INDICATIVE AND FOR COORDINATION PURPOSES ONLY. REFERENCE SHOULD BE MADE TO THE RELEVANT CONTRACTOR DRAWINGS FOR DETAILED REQUIREMENTS.

FLOOR LEVEL - TOLERANCE & SURFACE REGULARITY

THE CONCRETE FRAME IS TO BE CONSTRUCTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE NATIONAL STRUCTURAL STEELWORK SPECIFICATION TOLERANCES. IN ADDITION FLOOR WILL DEFLECT AT MID-SPAN UP TO SPAN/250. WHERE FLOOR FINISHES REQUIRE AN ENHANCED SURFACE REGULARITY (I.E. SR1, SR2 OR SR3) ALLOW FOR SELF LEVELLING SCREED OR COMPOUND

SERVICE PENETRATIONS & RISER

ALL SERVICE OPENING TO THE BUILDING SERVICES CONSULTANTS REQUIREMENTS. REFER TO THE ARCHITECTS DRAWINGS FOR DETAILED SETTING OUT OF SLAB EDGE AND SERVICE OPENINGS.

ALL SVP & RWP ARE TO BE SET OUT BY THE BUILDING SERVICES CONSULTANT AND ARCHITECT. CONTRACTOR TO ALLOW FOR CASTING FIRE COLLARS TO ALL SVP & RWP PENETRATIONS AND ALL OTHER SERVICE OPENINGS WHERE FEASIBLE

KEY

↖ DENOTES SPAN OF 140mm RC32/40 NORMAL WEIGHT REINFORCED CONCRETE SLAB ON 0.9mm GAUGE SMD 60 + (450 GRADE) DECKING. PROVIDE 1No. LAYER A193 REINFORCEMENT MESH TOP OF SLAB WITH 30mm COVER. ALLOW 5kg/m² ON PLAN OF LOOSE REINFORCEMENT TO COVER REQUIREMENTS AT SLAB EDGES ETC.

FINAL DESIGN AND DETAILING BY SUPPLIER TO ACCOMMODATE MAXIMUM IMPOSED CONSTRUCTION LOAD OF 1.5kN/m² AND 1 HOUR FIRE RESISTANCE. MAXIMUM ALLOWABLE POINT LOADING TO BE CONFIRMED BY SUPPLIER FOR CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES. ALLOW FOR 19DIA X 105mm L.W STUDS AT 300mm SPACING TO EVERY BEAM SUPPORTING THE SLAB.

SCHEDULE

C01 -	254x254x89 UC
C02 -	203x203x86 UC
C03 -	203x203x46 UC
C04 -	100x100x10 PFC

P04	UPDATED PROPOSALS - STAGE 2	06.09.23	EM	AI
P03	UPDATED PROPOSALS - DRAFT SCHEME	11.07.23	EM	AI
P02	UPDATED ARCHITECTURAL PROPOSALS	14.06.23	EM	AI
P01	STAGE 2	31.03.23	EM	AI
Rev:	Description:	Date:	By:	Chkd:



renaissance

Renaissance Associates Ltd
Carvers Warehouse
77 Dale Street
Manchester
M1 2HG

Project:

HARRINGTON SQUARE CAMDEN

Drawing Title:

THIRD FLOOR PLAN

Status:

STAGE 2

Size:	Date:	Drawn by:	Designed by:	Checked by:
A1	MAR '23	EM	EM	AI

Scale:	1:100	Project No:	2202-03
--------	-------	-------------	---------

Project:	Originator:	Volume:	Level:	Type:	Role:	Category/Number:	Rev:
----------	-------------	---------	--------	-------	-------	------------------	------

HSC-REN-XX-03-DR-S-01103 P04

RIBA STAGE 2

THESE DRAWINGS ARE INTENDED TO COMMUNICATE THE CONCEPT DESIGN FOR THE STRUCTURAL FRAME FOR THE PROPOSED BUILDING AND ARE APPROPRIATE FOR RIBA STAGE 2 (CONCEPT DESIGN). ALL STRUCTURAL SIZES ARE INDICATIVE ONLY AND TO ASSIST WITH HIGH LEVEL COSTING BY THE PROJECT QUANTITY SURVEYOR AND CONTRACTOR. ALL STRUCTURAL SIZES AND REINFORCEMENT RATES ARE INDICATIVE AT THIS STAGE AND ARE SUBJECT TO CHANGE AS THE DESIGN DEVELOPS THROUGH RIBA STAGE 3 (SPATIAL CO-ORDINATION) AND STAGE 4 (TECHNICAL DESIGN).

A DESIGN CONTINGENCY OF 15-20% IS RECOMMENDED TO ALLOW FOR DESIGN DEVELOPMENT DURING RIBA STAGE 2, 3 AND STAGE 4 (TECHNICAL DESIGN) AND TO REFLECT INPUT FROM CONTRACTOR DESIGNED PACKAGES.

GENERAL NOTES:

1. REFER TO DRAWING HSC-REN-ZZ-XX-DR-S-00010 FOR GENERAL NOTES AND REINFORCEMENT ESTIMATES.

ROOF STRUCTURE DEFLECTION

THE COMPOSITE STEEL FRAME WILL DEFLECT UNDER ITS OWN SELF-WEIGHT, FINISHES AND PLANT LOADING. COMPOUND SLAB DEFLECTIONS AT MIDSPAN BETWEEN COLUMNS WILL BE UP TO SPAN/250. FOR THE ARRANGEMENT SHOWN MIDSPAN DEFLECTION COULD IN THE RANGE OF 25-30mm.

IF THE ARCHITECT, ROOFING CONTRACTOR, OR ROOFING SUPPLIER REQUIRE A 'FLAT' ROOF WITH NO NEGATIVE FALLS THEN THIS SHOULD BE FORMED WITHIN THE TAPERED ROOF INSULATION AND AGREED BETWEEN THE ABOVE PARTIES AND THE MAIN CONTRACTOR.

STEEL STAIRS

ALLOW FOR STEEL STAIRCASE'S TO ARCHITECT'S DESIGN INTENT AND SPECIALIST SUPPLIERS DESIGN AND DETAIL, INCLUDING FIXINGS BACK TO PRIMARY FRAME

COLUMN SETTING OUT

ALL COLUMNS TO BE LOCATED CONCENTRICALLY ON GRIDLINES UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE

FAÇADE SUPPORT

FOR FAÇADE PRINCIPLES, INCLUDING MASONRY SUPPORT, SECONDARY STEELWORK ETC. REFER TO FAÇADE CONSULTANTS INFORMATION

CAST-IN CHANNELS, FIXINGS AND BRACKETRY

FOR ALL CAST-IN CHANNEL, FIXINGS AND BRACKETRY REQUIREMENTS, INCLUDING SPECIFICATION AND DETAILED SETTING OUT, REFER TO CLADDING CONTRACTORS, LIFT MANUFACTURERS AND BALUSTRADE DRAWINGS.

WHERE CAST-IN CHANNEL AND FIXINGS ARE INDICATED ON THE RENAISSANCE DRAWINGS, THESE ARE INDICATIVE AND FOR COORDINATION PURPOSES ONLY. REFERENCE SHOULD BE MADE TO THE RELEVANT CONTRACTOR DRAWINGS FOR DETAILED REQUIREMENTS.

FLOOR LEVEL - TOLERANCE & SURFACE REGULARITY

THE CONCRETE FRAME IS TO BE CONSTRUCTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE NATIONAL STRUCTURAL STEELWORK SPECIFICATION TOLERANCES. IN ADDITION FLOOR WILL DEFLECT AT MID-SPAN UP TO SPAN/250. WHERE FLOOR FINISHES REQUIRE AN ENHANCED SURFACE REGULARITY (I.E. SR1, SR2 OR SR3) ALLOW FOR SELF LEVELLING SCREED OR COMPOUND

SERVICE PENETRATIONS & RISER

ALL SERVICE OPENING TO THE BUILDING SERVICES CONSULTANTS REQUIREMENTS. REFER TO THE ARCHITECTS DRAWINGS FOR DETAILED SETTING OUT OF SLAB EDGE AND SERVICE OPENINGS.

ALL SVP & RWP ARE TO BE SET OUT BY THE BUILDING SERVICES CONSULTANT AND ARCHITECT. CONTRACTOR TO ALLOW FOR CASTING FIRE COLLARS TO ALL SVP & RWP PENETRATIONS AND ALL OTHER SERVICE OPENINGS WHERE FEASIBLE

KEY

↖ DENOTES SPAN OF 140mm RC32/40 NORMAL WEIGHT REINFORCED CONCRETE SLAB ON 0.9mm GAUGE SMD 60 + (450 GRADE) DECKING. PROVIDE 1No. LAYER A193 REINFORCEMENT MESH TOP OF SLAB WITH 30mm COVER. ALLOW 5kg/m² ON PLAN OF LOOSE REINFORCEMENT TO COVER REQUIREMENTS AT SLAB EDGES ETC.

FINAL DESIGN AND DETAILING BY SUPPLIER TO ACCOMMODATE MAXIMUM IMPOSED CONSTRUCTION LOAD OF 1.5kN/m² AND 1 HOUR FIRE RESISTANCE. MAXIMUM ALLOWABLE POINT LOADING TO BE CONFIRMED BY SUPPLIER FOR CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES. ALLOW FOR 190IA X 105mm L.A.W STUDS AT 300mm SPACING TO EVERY BEAM SUPPORTING THE SLAB.

SCHEDULE

C01 - 254x254x89 UC
C02 - 203x203x86 UC
C03 - 203x203x46 UC
C04 - 100x100x10 PFC

P03	UPDATED PROPOSALS - STAGE 2	06.09.23	EM	AI
P02	UPDATED ARCHITECTURAL PROPOSALS	14.06.23	EM	AI
P01	STAGE 2	31.03.23	EM	AI
Rev:	Description:	Date:	By:	Chkd:



Renaissance Associates Ltd
Carvers Warehouse
77 Dale Street
Manchester
M1 2HG

Project:

HARRINGTON SQUARE
CAMDEN

Drawing Title:

FOURTH FLOOR PLAN

Status:

STAGE 2

Size:	Date:	Drawn by:	Designed by:	Checked by:
A1	MAR '23	EM	EM	AI


Scale: 1:100		Project No: 2202-03					
Project:	Originator:	Volume:	Level:	Type:	Role:	Category/Number:	Rev:

HSC-REN-XX-04-DR-S-01104 P03

RIBA STAGE 2

THESE DRAWINGS ARE INTENDED TO COMMUNICATE THE CONCEPT DESIGN FOR THE STRUCTURAL FRAME FOR THE PROPOSED BUILDING AND ARE APPROPRIATE FOR RIBA STAGE 2 (CONCEPT DESIGN). ALL STRUCTURAL SIZES ARE INDICATIVE ONLY AND TO ASSIST WITH HIGH LEVEL COSTING BY THE PROJECT QUANTITY SURVEYOR AND CONTRACTOR. ALL STRUCTURAL SIZES AND REINFORCEMENT RATES ARE INDICATIVE AT THIS STAGE AND ARE SUBJECT TO CHANGE AS THE DESIGN DEVELOPS THROUGH RIBA STAGE 3 (SPATIAL CO-ORDINATION) AND STAGE 4 (TECHNICAL DESIGN).

A DESIGN CONTINGENCY OF 15-20% IS RECOMMENDED TO ALLOW FOR DESIGN DEVELOPMENT DURING RIBA STAGE 2, 3 AND STAGE 4 (TECHNICAL DESIGN) AND TO REFLECT INPUT FROM CONTRACTOR DESIGNED PACKAGES.



ALLOWANCE SHOULD BE MADE FOR THERMALLY BROKEN CONNECTIONS AT TERRACE LOCATIONS - DETAIL TO BE DEVELOPED BASED ON ARCHITECTS THERMAL LINE PRINCIPLES.


ALLOWANCE AT THIS STAGE SHOULD BE MADE FOR END PLATED STEEL / STEEL CONNECTIONS.

CLADDING SUPPORT STRATEGY TO BE DEVELOPED WITH ARCHITECT. AT THIS STAGE ALLOW FOR MASONRY SUPPORT AT EACH FLOOR LEVEL


*ALLOW FOR FULL DEPTH 90x90 RSA ANGLES WELDED TO EDGE BEAM AT CIRCA 400 CTRS

FOR COSTING PURPOSES ASSUME MASONRY SUPPORTED FROM THERMALLY BROKEN ANCON OPTIMA 12 STAINLESS STEEL MASONRY SUPPORT BASED ON 100mm MAX CAVITY WIDTH - EXACT ARRANGEMENT TO BE DEVELOPED.

ALLOW FOR THERMAL BREAKS AT ALL PARAPET TRIMMING POST CONNECTIONS WITH STANCHIONS.



INDICATIVE LEVEL 04 TERRACE STEP DETAIL
1:10



GENERAL NOTES:

1. REFER TO DRAWING HSC-REN-ZZ-XX-DR-S-00010 FOR GENERAL NOTES AND REINFORCEMENT ESTIMATES.

ROOF STRUCTURE DEFLECTION

THE COMPOSITE STEEL FRAME WILL DEFLECT UNDER ITS OWN SELF-WEIGHT, FINISHES AND PLANT LOADING. COMPOUND SLAB DEFLECTIONS AT MIDSPAN BETWEEN COLUMNS WILL BE UP TO SPAN/250. FOR THE ARRANGEMENT SHOWN MIDSPAN DEFLECTION COULD IN THE RANGE OF 25-30mm.

IF THE ARCHITECT, ROOFING CONTRACTOR, OR ROOFING SUPPLIER REQUIRE A 'FLAT' ROOF WITH NO NEGATIVE FALLS THEN THIS SHOULD BE FORMED WITHIN THE TAPERED ROOF INSULATION AND AGREED BETWEEN THE ABOVE PARTIES AND THE MAIN CONTRACTOR.

STEEL STAIRS

ALLOW FOR STEEL STAIRCASE'S TO ARCHITECT'S DESIGN INTENT AND SPECIALIST SUPPLIERS DESIGN AND DETAIL, INCLUDING FIXINGS BACK TO PRIMARY FRAME

COLUMN SETTING OUT

ALL COLUMNS TO BE LOCATED CONCENTRICALLY ON GRIDLINES UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE

FAÇADE SUPPORT

FOR FAÇADE PRINCIPLES, INCLUDING MASONRY SUPPORT, SECONDARY STEELWORK ETC. REFER TO FAÇADE CONSULTANTS INFORMATION

CAST-IN CHANNELS, FIXINGS AND BRACKETRY

FOR ALL CAST-IN CHANNEL, FIXINGS AND BRACKETRY REQUIREMENTS, INCLUDING SPECIFICATION AND DETAILED SETTING OUT, REFER TO CLADDING CONTRACTORS, LIFT MANUFACTURES AND BALUSTRADE DRAWINGS.

WHERE CAST-IN CHANNEL AND FIXINGS ARE INDICATED ON THE RENAISSANCE DRAWINGS, THESE ARE INDICATIVE AND FOR COORDINATION PURPOSES ONLY. REFERENCE SHOULD BE MADE TO THE RELEVANT CONTRACTOR DRAWINGS FOR DETAILED REQUIREMENTS.

FLOOR LEVEL - TOLERANCE & SURFACE REGULARITY

THE CONCRETE FRAME IS TO BE CONSTRUCTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE NATIONAL STRUCTURAL STEELWORK SPECIFICATION TOLERANCES. IN ADDITION FLOOR WILL DEFLECT AT MID-SPAN UP TO SPAN/250. WHERE FLOOR FINISHES REQUIRE AN ENHANCED SURFACE REGULARITY (I.E. SR1, SR2 OR SR3) ALLOW FOR SELF LEVELLING SCREED OR COMPOUND

SERVICE PENETRATIONS & RISER

ALL SERVICE OPENING TO THE BUILDING SERVICES CONSULTANTS REQUIREMENTS. REFER TO THE ARCHITECTS DRAWINGS FOR DETAILED SETTING OUT OF SLAB EDGE AND SERVICE OPENINGS.

ALL SVP & RWP ARE TO BE SET OUT BY THE BUILDING SERVICES CONSULTANT AND ARCHITECT. CONTRACTOR TO ALLOW FOR CASTING FIRE COLLARS TO ALL SVP & RWP PENETRATIONS AND ALL OTHER SERVICE OPENINGS WHERE FEASIBLE

KEY

← DENOTES SPAN OF 140mm RC32/40 NORMAL WEIGHT REINFORCED CONCRETE SLAB ON 0.9mm GAUGE SMD 60 + (450 GRADE) DECKING. PROVIDE 1no. LAYER A193 REINFORCEMENT MESH TOP OF SLAB WITH 30mm COVER. ALLOW 5kg/m² ON PLAN OF LOOSE REINFORCEMENT TO COVER REQUIREMENTS AT SLAB EDGES ETC.

FINAL DESIGN AND DETAILING BY SUPPLIER TO ACCOMMODATE MAXIMUM IMPOSED CONSTRUCTION LOAD OF 1.5kN/m² AND 1 HOUR FIRE RESISTANCE. MAXIMUM ALLOWABLE POINT LOADING TO BE CONFIRMED BY SUPPLIER FOR CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES. ALLOW FOR 19DIA X 105mm L.A.W STUDS AT 300mm SPACING TO EVERY BEAM SUPPORTING THE SLAB.

SCHEDULE

C01 -	254x254x89 UC
C02 -	203x203x86 UC
C03 -	203x203x46 UC
C04 -	100x100x10 PFC

P03	UPDATED PROPOSALS - STAGE 2	06.09.23	EM	AI
P02	UPDATED ARCHITECTURAL PROPOSALS	14.06.23	EM	AI
P01	STAGE 2	31.03.23	EM	AI
Rev:	Description:	Date:	By:	Chkd:



renaissance

Renaissance Associates Ltd
Carvers Warehouse
77 Dale Street
Manchester
M1 2HG

Project:

HARRINGTON SQUARE CAMDEN

Drawing Title:

ROOF PLAN

Status:

STAGE 2


Size:	Date:	Drawn by:	Designed by:	Checked by:
A1	MAR '23	EM	EM	AI
Scale:	1:100	Project No:	2202-03	

Project:	Originator:	Volume:	Level:	Type:	Role:	Category/Number:	Rev:
HSC-REN-XX-05-DR-S-01105 P03							

RIBA STAGE 2

THESE DRAWINGS ARE INTENDED TO COMMUNICATE THE CONCEPT DESIGN FOR THE STRUCTURAL FRAME FOR THE PROPOSED BUILDING AND ARE APPROPRIATE FOR RIBA STAGE 2 (CONCEPT DESIGN). ALL STRUCTURAL SIZES ARE INDICATIVE ONLY AND TO ASSIST WITH HIGH LEVEL COSTING BY THE PROJECT QUANTITY SURVEYOR AND CONTRACTOR. ALL STRUCTURAL SIZES AND REINFORCEMENT RATES ARE INDICATIVE AT THIS STAGE AND ARE SUBJECT TO CHANGE AS THE DESIGN DEVELOPS THROUGH RIBA STAGE 3 (SPATIAL CO-ORDINATION) AND STAGE 4 (TECHNICAL DESIGN).

A DESIGN CONTINGENCY OF 15-20% IS RECOMMENDED TO ALLOW FOR DESIGN DEVELOPMENT DURING RIBA STAGE 2, 3 AND STAGE 4 (TECHNICAL DESIGN) AND TO REFLECT INPUT FROM CONTRACTOR DESIGNED PACKAGES.



GENERAL NOTES:

1. REFER TO DRAWING HSC-REN-ZZ-XX-DR-S-00010 FOR GENERAL NOTES AND REINFORCEMENT ESTIMATES.

ROOF STRUCTURE DEFLECTION

THE COMPOSITE STEEL FRAME WILL DEFLECT UNDER ITS OWN SELF-WEIGHT, FINISHES AND PLANT LOADING. COMPOUND SLAB DEFLECTIONS AT MIDSPAN BETWEEN COLUMNS WILL BE UP TO SPAN/250. FOR THE ARRANGEMENT SHOWN MIDSPAN DEFLECTION COULD IN THE RANGE OF 25-30mm.

IF THE ARCHITECT, ROOFING CONTRACTOR, OR ROOFING SUPPLIER REQUIRE A 'FLAT' ROOF WITH NO NEGATIVE FALLS THEN THIS SHOULD BE FORMED WITHIN THE TAPERED ROOF INSULATION AND AGREED BETWEEN THE ABOVE PARTIES AND THE MAIN CONTRACTOR.

STEEL STAIRS

ALLOW FOR STEEL STAIRCASE'S TO ARCHITECT'S DESIGN INTENT AND SPECIALIST SUPPLIERS DESIGN AND DETAIL, INCLUDING FIXINGS BACK TO PRIMARY FRAME

COLUMN SETTING OUT

ALL COLUMNS TO BE LOCATED CONCENTRICALLY ON GRIDLINES UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE

FAÇADE SUPPORT

FOR FAÇADE PRINCIPLES, INCLUDING MASONRY SUPPORT, SECONDARY STEELWORK ETC. REFER TO FAÇADE CONSULTANTS INFORMATION

CAST-IN CHANNELS, FIXINGS AND BRACKETRY

FOR ALL CAST-IN CHANNEL, FIXINGS AND BRACKETRY REQUIREMENTS, INCLUDING SPECIFICATION AND DETAILED SETTING OUT, REFER TO GLAZING CONTRACTORS, LIFT MANUFACTURES AND BALLUSTRADE DRAWINGS.

WHERE CAST-IN CHANNEL AND FIXINGS ARE INDICATED ON THE RENAISSANCE DRAWINGS, THESE ARE INDICATIVE AND FOR COORDINATION PURPOSES ONLY. REFERENCE SHOULD BE MADE TO THE RELEVANT CONTRACTOR DRAWINGS FOR DETAILED REQUIREMENTS.

FLOOR LEVEL - TOLERANCE & SURFACE REGULARITY

THE CONCRETE FRAME IS TO BE CONSTRUCTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE NATIONAL STRUCTURAL STEELWORK SPECIFICATION TOLERANCES. IN ADDITION FLOOR WILL DEFLECT AT MID-SPAN UP TO SPAN/250. WHERE FLOOR FINISHES REQUIRE AN ENHANCED SURFACE REGULARITY (I.E. SR1, SR2 OR SR3) ALLOW FOR SELF LEVELLING SCREED OR COMPOUND

SERVICE PENETRATIONS & RISER

ALL SERVICE OPENING TO THE BUILDING SERVICES CONSULTANTS REQUIREMENTS. REFER TO THE ARCHITECTS DRAWINGS FOR DETAILED SETTING OUT OF SLAB EDGE AND SERVICE OPENINGS.

ALL SVP & RWP ARE TO BE SET OUT BY THE BUILDING SERVICES CONSULTANT AND ARCHITECT. CONTRACTOR TO ALLOW FOR CASTING FIRE COLLARS TO ALL SVP & RWP PENETRATIONS AND ALL OTHER SERVICE OPENINGS WHERE FEASIBLE

KEY

← DENOTES SPAN OF 140mm RC32/40 NORMAL WEIGHT REINFORCED CONCRETE SLAB ON 0.9mm GAUGE SMD 60 + (450 GRADE) DECKING. PROVIDE 1No. LAYER A193 REINFORCEMENT MESH TOP OF SLAB WITH 30mm COVER. ALLOW 5kg/m² ON PLAN OF LOOSE REINFORCEMENT TO COVER REQUIREMENTS AT SLAB EDGES ETC.

FINAL DESIGN AND DETAILING BY SUPPLIER TO ACCOMMODATE MAXIMUM IMPOSED CONSTRUCTION LOAD OF 1.5kN/m² AND 1 HOUR FIRE RESISTANCE. MAXIMUM ALLOWABLE POINT LOADING TO BE CONFIRMED BY SUPPLIER FOR CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES. ALLOW FOR 19DIA X 105mm L.W STUDS AT 300mm SPACING TO EVERY BEAM SUPPORTING THE SLAB.

SCHEDULE				
C01 -	254x254x89 UC			
C02 -	203x203x86 UC			
C03 -	203x203x46 UC			
C04 -	100x100x10 PFC			

P03	UPDATED PROPOSALS - STAGE 2	06.09.23	EM	AI
P02	UPDATED ARCHITECTURAL PROPOSALS	14.06.23	EM	AI
P01	STAGE 2	31.03.23	EM	AI
Rev:	Description:	Date:	By:	Chkd:



Project:

HARRINGTON SQUARE CAMDEN

Drawing Title:

PARAPET PLAN

Status:

STAGE 2

Size:	Date:	Drawn by:	Designed by:	Checked by:
A1	MAR '23	EM	EM	AI

Scale:	Project No:
1:100	2202-03


Project:	Originator:	Volume:	Level:	Type:	Role:	Category/Number:	Rev:
----------	-------------	---------	--------	-------	-------	------------------	------

HSC-REN-XX-06-DR-S-01106 P03

RIBA STAGE 2

THESE DRAWINGS ARE INTENDED TO COMMUNICATE THE CONCEPT DESIGN FOR THE STRUCTURAL FRAME FOR THE PROPOSED BUILDING AND ARE APPROPRIATE FOR RIBA STAGE 2 (CONCEPT DESIGN). ALL STRUCTURAL SIZES ARE INDICATIVE ONLY AND TO ASSIST WITH HIGH LEVEL COSTING BY THE PROJECT QUANTITY SURVEYOR AND CONTRACTOR. ALL STRUCTURAL SIZES AND REINFORCEMENT RATES ARE INDICATIVE AT THIS STAGE AND ARE SUBJECT TO CHANGE AS THE DESIGN DEVELOPS THROUGH RIBA STAGE 3 (SPATIAL CO-ORDINATION) AND STAGE 4 (TECHNICAL DESIGN).

A DESIGN CONTINGENCY OF 15-20% IS RECOMMENDED TO ALLOW FOR DESIGN DEVELOPMENT DURING RIBA STAGE 2, 3 AND STAGE 4 (TECHNICAL DESIGN) AND TO REFLECT INPUT FROM CONTRACTOR DESIGNED PACKAGES.





GENERAL NOTES:

1. REFER TO DRAWING HSC-REN-ZZ-XX-DR-S-00010 AND 00011 FOR GENERAL NOTES AND REINFORCEMENT ESTIMATES.

BASEMENT TANKING

ALLOWANCE SHOULD BE MADE FOR TYPE A TANKING MEMBRANE PROTECTION TO ATTAIN AT CAT 1 ENVIRONMENT TO BS8102 - DETAIL TO BE DEVELOPED BY SPECIALIST.



RIBA STAGE 2

THESE DRAWINGS ARE INTENDED TO COMMUNICATE THE CONCEPT DESIGN FOR THE STRUCTURAL FRAME FOR THE PROPOSED BUILDING AND ARE APPROPRIATE FOR RIBA STAGE 2 (CONCEPT DESIGN). ALL STRUCTURAL SIZES ARE INDICATIVE ONLY AND TO ASSIST WITH HIGH LEVEL COSTING BY THE PROJECT QUANTITY SURVEYOR AND CONTRACTOR. ALL STRUCTURAL SIZES AND REINFORCEMENT RATES ARE INDICATIVE AT THIS STAGE AND ARE SUBJECT TO CHANGE AS THE DESIGN DEVELOPS THROUGH RIBA STAGE 3 (SPATIAL CO-ORDINATION) AND STAGE 4 (TECHNICAL DESIGN).

A DESIGN CONTINGENCY OF 15-20% IS RECOMMENDED TO ALLOW FOR DESIGN DEVELOPMENT DURING RIBA STAGE 2, 3 AND STAGE 4 (TECHNICAL DESIGN) AND TO REFLECT INPUT FROM CONTRACTOR DESIGNED PACKAGES.

P02	STAGE 2 - UPDATED PROPOSALS	06.09.23	EM	AI
P01	STAGE 2	24.03.23	EM	AI
Rev:	Description:	Date:	By:	Chkd:



Project:

HARRINGTON SQUARE CAMDEN

Drawing Title:

SECTION A-A


Status:

STAGE 2

Size:	A1	Date:	MAR '23	Drawn by:	EM	Designed by:	EM	Checked by:	AI
-------	----	-------	---------	-----------	----	--------------	----	-------------	----

Scale: 1:50		Project No: 2202-03	
Project:	Originator:	Volume:	Level: Type: Role: Category/Number: Rev:

HSC-REN-XX-ZZ-SK-S-02100-P02





GENERAL NOTES:

1. REFER TO DRAWING HSC-REN-ZZ-XX-DR-S-00010 AND 00011 FOR GENERAL NOTES AND REINFORCEMENT ESTIMATES.

BASEMENT TANKING

ALLOWANCE SHOULD BE MADE FOR TYPE A TANKING MEMBRANE PROTECTION TO ATTAIN AT CAT 1 ENVIRONMENT TO BS8102 - DETAIL TO BE DEVELOPED BY SPECIALIST.



P02	STAGE 2 - UPDATED PROPOSALS	06.09.23	EM	AI
P01	STAGE 2	31.03.23	EM	AI
Rev:	Description:	Date:	By:	Chkd:



Project:

**HARRINGTON SQUARE
CAMDEN**

Drawing Title:

SECTION B-B

Status:

STAGE 2

Size:	Date:	Drawn by:	Designed by:	Checked by:
A1	MAR '23	EM	EM	AI

Scale:	1:50	Project No:	2202-03
--------	------	-------------	---------

Project:	Originator:	Volume:	Level:	Type:	Role:	Category/Number:	Rev:
----------	-------------	---------	--------	-------	-------	------------------	------

HSC-REN-XX-ZZ-SK-S-02101-P02

RIBA STAGE 2

THESE DRAWINGS ARE INTENDED TO COMMUNICATE THE CONCEPT DESIGN FOR THE STRUCTURAL FRAME FOR THE PROPOSED BUILDING AND ARE APPROPRIATE FOR RIBA STAGE 2 (CONCEPT DESIGN). ALL STRUCTURAL SIZES ARE INDICATIVE ONLY AND TO ASSIST WITH HIGH LEVEL COSTING BY THE PROJECT QUANTITY SURVEYOR AND CONTRACTOR. ALL STRUCTURAL SIZES AND REINFORCEMENT RATES ARE INDICATIVE AT THIS STAGE AND ARE SUBJECT TO CHANGE AS THE DESIGN DEVELOPS THROUGH RIBA STAGE 3 (SPATIAL CO-ORDINATION) AND STAGE 4 (TECHNICAL DESIGN).

A DESIGN CONTINGENCY OF 15-20% IS RECOMMENDED TO ALLOW FOR DESIGN DEVELOPMENT DURING RIBA STAGE 2, 3 AND STAGE 4 (TECHNICAL DESIGN) AND TO REFLECT INPUT FROM CONTRACTOR DESIGNED PACKAGES.

Appendix B: Ground Movement and Damage Impact Assessment




A-squared Studio

Harrington Square

Ground Movement Assessment (Neighbouring Buildings & Highway)

January 2024

2874-A2S-XX-XX-RP-Y-0002-03





Project Name	Harrington Square
Project Number	2874
Client	Salboy (Mornington Crescent) Limited
Document Name	Ground Movement Assessment (Neighbouring Buildings & Highway)

This document has been prepared for the sole benefit, use and information of Salboy (Mornington Crescent) Limited for the purposes set out in the document or instructions commissioning it. The liability of A-squared Studio Engineers Ltd in respect of the information contained in this document is as per the A-squared Terms & Conditions and will not extend to any third party. All concepts and proposals are copyright © January 2024. Issued in commercial confidence.

A-squared Studio Engineers Ltd

One Westminster Bridge Rd
London, SE1 7XW

020 7620 2868
contact@a2-studio.com
www.a2-studio.com

Prepared by

Nadia Hujair
BSc MSc DIC

Engineer

Checked by

James Woodcock
MEng(Hons) MSc DIC CEng MICE

Principal Engineer

Approved by

Angelo Fasano
Dott Ing CEng MICE

Director

Document Reference	Status	Notes	Revision	Issued by	Date
2874-A2S-XX-XX-RP-Y-0002-00	First Issue	-	00	NH	01.09.23
2874-A2S-XX-XX-RP-Y-0002-01	Second Issue	Minor amendments	01	NH	04.09.23
2874-A2S-XX-XX-RP-Y-0002-02	Third Issue	Revised foundation level	02	NH	11.09.23
2874-A2S-XX-XX-RP-Y-0002-03	Fourth Issue	Revised based on Camden comments	03	JW	10.01.24



Contents

1.	Introduction	1
2.	The Site and Development.....	2
3.	Neighbouring Buildings.....	4
4.	Ground Model	5
5.	Impact Assessment Methodology	6
6.	Impact Assessment Results	8
7.	Conclusions and Recommendations.....	14

Appendices

Appendix A: Building Displacement Profiles



1. Introduction

A-Squared Studio Engineers has been appointed by Salboy (Mornington Crescent) Limited to undertake a Ground Movement Assessment (GMA) for the proposed development at the land adjacent to Hurdwick House, Harrington Square, Camden, London, NW1 2JE (herein called the 'site'). The scope comprises an assessment of the potential impact of ground movement imposed by the development on the neighbouring buildings located at 5 Hurdwick Place and Hurdwick House.

1.1. Study Aims and Objectives

A ground movement and impact assessment has been carried out to estimate the potential impact of the proposed development construction works at Harrington Square on the neighbouring buildings located north and south of the site. The proposed scheme comprises the construction of a multi-storey residential building including the excavation of a single-storey basement level over part of the footprint and the installation of reinforced concrete retaining walls and temporary sheet piling in order to support the excavation.

The GMA study provides an estimate of greenfield ground movements, which are unlikely to be exceeded. The adopted methodology provides a robust and conservative assessment, representative of the current industry best practice as detailed in Section 5.

The assessment carried out and described herein aims to assess the impact of ground movements induced by the proposed works on:

- The buildings directly neighbouring the site (5 Hurdwick Place and Hurdwick House).
- The public highway adjacent to the site.

This assessment also includes the impact of tree removal.

This report provides a detailed description of the:

- Site and proposed development.
- Modelling parameters input.
- Analyses and results.



2. The Site and Development

The proposed development is located at the land adjacent to Hurdwick House, Harrington Square, Camden, London, NW1 2JE (see Figure 2.1). The site is currently occupied by a private car park with soft landscaping. The proposed scheme comprises the construction of a multi-storey residential building including the excavation of a single-storey basement level (over part of the footprint of the structure). The excavation will be supported by reinforced concrete retaining walls and temporary sheet piling. The new structure will be founded on piled foundations. The site is bounded by two neighbouring buildings; 5 Hurdwick Place to the north-west and Hurdwick House to the south-east.


Key dimensions and levels assumed in the analysis, based on the information provided by Renaissance Associates Ltd (Renaissance) and are outlined in the following.

- The proposed basement formation level is +20.425mOD, based on a 500mm thick basement slab.
- The piled foundations have an assumed toe level of +9.00mOD for both the ground floor and basement level.
- A proposed load of 6300kN at basement level and 6960kN at ground floor level has been assumed. The arrangement of the proposed basement footprint in relation to the proposed building is presented in Figure 2.2.



Approximate site boundary marked in red. Source: Google Earth.

Figure 2.1 Location of the proposed development



Site boundary marked in red. Basement footprint outlined in yellow

Figure 2.2 Proposed basement arrangement



3. Neighbouring Buildings

A number of adjacent properties lie within the zone of influence of the ground movement induced by the development, as shown in Figure 3.1 and detailed in Section 2. The site is bounded by two neighbouring buildings; 5 Hurdwick Place to the north-west and Hurdwick House to the south-east.

5 Hurdwick Place is a five-storey residential terraced building. Hurdwick House is a four-storey residential apartment building.

As the maximum ground movements occur at ground level, for the sake of conservatism any existing basements (e.g. the semi-basement at 5 Hurdwick Place) have been ignored and it is assumed that all assessed buildings are founded at ground level. Greenfield movements have conservatively been used to determine impacts.



Approximate site boundary marked in red. Source: Google Earth.

Figure 3.1 Plan of neighbouring buildings



4. Ground Model

The ground model and soil parameters adopted as part of this study presented herein are based on site-specific ground investigation undertaken in June 2023 as presented in the *Factual Report* by A2 Site Investigation Limited (ref: 33023-A2SI-XX-XX-RP-X-0001-01). An interpretation of the findings of the ground investigation is presented in the A2 Site Investigation Geotechnical Interpretive Report (ref. 33023-A2SI-XX-XX-RP-Y-0002-00). This is summarised in Table 4.1.

Table 4.1 Ground model and geotechnical parameters

Stratum	Top of stratum (mOD)	Thickness (m)	Unit Weight, γ_b (kN/m ³)	Undrained Young's Modulus, E_u (kPa)	Drained Young's Modulus, E' (kPa)
Made Ground	24.70	2.50	19	-	14,000
London Clay	22.20	22.50	20	$26,000 + 3,600z^{[1]}$	$20,800 + 2,900z^{[1]}$

1. z refers to depth below top of stratum



5. Impact Assessment Methodology

The impact assessment has been carried out using the commercially available software programs Oasys PDisp and XDisp.

Ground movement will occur due to the excavation of a new basement level installation of reinforced concrete retaining walls and temporary sheet piling to support the excavation and subsequent associated loading of the proposed structure. These works will cause settlements across the footprint of the load and over a given zone of influence surrounding the footprint.

The analysis strategy can be summarised as follows:

1. Model the short-term excavation unloading effects in Oasys PDisp.
2. Model the excavation and associated wall installation in Oasys XDisp.
3. Model the long-term proposed loading on-site in Oasys PDisp.
4. Calculate greenfield ground movements at the adjacent building locations for critical combinations of ground movements.
5. Carry out a damage assessment for the adjacent structures using Oasys Xdisp, following the well-established Burland damage assessment methodology.

A uniform value of 83kPa has been used to model the proposed unloading resulting from ground excavation, derived from the soil unit weight presented in Section 4 (i.e. an excavation depth of 4.3mbgl).

To simulate the proposed building construction in Oasys PDisp, the loads have been applied using the “equivalent raft” approach (i.e. as a uniformly distributed load at a level equivalent to two-thirds the foundation pile-toe depth, with a 1H-in-4V lateral load spread). This approach results in applied pressures of 19.4kPa at +13.5mOD and 47.9kPa at +12.8mOD for the ground floor and basement respectively.

The Xdisp analysis used to assess the ground movements resulting from the proposed excavation and installation of the retaining wall in the two properties, used the following curves adopted from CIRIA C760:

- *Reinforced Concrete Retaining Wall and Sheet Pile Wall installation*: Installation of contiguous bored pile wall in stiff clay.
- *Excavation to formation*: Excavation in front of a high stiffness wall in stiff clay.

The contiguous bored pile wall CIRIA C760 installation curves are considered to be a conservative assumption to estimate the ground movements associated with the installation of sheet pile walls and reinforced concrete retaining walls. The modelled wall has an assumed toe level of +16.000mOD. It has been assumed that temporary sheet piling will be used along the full perimeter of the basement. The current design assumes that the sheet piles will be left in place in the permanent works – subject to detailed design by the contractor.

Two models have been analysed examining the impact of key combinations of the different sources of ground movement. These are:

1. Short-term heave resulting from excavation of the proposed basement.
2. Long-term settlement due to wall installation, basement excavation and structural loading.

Indicative views of the PDisp and XDisp models are presented in Figure 5.1 and Figure 5.2 respectively.

The neighbouring buildings have been analysed as representative walls situated along their perimeters. The walls have been assumed to behave as elastic beams subject to bending/shear and axial elongation mechanisms, for the purpose of the damage assessment. The buildings are assumed to be in good condition with no existing damage. A wall height of 14m for both 5 Hurdwick Place and Hurdwick House has been assumed.




Figure 5.1 PDisp model (loading). Location of adjacent structures and highway indicated by blue lines.




Figure 5.2 XDisp model (excavation) . Location of adjacent structures and highway indicated by blue lines.



6. Impact Assessment Results

6.1. Neighbouring Buildings

The ground settlement contours in the short-term and long-term analyses are presented in Figure 6.1 and Figure 6.2 respectively.

The building damage assessment results estimate that there will be 'Negligible' damage to most of the modelled representative walls for the neighbouring buildings, with the exception of two Hurdwick House walls, which are estimated to have 'Very Slight' damage. The results of the building damage assessment carried out in XDisp are detailed in Table 6.1.

The displacement graphs for each wall analysed are presented in Appendix A. A summary of the Burland building damage categories is presented in Figure 6.3. The Burland damage assessment considers the maximum tensile strain induced by both horizontal and vertical movement.

It is assumed that the excavation will be supported by means of temporary propping. It is recommended that any proposed temporary propping remains in place until the permanent floor slabs are installed in order to effectively limit the ground movements.




Figure 6.1 Short-term settlement results




Figure 6.2 Long-term settlement results

Table 6.1 Building Damage Assessment results summary

		Wall	Maximum Settlement (mm)	Maximum Tensile Strain (%)	Damage Category
Short-term		5 Hurdwick Place (1)	<0.1	<0.01	Category 0 – Negligible
		5 Hurdwick Place (2)	0.2	<0.01	Category 0 – Negligible
		5 Hurdwick Place (3)	0.2	<0.01	Category 0 – Negligible
		5 Hurdwick Place (4)	0.1	<0.01	Category 0 – Negligible
		Hurdwick House (1)	0.5	<0.01	Category 0 – Negligible
		Hurdwick House (2)	0.5	<0.01	Category 0 – Negligible



	Wall	Maximum Settlement (mm)	Maximum Tensile Strain (%)	Damage Category
Long-term	Hurdwick House (3)	0.6	<0.01	Category 0 – <i>Negligible</i>
	Hurdwick House (4)	0.6	<0.01	Category 0 – <i>Negligible</i>
	Hurdwick House (5)	0.4	<0.01	Category 0 – <i>Negligible</i>
	Hurdwick House (6)	0.2	<0.01	Category 0 – <i>Negligible</i>
	Hurdwick House (7)	0.2	<0.01	Category 0 – <i>Negligible</i>
	5 Hurdwick Place (1)	1.6	<0.01	Category 0 – <i>Negligible</i>
	5 Hurdwick Place (2)	4.7	0.02	Category 0 – <i>Negligible</i>
	5 Hurdwick Place (3)	4.8	<0.01	Category 0 – <i>Negligible</i>
	5 Hurdwick Place (4)	4.3	0.04	Category 0 – <i>Negligible</i>
	Hurdwick House (1)	4.7	0.04	Category 0 – <i>Negligible</i>
	Hurdwick House (2)	4.6	0.01	Category 0 – <i>Negligible</i>
	Hurdwick House (3)	5.0	0.04	Category 0 – <i>Negligible</i>
	Hurdwick House (4)	5.0	0.01	Category 0 – <i>Negligible</i>
	Hurdwick House (5)	4.4	0.05	Category 1 – <i>Very Slight</i>
	Hurdwick House (6)	2.8	<0.01	Category 0 – <i>Negligible</i>
	Hurdwick House (7)	2.8	0.05	Category 1 – <i>Very Slight</i>



Building / Structure Damage Risk Classification (Burland (1997))

Damage Category	Category of damage	Description of typical damage* (Ease of repair is underlined)	Approx. crack width* (mm)	Limiting tensile strain (%)
0	Negligible	Hairline cracks	< 0.1	< 0.05
1	Very Slight	<u>Fine cracks that can easily be treated during normal decoration.</u> Perhaps isolated slight fracture in buildings. Cracks in external brickwork visible on inspection.	< 1	0.05 - 0.075
2	Slight	<u>Cracks easily filled. Redecorating probably required.</u> Several slight fractures showing inside of building. Cracks are visible externally and <u>some repointing may be required externally to ensure weather tightness.</u> Doors and windows may stick slightly.	< 5	0.075 - 0.15
3	Moderate	<u>The cracks require some opening up and can be patched by a mason.</u> <u>Recurrent cracks can be masked by suitable linings. Repointing of external brickwork and possibly a small amount of brickwork to be replaced.</u> Doors and windows sticking. Service pipes may fracture. Weather tightness often impaired.	5 - 15 or a number of cracks > 3	0.15 - 0.3
4	Severe	<u>Extensive repair work involving breaking out and replacing sections of walls, especially over doors and windows.</u> Windows and door frames distorted, floor sloping noticeably. Walls leaning and bulging noticeably, some loss of bearing in beams. Service pipes disrupted.	15 - 25 but also depends on number of cracks	> 0.3
5	Very Severe	<u>This requires a major repair job involving partial or complete rebuilding.</u> Beams lose bearing, walls lean badly and require shoring. Windows broken due to distortion. Danger of instability.	Usually > 25 but depends on number of cracks.	-

Figure 6.3 Burland building damage classification

6.2. Highway

Movements of the highway in the 2no. models described in Section 5 are presented in Figure 6.4 and Figure 6.5. A summary of worst case results is shown in Table 6.2. The impact is considered to be negligible.




Figure 6.4 Response of highway (short term heave)




Figure 6.5 Response of highway (long term settlement)

Table 6.2 Results summary for highway

Model	Max. heave/settlement (mm)	Max. slope
Short term heave	1.5	1V-in-6440H
Long term settlement	8.2	1V-in-1570H



6.3. Impact of Tree Removal

The impact of tree removal has been assessed in accordance with NHBC guidance.

2no. Callery Pear trees will be removed as part of the scheme. These trees have a moderate water demand and a mature height of 12m. These trees are approximately 2m from 5 Hurdwick Place.

7no. Atterberg limit tests were carried out on samples from the London Clay from the site. Modified plasticity index from these tests varied between 24% and 36%. The soil is therefore of medium volume change potential.

For a D/H ratio of 0.17, the NHBC design charts indicate that a minimum foundation depth of 1.8m would be required for a new build footing. Given the presence of a semi-basement beneath 5 Hurdwick Place, the founding level of the structure is expected to be in excess of 1.8m below ground level and therefore the impact of tree removal on the structure is likely to be minimal.



7. Conclusions and Recommendations

A-Squared Studio Engineers Ltd has been appointed by Salboy (Mornington Crescent) Limited to undertake a Ground Movement Assessment (GMA) for the proposed development at the land adjacent to Hurdwick House, Harrington Square, Camden, London, NW1 2JE. The scope comprises an assessment of the potential impact of ground movement imposed by the development on the neighbouring buildings located at 5 Hurdwick Place and Hurdwick House.

The proposed works can generally be described as the construction of a multi-storey residential building including the excavation of a single-storey basement level and the installation of reinforced concrete retaining walls and temporary sheet piling in order to support the excavation.

The proposed development construction operations comprise three stages: wall installation, bulk excavation and proposed building construction (long-term loading). The impact of the various construction stage has been reviewed, evaluating the effects of unloading/loading using PDisp and simulating the excavation and wall installation induced ground movement fields using empirical CIRIA curves in XDisp.

The impact assessment has been carried out with Oasys software PDisp and XDisp. The damage has been assessed along representative walls situated along the perimeter of each building. The existing buildings are assumed to be in good condition with no existing damage. The assumptions made as part of this assessment should be reviewed based on the contractor's proposed scheme.

The building damage assessment results estimate that there will be 'Negligible' damage to most of the neighbouring buildings, with the exception of two Hurdwick House walls, which are estimated to have 'Very Slight' damage. This level of impact is considered to be acceptable.

It is assumed that the excavation will be supported by means of temporary propping, as required. It is recommended that any proposed temporary propping remains in place until the permanent floor slabs are installed in order to effectively limit the ground movements.

The impact on the public highway as a result of the development is expected to be negligible.

The impact on adjacent structures as a result of tree removal is expected to be negligible.

It is worth noting that the predicted movements are likely to be conservative, in view of the greenfield nature of the predicted movements, the relatively cautious assumptions in relation to the ground model and the simplifications in the analysis software.


It is recommended that this report is reviewed and understood in full by the project team and major stakeholders. Where significant changes are made to items such as construction sequencing and scheme design, the engineer should thoroughly review the discrepancy and evaluate any potential impacts on ground movements and adjacent structures. This is particularly relevant in relation to any temporary works/sequencing adopted as part of the initial enabling works phase (potentially involving excavation/filling earthworks to form working platforms, etc) and with regards to plant loading during construction. If necessary, the GMA results should be re-evaluated, including these temporary works items.




It is critical that the permanent and temporary works designs are carried out in a coordinated manner, with the aim to ensure that such design elements are in alignment with the assumptions/findings of the GMA and overall design intent. The assessment presented herein is dependent and reliant on the works being undertaken by an experienced contractor, high quality workmanship, and appropriate supervision of construction means and methods by experienced personnel.









Appendix A: Building Displacement Profiles

Short-term






















Long-term











A-squared Studio


A-squared Studio Engineers Ltd

One Westminster Bridge Rd
London, SE1 7XW

020 7620 2868

contact@a2-studio.com

www.a2-studio.com





A-squared Studio

A-squared Studio Engineers Limited

One Westminster Bridge Rd
London, SE1 7XW

020 7620 2868
contact@a2-studio.com
www.a2-studio.com

