				Printed on: 22/12/202	09:10:09
Application No:	<b>Consultees Name:</b>	Received:	Comment:	Response:	
2023/5334/T	D Peck	22/12/2023 07:38:39	OBJ	I object in the strongest manner to the felling of this particular tree. It should be noted it is not in the rear garden. It is a mature tree in full public view on the street by the entrance to the vicarage, and it is a public amenity. The tree is healthy so there is no imminent risk to safety. The excuse provided is specious. Planning permission to redevelop the entire site of the vicarage was awarded several years ago and it is in a state of total disrepair. This tree was earmarked to be preserved at considerable lengths so its value was recognised at that time. It would be relatively straight forward to make the repairs to the steps and the paving stones tha are considered a hazard. Several trees were felled in the rear of the property in anticipation of the redevelopment and yet that has not yet happened. The steps and paving stones are the least of the problem on that property. Is this application a means to remove a tree that is an inconvenience to re-development? The owners of the property have shown a singular disregard for the trees on the site. This is the biggest, most public tree they wish to remove yet.	t

Total: 4