

## 16.5 Fire Dynamics

The consideration of the **additional fire load by structural timber** appears to be more complex than the design following standard fire. The main reason is that as the combustion behaviour of structural timber comprises: **(1) the creation** of the char material and **(2) the combustion** of the char material in a second step. While the first step is temperature depending, the second step is significantly correlated to the *fire exposure* of the surfaces including the availability of oxygen. Consequently, the char layer may be activated in a later phase when the movable fire load (interior) is already consumed. This behaviour can be described by a **time-dependent heat release rate provided by the timber structure**. Because of the delay of the char combustion, the duration of the fire may extend significantly. Recent research results show that the **heat release** by various CLT products appear to be similar (see STA/CLT Special Interest Group project results; [LINK](#)) which supports the consideration of CLT as “one building material” despite the fact that this characteristic is not covered in a CWFT<sup>7</sup> document.

## 16.6 Load bearing resistance

In contrast to the certain characteristics of CLT (density, heat release) the load-bearing resistance (and the stiffness) of CLT products are different for equally thick products and are highly depending on the layup (numbers, individual thicknesses). Consequently, in fire, different layers will be affected by charring and heating. In general, the calculation can be done following advanced models<sup>8,9</sup> simplified design models<sup>9</sup>, or tabulated data<sup>9</sup>. The dependency on the product layup requires the individual consideration of charring and heating and limits the exchangeability of results and products: whenever a product choice is revised, the validity of the results have to be checked by a specialist.

## 16.7 Design for the separation function

In fire, construction elements may provide fire resistance with respect to the functions integrity “E” (tight construction) insulation “I”. Depending on the components function, these functions need to be verified by testing or calculation. While the determination of the insulation function can be done according to simplified and advanced rules based on the prediction of the conduction through a (multi-layered) construction, the integrity has to be verified by means of fire resistance tests or design rules derived therefrom. When construction are designed following validated guidance, it can be assumed that the verification of the insulation function covers also the components integrity. Annex E of EN 1995-1-2 can be

<sup>7</sup> Classification without further testing (further information [LINK](#))

<sup>8</sup> Included in EN 1995-1-2:2002

<sup>9</sup> Included in the draft for revision EN 1995-1-2; publication expected for 2025 after European approval process

applied for CLT assuming a single or multi-layer board assembly. Alternatively, thermal simulation by Finite Element Analysis can be applied. Further guidance can be taken from public available test data (e.g. [www.dataholz.eu](http://www.dataholz.eu)), their background reports and European national Annex documents of Eurocode.

## 16.8 References (this Annex)

The following provides background and supplementary information to the information given above:

ANSI/APA PRG 320: Standard for Performance-Rated Cross-Laminated Timber, 2018.

Bartlett A, Hadden R, Bisby L, Law A. Analysis of cross-laminated timber charring rates upon exposure to non-standard heating conditions. Proc. of the Conference Fire and Materials 2015, San Francisco, CA, 2015.

Buckley John, Fire Investigation for the University of Nottingham Jubilee Campus, 2015

Brandon, D. Kagiya K, Hakkarainen, T. Performance based design for mass timber structures in fire Design - Calculation Example, COST Action FP1404, Zürich, Switzerland, 2018.

Dataholz.eu: online design catalogue, Holzforschung Austria, 2019, [www.dataholz.eu](http://www.dataholz.eu)

Deeny, S, Lane B, Hadden, R, Lawrence, A., Fire safety design in modern timber buildings, Structural fire engineer, 2018.

EAD 130005-00-034. European Assessment Document EAD. Solid Wood Slab Element to be used as Structural Element in Buildings, EOTA, 2015-03.

Egenhofer, M, Brandabschottungen im Holzbau, Master Thesis – chair for timber structures and building construction, TU Munich, 2017

EN 16351: Timber structures - Cross laminated timber. Requirements, 2015.

EN 1995-1-2: Eurocode 5. Design of timber structures. General. Structural fire design 2004.

Falk, A, Dietsch, Ph, Schmid, J (eds). Cross Laminated Timber – A competitive wood product for visionary and fire safe buildings. Proceedings of the Joint Conference of COST Actions FP1402 & FP1404, Stockholm, ISBN 978-91-799-043-8, 2016.

Frangi A, Fontana M, Hugi E, Jöbstl R. Experimental analysis of cross-laminated timber panels in fire. Fire Safety Journal. 2009 Nov 1;44(8):1078-87.

Frangi, A, Bochicchio, G, Ceccotti, A, Lauriola, MP. Natural Full-Scale Fire Test on a 3 Storey XLam Timber Building, Proceedings of the World conference on timber Engineering (WCTE 2008), 2008.

Friquin KL, Grimsbu M, Hovde PJ. Charring rates for cross-laminated timber panels exposed to standard and parametric fires. Proceedings of the World conference on timber Engineering (WCTE 2010), 2010.

Gräfe, M, Merk, M, Werther, N, et. al. Detail catalogue for multistorey timber buildings (in German) Regeldetaillkatalog für den mehrgeschossigen Holzbau in Gebäudeklasse 4, Fraunhofer IRB Verlag, Stuttgart, 2015, ISBN 978-3-8167-9424-0, 2015.

Hadden RM, Bartlett AI, Hidalgo JP, Santamaria S, Wiesner F, Bisby LA, Deeny S, Lane B. Effects of exposed cross laminated timber on compartment fire dynamics. Fire Safety Journal, 2017.

Hakkarainen, T. Post-flashover fires in light and heavy timber construction compartments. Journal of Fire Science, Vol. 20, 2002.

Hasburgh L, Bourne K, Dagenais C, Ranger L, Roy-Poirier A. Fire performance of mass-timber encapsulation methods and the effect of encapsulation on char rate of cross-laminated timber. Proceedings of the World conference on timber Engineering (WCTE 2016), 2016.

Hoehler, MS, Su, J, Lafrance, PS, Bundy, MF, Kimball, A, Brandon, D, Ostman, B. Fire Safety Challenges of Tall Wood Buildings: Large Scale Cross Laminated Timber Compartment Fire Test, International Conference on Structures in Fire (SIF 2018), 2018.

Just, A, Brandon, D, Mäger, KM, Pukk, R, Sjöström, J, Kahi, F. CLT Compartment Fire Test, Proceedings of the World conference on timber Engineering (WCTE 2018), 2018.

Kaufmann, H, Krötsch, S, Winter, S., Atlas Mehrgeschossiger Holzbau, Detail Verlag, 2018

Kagiya, K, Hasemi, Y., Nam, D., Hokugo, A., Masuda, H., Harada, T., ... & Hiramastu, Y. (2003). Investigation of a Large Wooden Gymnasium Fire-Its documentation, estimation of the fire scenario by experiments and evaluation of the structural properties of surviving timber elements. Fire Safety Science, 7, 1147-1158.

Klippel M, Leyder CL, Frangi A, Fontana MA. Fire tests on loaded cross-laminated timber wall and floor elements. Fire Safety Science. 2014;11:626-39.

Klippel M, Schmid J, Fahrni R, Frangi A. Assessing the adhesive performance in CLT exposed to fire. Proceedings of the World conference on timber Engineering (WCTE 2018), 2018.

Klippel M, Schmid, J. Design of Cross-Laminated Timber in Fire, Structural Engineering International, 2017.

Klippel, M, Just, A (eds). Guidance on Fire design of CLT including best practice, COST Action FP1404, Zürich, Switzerland. 2018.

Law, A, Hadden, R, Bisby, L. Comment&reply: The TallWood House at Brock Commons, Vancouver. The Structural Engineer, 2019.

McGregor, CJ. Contribution of Cross Laminated Timber Panels to Room Fires, Master Thesis at the Ottawa-Charleton Institute of Civil and Environmental Engineering, 2013.

Mikkola, E, Rinne, T, Granström, M, Extended use of massive wood structures without coverings – arguments for revision of the fire safety regulations, KK-PALOKONSULTTI OY, 2017

Rauch, M. et al: Evaluation of the Component Additive Method until 90 minutes fire exposure –presentation slides, finale conference COST Action FP1404, Zürich, 1st and 2nd October 2018, Switzerland, 2018

---

Schmid, J., Brandon, D., Werther, N., Klippel, M. Thermal exposure of wood in standard fire resistance tests, Fire Safety Journal, 2018.

Schmid, J., Werther, N., Klippel, M., Frangi, A. Structural Fire Design-Statement on the Design of Cross-Laminated Timber (CLT), Civil Engineering research Journal.

Su, J., Lafrance, P-S., Hoehler, M and Bundy, M (2018) Fire Protection Research Foundation report: "Fire Safety Challenges of Tall Wood Buildings - Phase 2: Tasks 2 & 3 – Development and Implementation of CLT Compartment Fire Tests.

Teibinger, M, Matzinger, I, Construction with Cross-Laminated Timber in Multi-Storey Buildings – Focus on Building Physics. Wien, Österreich: Holzforschung Austria, 2013

---

## 17 Annex M – Methods

### 17.1 Compartment fire model with exposed timber surfaces

The key of the analysis presented in this document is the determination of the energy balance between the exterior, the compartment and the compartment enclosure. The consideration of the compartment enclosure comprises the thermal absorptivity and the contribution of the combustibles elements to the fire dynamics. The realisation of the energy balance was achieved by the use of a zone-model and the model for the Timber Charring and Heat Storage (TiCHS-model) as add-on. The TiCHS-model predicts the thermal modification of the structural timber with seven modelled elements. In the model, the energy source is considered, which is released partly directly during pyrolysis and partly directly via the decomposition of the previously created char layer. Thus, the (temporary) energy storage in the char layer and the (delayed) heat released from the char layer is modelled. The model considers the fire exposure (i.e. the thermal exposure comprising the radiation and gas temperature and the gas characteristics comprising the oxygen concentration and the gas movement) to predict the contribution of structural timber to the fire dynamics in the compartment.

The method is published as a peer-reviewed, open access scientific paper in the Open Engineering Journal (LINK: <https://doi.org/10.1515/eng-2021-0043>). The document is copyright protected. The document is given in the following (18 pages).

Research Article

1042

Joachim Schmid\* and Andrea Frangi

# Structural Timber In Compartment Fires – The Timber Charring And Heat Storage Model

<https://doi.org/10.1515/eng-2021-0043>  
Received Oct 01, 2020; accepted Jan 26, 2021

## 1 Introduction

**Abstract:** The influence of exposed timber surfaces on compartment fires has been well documented in various studies in recent decades. Yet available design concepts still typically neglect the influence of an additional fire load from linear structural timber elements such as beams and columns. As rules for large shares of exposed timber surfaces, e.g. by panels, are rare, authorities and fire safety engineers demand often mock-up compartment fire experiments to estimate the fire safety of a particular design. Such experiments, however, are costly, time consuming, and give limited insights into the potential fire scenarios and may fail to represent properly the fundamental effects arising from exposed structural timber elements in a fire. An approach to overcome these existing limitations is presented, which is able to estimate the contributions from structural timber to a fire from its fully developed- and decay phase until burnout. The model input is developed from an experimental campaign where the relevant effects of fire exposed structural timber could be isolated and measured. It was found that the energy stored in the char layer is a key characteristic for describing the fire dynamics of compartment fires with exposed structural timber. Consequently, the proposed approach describes a framework for the Timber Charring and Heat storage, the TiCHS-model. The validation of the model is shown in this paper by means of existing compartment experiments. A current limitation is the bond line integrity of the fire exposed components as the combustion characteristics of failed char pieces on the floor are currently unknown.

**Keywords:** fire dynamics, timber, compartment fire, modelling

The use of structural timber panels and panel-type products, such as cross-laminated timber (CLT) and solid timber panels, is currently booming in construction and rapidly expanding. The current revival of timber engineering is based upon three parallel developments: improved production techniques, interest in new architectural design potentials of solid timber products, and the general acceptance of combustible products in many building regulations. Today, it has become common practice for designers to typically neglect the additional fire load contribution from post-and-beam timber structures. Alternatively, the entire volume of such structures can be considered as structural fire load. While the inclusion of the structural fire load is in accordance with current definitions of the fire part in Eurocode 1 [1], therein-specified design models do not explicitly consider the actual combustion behaviour of structural timber in compartment fires. Neglecting the structural fire load may risk for significantly under predicting the fire duration and may consequently lead to false information about the structural survival. A correct approach would consider the volume of the structural timber, which is involved in the fire dynamics, i.e. the char layer volume. However, from previous research, it appeared that this implies a conservative approach. In this paper, it is shown that a significant share of the embedded energy originating from the structural timber is not directly released but (temporarily) stored in the char layer. Considering two materials, i.e. the structural timber and the char layer, a model is presented to describe the actual combustion behaviour of structural timber. The combustion behaviour of structural timber in compartment fires entail an increased external flaming [2, 3]. Therefore, only a certain share of the charred timber volume contributes to the energy released inside the compartment [3–6]. Existing models ascribe this reduced share directly to an increased external heat release exterior of the compartment [4, 5] but fail to predict a share. A previous study found that the documented combustion behaviour is strongly related to the creation of a char layer, which is a material significantly different from timber, with a knowingly different density and heat content [6]. This heat content is sometimes re-

\*Corresponding Author: Joachim Schmid: ETH Zürich Zurich, Switzerland; Email: [schmid@ibk.baug.ethz.ch](mailto:schmid@ibk.baug.ethz.ch)  
Andrea Frangi: ETH Zürich Zurich, Switzerland

Open Access. © 2021 J. Schmid and A. Frangi, published by De Gruyter. Attribution 4.0 License



This work is licensed under the Creative Commons

ferred to as calorific or heating value corresponding to the embedded energy [6]. As the density of the char layer may be different from zero, the amount of energy stored in the char layer can therefore influence the overall fire dynamics, and requires close consideration to understand correctly the contribution of structural timber elements in compartment fires. Brandon [4] has proposed a concept adapting the method of the parametric fire design [1] for compartments with exposed structural timber. The concept allows for the calculation of a charring depth corresponding to the actual design fire using Eurocode 5 [7]. This concept is based on an iterative design procedure originally mentioned by Friquin [8]. Here, only the volume corresponding to the charring depth and not the entire volume of the structural timber member represents the structural fire load. The latter would imply the collapse of the structure due to the consumption of the member. Brandon [4] based his concept on a comparison of the heat release rate (HRR) measured in a large series of compartment experiments. The basis of the comparison by Brandon [4] was the HRR of cone calorimeter tests with timber specimens, where also the charring rate was measured [9, 10]. Comparing the measured HRR and the charring rates observed in the compartment experiments, a significant difference between the estimated and the measured HRR was observed. Consequently, Brandon [4] introduced a fitting factor to reduce the structural fire load in a fully developed post-flashover fire. A reduction proportionately to the energy embedded in the charred depth gave a good fit when using the model for parametric fire exposure provided in Eurocode [1]. Therefore, a reduction of 70% of the energy in the structural timber and the actual charring depth, respectively, was proposed [4]. More recently, Wade [5] has proposed the introduction of a fuel excess factor (*GER*) for compartments with exposed timber surfaces. The factor describes the share of the structural fuel load which combusts inside the compartment. Wade found the factor in the range of about 1.0 and 3.0. A factor of  $GER = 1.7$  is similar to the fitting factor proposed by Brandon [4] suggesting that 70% of the structural fuel combusts exterior. Both approaches fitted calculation results to compartment experiment with exposed timber surfaces documented in the literature. Following Wade's methodology, a parameter study would be required between the bounds while Brandon's approach is stated to be conservative for the evaluated compartment experiments. Yet, as the significant reduction of the structural fuel was not apparent, the approach using a fitting factor was further studied by Schmid *et al.* [10]. Schmid *et al.* analysed the char layer with respect to its yield profile and the corresponding heat content. They found that a justifiable range of the reduction is caused by the energy stored in the char layer and

could result conservatively in a reduction factor of 0.85. However, there is a risk that the factor may exceed 1.0 in certain situations. For example, when a timber product is fire exposed, thermally modified and a char layer is created. The so created new material exhibits a higher heat content and may release energy at a higher rate. Knaust *et al.* [11] observed such behaviour in single burning item (SBI) tests for medium density fibre boards. Knaust *et al.* found that the combustion efficiency of MDF boards exceeded 1.0 in later stages of the SBI test. This exceedance can be explained by the comparison of the measured heat release rate with the heat content of the source material, i.e. 17.5 MJ/kg. In general, the application of a conservative fitting factor or the need for a parameter study can be considered as unfavorable situation. Consequently, in this study, we investigated the contribution of the char layer to the fire dynamics.

First, we review relevant physics and offer a description of a fire environment. Both of these aspects were important considerations when designing the innovative experiments conducted in this work. The results of such experiments can accurately represent the behavior of structural timber in compartment fires and lead to new insights for modelling compartment fires. Comparing our proposed approach using the developed model and a conventional one-zone model with the data from compartment experiments, we found a good agreement for the direct prediction of the compartment fire without using constant fitting factors nor a parameter study. Current model limitations are the unconsidered re-radiation of surface flaming considered important in corners of combustible walls, and the bond line integrity as a condition for layered timber products such as cross-laminated timber (CLT).

## 2 Method

### 2.1 Combustion physics

To consider the additional fire load from structural timber, Schmid *et al.* [12] presented a physically based approach for the definition of the structural fuel load by timber. Based on the energy content of structural timber, two general relationships were presented. The relationships assumed an upper heating value of dry wood of 17.5 MJ/kg, a reference density of 450 kg/m<sup>3</sup> and a moisture content (MC) of 10%. It was proposed to depict the contribution to the fire load by structural timber related to the charring rate, which varies in general throughout the fire exposure. Consequently, the fuel load related to the fire exposed surface area of structural timber involved in the fire dynamics of a compartment

can be specified to:

$$s_{st,10} = \Delta H_0 \cdot \rho_{10} \cdot \alpha_{MC} \cdot \frac{1}{1000} \cdot \beta_{st} \quad (1)$$

$$= 17.5 \cdot 450 \cdot 0.886 \cdot \frac{1}{1000} \cdot \beta_{st} = 7.0 \cdot \beta_{st}$$

$$s_{st,10,ef} = s_{st,10} \cdot \chi \cdot \alpha_{st} = 7.0 \cdot \beta_{st} \cdot \chi \cdot \alpha_{st} \quad (2)$$

where:

$s_{st,10}$  is the specific fuel load of structural timber per square meter, in MJ/m<sup>2</sup>;

$s_{st,10,ef}$  is the effective specific fuel load of structural timber per square meter, in MJ/m<sup>2</sup>;

$\Delta H_0$  is the heat of combustion of dry wood, assumed to be 17.5 MJ/kg;

$\rho_{10}$  is the density of wood at 10% moisture content, in kg/m<sup>3</sup>;

$\alpha_{MC}$  is the factor to compensate for the lower heat of combustion of moist wood, 0.886 for 10% moisture content;

$\chi$  is the factor to consider the combustion efficiency, for cellulosic based fuel loads this is typically assumed to 0.8 [1];

$\beta_{st}$  is the variable charring rate of structural timber in a design fire event, in mm/min;

$\alpha_{st}$  is the factor to consider the combustion behaviour of structural timber taking into account the energy storage in and the heat release of the char layer.

It should be highlighted that the charring rate is not a constant but highly dependent on the fire exposure. Further, it should be noted that the factor  $\alpha_{st}$  remains undefined. Correspondingly, the HRR by structural timber can be determined to:

$$\dot{q}_{st,10}'' = s_{st,10} \cdot \frac{1}{60} - 7.0 \cdot \beta_{st} \cdot \frac{1}{60} = 0.12 \cdot \beta_{st} \quad (3)$$

$$\dot{q}_{st,10,ef}'' = s_{st,10} \cdot \frac{1}{60} \cdot \chi \cdot \alpha_{st} - 7.0 \cdot \beta_{st} \cdot \frac{1}{60} = 0.12 \cdot \beta_{st} \cdot \chi \cdot \alpha_{st} \quad (4)$$

where:

$\dot{q}_{st,10}''$  is the specific heat release rate from structural timber, in MW/m<sup>2</sup>;

$\dot{q}_{st,10,ef}''$  is the effective specific heat release rate from structural timber, in MW/m<sup>2</sup>.

In Eq. (1) to (4), the basic relationships are proposed which are in line with the general terminology of Eurocode [1, 7]. Following the proposals by Brandon [4] and the analysis by Schmid *et al.* [6], the factor  $\alpha_{st}$  to consider the combustion behaviour of the structural timber may be in a range of 0.30 – 0.85. Higher values exceeding 1.0 may be reasonable if the energy stored in the char layer would

be released disproportionately. Thus, even a larger parameter study seems to be unreasonable for the definition of a project specific design fire. To address the shortcomings of the actual design methods and the application of Eq. (2) and (4), a large experimental campaign was conducted comprising of experiments in small-, medium- and full-scale. The experiments and results are presented in this study after the definition of the terms thermal and fire exposure.

## 2.2 Thermal exposure and fire exposure

The thermal exposure is sometimes described as the thermal load, *e.g.* Putynska CG *et al.* [13], which can be misleading as the heat transfer to a solid is influenced by its thermal properties. Consequently, in fire safety engineering, the terminology thermal exposure is rather used implying a more complex process rather than a load comparable to static loading in structural engineering. In an attempt to define the term thermal exposure, the conditions in fire resistance furnaces were compared when testing combustible and non-combustible specimens. The thermal exposure can be understood as the radiation and gas temperature combined with a proper thermal boundary condition [14, 15]. However, when combustible components are studied, the environment of the exposure becomes relevant as the existence of oxygen has significant influence on the combustion physics. Similarities of furnace testing and fully developed ventilation controlled compartment fires of combustible components can be concluded using the fire exposure, see Figure 1.





Figure 1: Compartment temperature and oxygen concentration in a compartment fire experiment [17].



**Figure 2:** Application of the combustion limits (broken lines) for the EN/ISO fire and the compartment fire in Figure 1.

Figure 1 shows that the oxygen concentration in the fully developed phase, about between 25 min and 40 min is similar in fire resistance furnaces, i.e. less than about 5% [14]. After the maximum temperature has been reached, the oxygen concentration changes which is not reflected in standard fire resistance tests. To allow the comparison of the environments and the related behaviour of combustible components, Schmid *et al.* [14] proposed to extend the terminology of the *thermal exposure* to *fire exposure* including the description of the gaseous environment. Further, the need for this definition appeared when the char layer reaction was observed in various environments. For oxygen concentrations higher than 15%, char layer regression was observed, i.e. the recession of the original surface [10]. Wade *et al.* [16]

describe the combustion limit as function of the gas temperature and the oxygen concentration, simplified given in Eq. (5) to:

$$O_{crit} = \frac{873 - T_g}{580} \cdot 8 + 2 \quad (5)$$

where:

$O_{crit}$  is the critical oxygen concentration for combustion, in % by vol.;


$T_g$  is the gas temperature, in K.

The critical oxygen concentration as given in Eq. (5) is exemplarily applied in Figure 2 on the EN/ISO standard fire and the compartment fire shown in Figure 1.

## 2.3 Experimental Setups

The conducted experimental campaign and for the setup of the Timber Charring and Heat Storage Model (TiCHS)-model are presented. Beside the data from the experiments described here, available data from the corresponding tests and experiments were used. These external data is described in the literature where details are given. These external data comprise compartment experiments conducted by McGregor [18], Medina [19], Su *et al.* [20] and the analysis of the char layer with respect to the density and heat content profile by Schmid *et al.* [6].

The experimental campaign summarized here consisted of three main parts, schematically shown in Figure 3. Furnace experiments were performed in two different scales. The furnace experiments were performed in fire resistance furnaces typically used for classification of construction but exceeded the standard instrumentation. Besides additional temperature measurements in the furnace compartment, the mass loss of the structural timber element was recorded



**Figure 3:** Experimental setups performed in the presented campaign.

during the experiments. Further measurements were the thickness of the residual virgin section and the char layer. For char material of the experiments, the density and the heat content of the char layer was directly measured after the experiments. Furnace experiments were conducted in a model scale fire resistance furnace at the laboratory of VKF, Swiss association of Cantonal Fire Insurance companies, in Dübendorf, Switzerland. One furnace experiment was conducted in the framework of a related study at Rise, Research Institutes of Sweden, Borås, where the results were already presented by Schmid *et al.* [14]. and Lange *et al.* [21].

### 2.3.1 Full-scale experiment

A solid timber panel (STP) was exposed to EN/ISO [22, 23] standard fire for 90 min. The panel with approximate dimensions of 5 m x 3 m (length x width) was assembled with thirteen glulam beams with a moisture content (MC) of 12%. They were orientated flatwise to exclude any influence of the bond lines and fire exposed on their lower side. The STP was supported by a steel frame resting on three load-cells to record the change of the specimen mass. Apparently, one load cell was affected by heat and delivered a significantly different signal than the pendant load-cell on the same end of the panel. Consequently, the signal was corrected and a mass-loss rate of  $14.1 \text{ kg}/(\text{m}^2 \cdot \text{h})$  was estimated. Further determined values were the mass of the char layer (about  $85 \text{ kg}/\text{m}^3$ ) and the charring rate ( $0.59 \text{ mm}/\text{min}$ ). Besides the temperature measurements with control plate thermometers (PTs) in the furnace compartment, further PTs close to the surface were installed to capture potential surface flaming. No differences from measurements with a non-combustible reference specimen were observed [21]. Sample gas was extracted from various positions in the furnace compartment, i.e. close to the combustible surface and away from it. An average oxygen concentration of 5% was measured in the furnace compartment and a significantly lower concentration near the surface. Near the surface, a noteworthy amount of carbon monoxide was detected indicating smouldering combustion of the specimen. Furthermore, the gas velocity was measured close to the specimen surface, which resulted in an average velocity of about  $1 \text{ m/s}$ .

### 2.3.2 Model-scale experiments

Eight panels made from structural timber at 12% MC were exposed to EN/ISO [22, 23] standard fire for up to 120 min. Six panels were made from CLT and two were made from

STP. One STP specimen was initially fire protected with an incombustible fire protection system. All panels had dimensions of about  $1.0 \text{ m} \times 0.8 \text{ m}$  (length x width). The two STPs were edgewise assembled from solid timber beams to exclude any influence of the bond lines. All specimens were supported by a frame resting on three load-cells to record the change of the specimens mass. For the STP I, the mass loss of the specimen was determined as  $15.4 \text{ kg}/(\text{m}^2 \cdot \text{h})$ . The char layer material was collected and dried at  $105^\circ \text{C}$  to determine the dry density of the char layer. The density was determined about  $35 \text{ kg}/\text{m}^3$ . Material of the char layer was analysed by bomb calorimetry which gave the similar results as for the char layer material from other origins (radiant heat panel and full-scale fire resistance tests), i.e. about  $31 \text{ MJ}/\text{kg}$ . The specimens made from CLT experienced fall-off of charring layers and exceeded the mass loss rate of the STP by up to about 170%. Results are reported in Klippel *et al.* [24]. The temperature measurements were made with control plate thermometers (PTs) in the furnace compartment. In addition, measurements with a water-cooled heat-flux sensor (HFS) were made at various locations (horizontal variation; above and away from the burner) and the positions (vertical variation; flush with the specimen surface, behind and in level of the PTs). The HFS measurements in various positions were conducted to detect potential surface flaming. No difference between the measurements flush with the specimens surface and at the level of the PTs (100 mm away from the specimen





Figure 4: Measurements of the HFS at the positions "Upper" "Medium" and "Lower" in comparison to results available in the literature [14].




**Figure 5:** Heat transfer elements contributing to superimposed heat flux  $\dot{q}_{sum}$  relevant for the charring rate  $\beta_{st}$ .

surface) were measured, see Figure 4. Sample gas was extracted from various positions in the furnace compartment, i.e. close to the combustible surface and away from it. An average oxygen concentration of 5% was measured in the furnace compartment and a significantly lower concentration near the surface. Furthermore, the gas velocity was measured close to the specimen surface, which resulted in an average velocity of about 2 m/s.

### 2.3.3 Heat-panel experiments

Several existing methods were analysed with respect to the suitability for the analysis of the behaviour of structural timber representative for compartment fires. Contrary to the post-flashover environments where the oxygen concentration is limited, it was aimed for an oxygen rich experimental environment with controlled gas flow. The criteria for the experimental setup was the measurement of (i) the mass loss, (ii) the charring, i.e. the charring rate and depth, (iii) the char layer surface regression, (iv) the temperature distribution in the specimen and (v) the char layer density. The criteria for the description of the environment were (vi) the exposure with a potentially variable external heat flux exceeding  $100 \text{ kW/m}^2$  and (vii) the controlled gas flow with gas velocities up to 6 m/s. Subsequently, the cone calorimeter according to ISO 5660 [25], the fire propagation apparatus according to ASTM E2058 [26], fire resistance furnaces according to EN 1363-1 [22] and the fire tunnel presented by Schmid *et al.* [10] were found unsuitable. Reasons are the limitations of the gas flow control with respect to the possible velocity range and degree of turbulence, the limited specimen size and the difficulties to measure the char layer surface regression during the exposure. Thus, a novel Fire Apparatus for Non-standard heating and Charring Investigation (FANCI) was developed at ETH Zürich. The setup is shown schematically in Figure 6.



\* variable external heat flux

\*\* reference velocity at normal temperature

**Figure 6:** The Fire Apparatus for Non-standard heating and Charring Investigation (FANCI).

The FANCI-setup represents a channel section with a cross-section of about  $500 \text{ mm} \times 200 \text{ mm}$  with calming units before and after the central combustion unit. The top of the combustion unit was closed by the radiant heat panel while the specimen closed the unit from its bottom. The specimen rested on an adjustable support to allow for the exposure of the specimens surface flush with the bottom of the channels. The channel was fed by ambient air with various gas velocities and different characteristics with respect to its turbulence. The specimens were made from spruce wood representing STPs with dimensions of  $260 \text{ mm} \times 225 \text{ mm}$ . The nearly defect-free specimens had a MC of 12% at the date of the experiment. The specimens exhibited annual rings perpendicular to the external heat flux provided by an electrical quick response radiant heat panel. The external heat flux was controlled by the current, a calibration was performed using a HFS. Experiments were either performed with a constant set-point, a temperature ramp according to EN/ISO standard time-temperature at the specimen surface or with two set-point levels to investigate the self-extinction behaviour. The experiments were conducted with reference gas velocities between 1 m/s and 6 m/s. The hot gas velocity, the specimens surface temperature and temperatures at various locations in the setup were measured. Further, the static pressure near the surface recorded the fluctuation of the velocity, defined as the degree of turbulence. Two major setup-types were used using two different fans to allow for the creation of "moderately turbulent" and "highly turbulent" gas flow. The exposure time was between 15 min and 40 min. Including the calibration runs, about 80 experiments were conducted in the FANCI-setup during ten experimental series.

**Table 1:** Set points and measurements of the experiments performed with the FANCI-setup.


| Experimental series no. | External heat flux $\dot{q}_{ext}''$ [kW/m <sup>2</sup> ] |     | Reference gas velocity $v_{gas}$ [m/s] |     | Charring rate $\beta_{st}$ [mm/min] |     | Char layer surface regression rate $\beta_{ch}$ [mm/min] |     | Mass loss rate $\Delta m$ [kg/(m <sup>2</sup> ·h)] |     |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|-----|----------------------------------------|-----|-------------------------------------|-----|----------------------------------------------------------|-----|----------------------------------------------------|-----|
|                         | min                                                       | max | min                                    | max | min                                 | max | min                                                      | max | min                                                | max |
| 1                       | 25                                                        | 115 | 1.5                                    | 1.5 | 0.9                                 | 1.3 | 0.3                                                      | 0.8 | n.a.                                               |     |
| 2                       | 35                                                        | 120 | 2.0                                    | 2.5 | 1.1                                 | 1.8 | 0.4                                                      | 0.9 | n.a.                                               |     |
| 3                       | 50                                                        | 100 | 2.5                                    | 2.5 | 1.4                                 | 1.9 | 0.5                                                      | 1.2 | 32                                                 | 58  |
| 4                       | 75                                                        | 90  | 1.0                                    | 2.5 | 1.3                                 | 1.8 | 0.5                                                      | 0.9 | 28                                                 | 47  |
| 5                       | 50                                                        | 100 | 1.0                                    | 6.0 | 1.0                                 | 2.3 | 0.4                                                      | 1.5 | 21                                                 | 44  |
| 6                       | 75                                                        | 120 | 1.0                                    | 5.0 | 1.2                                 | 2.2 | 0.3                                                      | 1.8 | 29                                                 | 48  |
| 7                       | 50                                                        | 100 | 2.5                                    | 6.0 | 0.6                                 | 1.4 | 0.0                                                      | 1.8 | 25                                                 | 34  |
| 8                       | 45                                                        | 120 | 2.5                                    | 5.0 | 0.5                                 | 1.6 | 0.4                                                      | 1.8 | 29                                                 | 43  |
| 9                       | <5                                                        | 100 | 1.0                                    | 5.0 | 0.0                                 | 2.0 | 0.0                                                      | 1.8 | 3                                                  | 37  |
| 10                      | <5                                                        | 100 | 1.0                                    | 5.0 | 0.0                                 | 0.7 | 0.0                                                      | 1.4 | 1                                                  | 3   |
| n.a.                    | Not available                                             |     |                                        |     |                                     |     |                                                          |     |                                                    |     |

### 3 Analysis of the experiments

Experimental set points and results are provided in Table 1.

Typically, in the literature, the charring rates of experiments with a radiant heat source are correlated with the external heat flux. This method was found to give a poor fit, for the experiments presented in Table 1. The limited correlation between the charring rate  $\beta_{st}$  and the external radiant heat flux  $\dot{q}_{ext}''$  of the experiments in the FANCI-setup is given in Figure 7. Considering the additional characteristics measured in the FANCI-setup, the prediction of the charring rate could be improved significantly, see Figure 8.

The improved fit of the Figure 8 was achieved by the consideration of the characteristics apparently important to estimate the behaviour of structural timber in fires, graphically shown in Figure 5. These characteristics are (i) the char layer surface regression, (ii) the char layer density, (iii) the conversion of wood to char including the release of combustible gases and conversion losses and (iv) the gas characteristics in the environment including the film temperature between the surface and the moving gas. By systematically analysing the elements (i) to (iv), a general framework for the estimation of the contribution by structural timber could be setup which is presented in Section 3.1. In the experiments, char layer density losses were identified as key elements for the estimation of the amount of smouldering and glowing combustion. Analysing the thickness of the char layer and its relation to the density, a clear systematic trend was observed, see Figure 9.



**Figure 7:** Observed charring rate vs. external heat flux and the correlation coefficient (least squares method).

The trend of the relationship observed in Figure 9 can be described by the following simplified function:


$$\rho_{ch,0} = \frac{230}{\sqrt{h_{ch}}} \quad (6)$$

where:


$\rho_{ch,0}$  is the density of the char layer, in kg/m<sup>3</sup>;

$h_{ch}$  is the thickness of the char layer, in mm.

The relationship described by the Eq. (6) as the model for the prediction of the char layer density was tested against the measurements. The results of the comparison are presented in Figure 10, which shows a good agreement. In Figure 10, additional data from the furnace and the




**Figure 8:** Observed charring rate vs. the superimposed heat flux and the correlation coefficient (least squares method).



**Figure 9:** Developed relation for the char layer density (dry) as function of the char layer thickness.

compartment experiments are included (filled squared). By trend, the additional data show that Eq. (6) delivers a slightly conservative prediction.



**Figure 10:** Measured vs. predicted char layer density.


### 3.1 Timber Charring and Heat Storage model (TiCHS-model)

The TiCHS-model is setup as a framework to describe the behaviour of structural timber in compartment fires. It uses seven elements based on physics applied on a charring material, wood, and the dependencies of the characteristics of major influence factors. The seven elements are

1. the energy provided by structural timber  $e_0$ ;
2. the progression of the char line  $\beta_{st}$ ;
3. the energy released during the conversion of timber to char  $e_1$ ;
4. the energy needed for the conversion of timber to char  $e_2$ ;
5. the energy released during the degradation of the char layer  $e_{ch}$ ;
6. the char layer surface regression  $\beta_{ch}$ ;
7. the consumption of the char layer  $e_3$ .

Capital letter variables  $E_i$  refer to the total energy amount while lowercase letter variables  $e_i$  refer to the specific energy related to one millimetre depth of the material in consideration. With the seven elements, described in the following, the TiCHS-model is able to describe the contribution of structural timber to a compartment fire including the influence of the gas velocity caused by the natural pressure difference in a compartment. In the future, the superimposition with the externally imposed airflow (wind) should be possible which is currently under development. The seven elements of the TiCHS-model represent the energy content during various stages of the structural timber. The

energy content may be released as combustible volatiles, eventually combusted, or remain stored in the section. The elements of the TiCHS-model describe the changes in the timber section and the endothermal and exothermal reactions. The energy content is described using the specific energy content per square meter and millimetre section depth. The seven elements are described in the following paragraphs and are schematically presented for an example of a fire exposed timber section in Figure 11.



**Figure 11:** The TiCHS-model for a structural timber section exposed on one side.

### 1) Energy provided by structural timber $e_0$

The energy provided by structural timber is defined by its energy content depending on the specific heat content, the available density and its moisture content. For structural timber, the energy which can be released under ideal conditions is described in Eq. (1),  $e_0 = 7 \text{ MJ/m}^2$  per mm charring depth. This energy level is represented by the brown horizontal line in Figure 11. The corresponding structural fuel load  $E_{st,10}$  can be derived taking into account the total depth of the section, which contributes to the fire.

### 2) Progression of the char line $\beta_{st}$

The TiCHS-model recognises the progression of charring by an appropriate charring model as sub-model. Currently, the progression of the char line is described by the cumula-

tive temperature charring model proposed by Werther [27] which is implemented in the second draft of the revised Eurocode 5 [28]. The charring process of timber is temperature dependent, regardless the availability of oxygen. In general, combustion in the compartment occurs if the available oxygen concentration is sufficient, assumed to be limited by the oxygen concentration described by Eq. (5).

### 3) Energy released during the conversion of timber to char $e_1$

During the conversion of structural timber material to the char layer material, a certain amount of the potential energy is released as combustible volatiles. When oxygen is available, these gaseous pyrolysis products are combusted and the released heat contributes to the HRR. This combustion can occur directly at the structural timber surface as surface flaming, inside the fire compartment or latest at the façade implying increased external flaming. In the TiCHS-model, the condition for the HRR corresponding to  $e_{vol}^{exo}$  by flaming combustion (see Eq. 7) is assumed with 15% oxygen concentration as for the last element. This exothermal part of the pyrolysis process during the thermal modification of the timber to char can be specified to  $e_{vol}^{exo} = 0.5 \text{ MJ/m}^2$  per mm charring depth. This value for the exothermal amount of energy  $E_{vol}^{exo}$  was estimated based on the literature discussing the production of charcoal. Bunbury [29] reported that about 6% of the total energy stored in the timber are released during the modification process as volatiles. The available energy after the release of the volatiles can be derived to:

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 &= e_{st,10} - e_{vol}^{exo} = 7.0 - 0.5 \\ &= 6.5 \text{ MJ/m}^2 \text{ per mm charring depth} \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

which is shown as blue horizontal line in Figure 11. It should be highlighted that this amount of volatiles is not the only volatiles created during the entire fire duration by structural timber. Apparently, during the subsequent smouldering and glowing combustion, the solid char is converted to volatiles. The smouldering and glowing combustion of the char layer is considered by further two elements in the TiCHS-model, presented in the following.

### 4) Energy needed for the conversion of timber to char $e_2$

The conversion of structural timber material to the char layer material requires a certain amount of energy, which is lost for the energy storage in the char layer. The literature reports a large range for energy needed to create combustible gases. It comprises the heat of gasification and the

energy to heat the solid, understood as the heat of pyrolysis. The values in the literature range between about 8% and 40% of the energy content provided by the source material, i.e.  $E_{st,10}$  [29–32]. It remains unclear whether the energy released and discussed in the above paragraph (see  $e_1$ ) is covered by the listed values. Furthermore, it remains unclear if the heat of gasification is depending on the specimen size, which would be relevant as the studies are normally performed on small-scale samples. If the previously presented amount of created volatiles during the modification process  $E_{vol}^{exo}$  would be a part of the heat of gasification or heat of pyrolysis, the energy content of the created char layer material would be up to about 92%. This value would represent an unrealistically high value for the degree of exploitation. The separate consideration of  $E_{con}^{endo}$  by about 8% in addition to  $E_{vol}^{exo}$  of 6% leads to a more realistic degree of exploitation of about 85%, which represents still a high value. Subsequently, the TiCHS-model recognises the conversion losses by  $e_{con}^{endo} = 0.6 \text{ MJ/m}^2$  and mm charring depth. The total losses by the conversion to the char layer material  $E_{con}^{endo}$  can be estimated taking into account the total depth of the section, which contributes potentially to the fire. The energy available for the smouldering and glowing combustion can be defined to:

$$e_2 = e_{st,10} - e_{vol}^{exo} - e_{con}^{endo} = 7.0 - 0.5 - 0.6 = 5.9 \text{ MJ/m}^2 \text{ per mm charring depth} \quad (8)$$

which is shown as the red horizontal line in Figure 11. This limit is the upper boundary for the potential combustion of the char layer, sometimes referred to as smouldering and glowing combustion or char layer oxidation.

### 5) Combustion of the char layer $e_{ch}$

The main contribution by structural timber to the fire dynamics in a compartment fire is the oxidation of the char layer. The oxidation of the char layer is addressed in the TiCHS-model by two reactions. The decomposition of the char layer is the superior combustion process represented by the loss of the density of the char layer. It appears that the density and, consequently, the losses in density correlate with the thickness of the char layer, see Figure 9 and Eq. (5). During the decomposition of the char layer, mainly hydrocarbons and carbon monoxide are released. The released gases may contribute to flaming, smouldering or glowing combustion. Contrary to the flaming combustion, the smouldering and glowing combustion is assumed to occur in oxygen lean environments as described in the literature, e.g. by Wade [5]. The upper limit for the energy available for the char layer combustion has been discussed

as  $e_2$  in the paragraph above. The lower limit is shown in Figure 11 as the grey horizontal line. For the example presented in the Figure 11, this limit is  $e_3 = 0.9 \text{ MJ/m}^2$  per mm charring depth for the char layer thickness of about 70 mm. By means of the FANCI-experiments, it could be shown that the actually released energy correlates to the external heat flux, the gas velocity and the degree of turbulence at the exposed surface. The limit of  $e_3$  can be derived by the application of the default heat content of the char layer material of  $31 \text{ MJ/kg}$  and Eq. (3) to:

$$e_3 = \frac{230}{\sqrt{h_{ch}}} \cdot \frac{31}{1000} \approx \frac{7}{\sqrt{h_{ch}}} \quad (9)$$

where:

$e_3$  is the lower limit for the char layer combustion; in  $\text{MJ/m}^2$  per mm charring depth;

$h_{ch}$  is the thickness of the char layer, in mm.

Consequently, the limit for the combustion of the char layer can be determined combining Eq. (8) and (9) and further simplified to:

$$e_{ch} \approx 6.0 - \frac{7.0}{\sqrt{h_{ch}}} \quad (10)$$

where:

$e_{ch}$  is the combustion of the char layer; in  $\text{MJ/m}^2$  per mm charring depth;

$h_{ch}$  is the thickness of the char layer, in mm.

### 6) Char layer surface regression $\beta_{ch}$

Typically, in fire resistance tests of solid timber products, no or a very limited char layer surface regression can be observed. Contrary, in experiments at ambient conditions, the char layer is consumed. Generally, the documentation of this characteristic is rare [33]. Schmid *et al.* [10] estimated a limit for the char layer surface regression at an oxygen concentration of 15% by volume. The FANCI-setup allowed the measurement of the char layer surface regression rate. Depending on the experimental set points, values up to  $1.8 \text{ mm/min}$  were observed, see Table 1. In ventilation controlled fire compartments, the oxygen concentration varies significantly. Typically, higher oxygen concentration can be expected in the inflow section and the lower zone before the available oxygen is consumed by the movable fuel arranged on the floor. On the other hand, in the upper zone, a limited oxygen concentration can be expected. In the TiCHS-model, the char layer regression is assumed to be dependent on the oxygen concentration. It is assumed that only the char layer of structural timber in contact with an oxygen rich environment will undergo char layer surface regression.

### 7) Consumption of the char layer $e_3$

Previously, it was predicted that the char layer is consumed by the smouldering and glowing combustion, see description of  $e_3$  above. This consumption is referred back to the oxidation on the expenses of the char layer density. In an oxygen lean environment, the bulk volume of the char remains about constant or increases due to the movement of the char line into the virgin wood. When the compartment environment changes, char layer surface regression may occur. The changing point is typically when the fire starts to decay after reaching the maximum temperature in the compartment. Soon after this point, the oxygen concentration increases. From the observations in the fire tunnel [10] and similar literature results [34] it can be concluded that significant char layer surface regression occurs in oxygen rich environments. Consequently, the TiCHS-model recognises a further combustion mode where the remaining energy content  $e_3$  may be consumed. The FANCI-experiments showed that highly turbulent environments are more aggressive than moderately turbulent flows. Subsequently, the final consumption of the char layer is dependent on the gaseous environment. Depending on the char layer surface regression rate  $\beta_{ch}$ , the energy stored in the density reduced char layer  $e_3$  is consumed. Typical rates for  $\beta_{ch}$ , are given in Table 1.

## 4 Results

This section presents the utilisation of the findings of the experimental campaign and the accordingly developed TiCHS-

model for compartment fires predictions. This is done together with a zone-model, which uses the TiCHS-model to consider the contribution by the structural timber to the fire dynamics. Required outcomes of the zone-model are the temperatures and the oxygen concentrations in the upper and lower zone. It is shown that it is possible to predict the temperature development and the progression of the charring of structural timber. A further outcome of the analysis presented in the subsequent sections are the modification factor  $\alpha_{st}$  introduced in Eq. (4) to consider the combustion behaviour, the energy storage behaviour and the heat release of the char layer, respectively. For the validation, data from compartment experiments available in the literature were used.

### 4.1 Selection of benchmark experiments and general assumptions

Compartment experiments were selected for the comparison of measurements obtained in the experiments with the TiCHS-model predictions. The selection was done based on the availability of measurements and settings of the compartment experiments. The three essential requirements were (i) the availability of data for the total HRR, (ii) the availability of compartment temperature recordings, and, (iii) the inclusion of the performance of a baseline experiment of the particular compartment. A baseline experiment is understood as compartment experiment with similar geometry and movable fire load design but with zero structural fuel load, i.e. the ceiling and all wall surfaces

**Table 2:** Overview of the compartment experiments included in the validation.

| ID  | Compartment floor area<br>$A_f$<br>[m × m] | Ventilation Area <sup>1)</sup><br>$A_v$<br>[%] | Exposed structural timber area<br>$A_{st}$<br>[%] | Exposed elements (ceiling   wall)<br>(c   w) | Reference                           |
|-----|--------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| I   | 3.5 × 4.5                                  | 25                                             | 0                                                 | -                                            | [McGregor 2013]<br>Test 2 and 4     |
| II  | 4.6 × 9.1                                  | 29                                             | 0                                                 | -                                            | [Su <i>et al.</i> 2018]<br>Test 1-1 |
| III | 3.5 × 4.5                                  | 25                                             | 30                                                | w                                            | [Medina 2015]                       |
| IV  | 4.6 × 9.1                                  | 29                                             | 100                                               | c                                            | [Su <i>et al.</i> 2018]<br>Test 1-4 |
| V   | 3.5 × 4.5                                  | 25                                             | 145                                               | w                                            | [Medina 2015]                       |
| VI  | 3.5 × 4.5                                  | 25                                             | 340                                               | w, c                                         | [McGregor 2013]                     |

<sup>1)</sup> Face wall related

c ceiling

w wall(s)