

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2023/4698/P	Akis and Kate Phylaktis	22/12/2023 14:18:20	OBJ	<p>Further to our objection dated 7.12.2023 to the above application, which was made prior to us gaining access to the submitted information, the application has some inaccuracies:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The application is not for minor alteration. It's a new building in the back garden of a residential property.2. The application is for a "pergola". Maybe this is for a different site or an error by the applicant's agent. A pergola is an a open framework over which plants are trained. It is not a fully enclosed swimming pool enclosure.3. Using other pergolas as comparable precedents is inaccurate and not relevant. Maybe this was done in error.4. Proposals are described by the applicant's agent as acceptable from a design, heritage and amenity perspective, preserve local context, character, preserve or historic environment and materials complement and sympathetic to local character, high quality design that does not adversely impact the character of the local area. These inaccurate statements do not apply to site in question. Quite the opposite.5. There is loss of residential garden land in a very small garden.
