

# 20-24 Kirby Street, Farringdon, London



## Baseline Dust Monitoring Report

784-B049852  
18<sup>th</sup> December 2023

### PRESENTED TO

**Dean Pickard c/o**  
Iconic  
75 Baker Street  
London  
W1U 6RE




### PRESENTED BY




**NALO, Tetra Tech**  
3 Sovereign Square,  
Sovereign Street,  
Leeds,  
LS1 4ER

P: +44 (0) 113 278 7111  
E: [NALO.UK@tetrattech.com](mailto:NALO.UK@tetrattech.com)  
[tetrattech.com](http://tetrattech.com)

## DOCUMENT CONTROL

<b>Document:</b>	<b>Baseline Dust Monitoring Report</b>
<b>Project:</b>	20-24 Kirby Street
<b>Client:</b>	Iconic
<b>Job Number:</b>	784-B049852
<b>File Origin:</b>	\\lds-dc-vm-101\Data\Projects

<b>Issue:</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>Status:</b>	<b>First Issue</b>
<b>Date:</b>	16 <sup>th</sup> November 2023		
<b>Prepared by:</b> Anabel Lilburn Environmental Consultant 	<b>Checked by:</b> Donald Towler-Tinlin Senior Environmental Consultant 	<b>Approved By:</b> Matthew Smith Associate Environmental Consultant 	

<b>Issue:</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>Status:</b>	<b>Second Issue</b>
<b>Date:</b>	18 <sup>th</sup> December 2023		
<b>Prepared by:</b> Philip Bowker Senior Environmental Consultant 	<b>Checked by:</b> Nigel Mann Director 	<b>Approved By:</b> Nigel Mann Director 	

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Tetra Tech Limited have undertaken real-time dust monitoring during from August to November 2023 at two locations, to obtain a 3-month baseline concentration at 20-24 Kirby Street, Farringdon, London, EC1N 8FA.

The locations are representative of the closest sensitive receptors around the site. Monitoring location 1 was located on the north-west corner of the building on the roof, with monitoring location 2 positioned in the south-east corner at ground level.

Tetra Tech Limited Technicians deployed the monitoring pod on site on 9<sup>th</sup> August 2023 and visited the site to undertake routine maintenance and reposition the monitors on 7<sup>th</sup> November 2023.

With reference to published guidance by the Institute of Air Quality Management (*Guidance on Air Quality Monitoring in the Vicinity of Demolition and Construction Sites*, 2018), the real-time monitoring results have been compared utilising a traffic light system. These are detailed in Table NT1 below, which also outlines the action to be taken if each alert level is breached.

**Table NT1      Traffic Light Criteria**

Alert level	Time Period	PM <sub>10</sub> Maximum Permissible 15-minute average (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	PM <sub>2.5</sub> Maximum Permissible 15-minute average (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )
<b>Red</b> (at this level all works to cease immediately, investigate cause of exceedance and use alternative methods where appropriate)	15-minute average	>190 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	>48 µg/m <sup>3</sup>
<b>Amber</b> (continual monitoring and investigation of alternative methods where appropriate)	Two consecutive 15-minute averages	>80 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	>38 µg/m <sup>3</sup>
<b>Green</b> (early warning/no action required)	15-minute average	>80 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	>38 µg/m <sup>3</sup>

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

<b>1.0 INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>2.0 POLICY AND LEGISLATIVE CONTEXT .....</b>	<b>9</b>
2.1 Documents Consulted.....	9
2.2 Air Quality Legislative Framework .....	9
<b>3.0 ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY .....</b>	<b>11</b>
3.1 Background concentrations .....	11
3.2 Pollutant Sources .....	13
3.3 Particulate matter .....	13
<b>4.0 SURVEY METHODOLOGY .....</b>	<b>14</b>
4.1 Air Quality Monitoring Methodology .....	14
<b>5.0 MONITORING LOCATION 1 RESULTS .....</b>	<b>15</b>
5.1 August 2023 .....	15
5.2 September 2023.....	18
5.3 October 2023 .....	21
5.4 November 2023.....	24
<b>6.0 MONITORING LOCATION 2 RESULTS .....</b>	<b>27</b>
6.1 August 2023 .....	27
6.2 September 2023.....	30
6.3 October 2023 .....	33
6.4 November 2023.....	36
<b>7.0 METEOROLOGICAL CONDITIONS.....</b>	<b>39</b>
<b>8.0 MONITORING SUMMARY.....</b>	<b>43</b>
8.1 Maintenance.....	43

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>Table 2-1.</b> Air Quality Standards, Objectives, Limits and Target Values .....	10
<b>Table 3-1.</b> Published Background Air Quality Levels ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ) .....	11
<b>Table 5-1.</b> $\text{PM}_{10}$ 24-hour monitoring results compared with background levels .....	15
<b>Table 5-2.</b> $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ 24-hour monitoring results compared with background levels .....	17
<b>Table 5-3.</b> $\text{PM}_{10}$ 24-hour monitoring results compared with background levels .....	18
<b>Table 5-4.</b> $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ 24-hour monitoring results compared with background levels .....	20
<b>Table 5-5.</b> $\text{PM}_{10}$ 24-hour monitoring results compared with background levels .....	21
<b>Table 5-6.</b> $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ 24-hour monitoring results compared with background levels .....	23
<b>Table 5-7.</b> $\text{PM}_{10}$ 24-hour monitoring results compared with background levels .....	24
<b>Table 5-8.</b> $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ 24-hour monitoring results compared with background levels .....	26
<b>Table 6-1.</b> $\text{PM}_{10}$ 24-hour monitoring results compared with background levels .....	27
<b>Table 6-2.</b> $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ 24-hour monitoring results compared with background levels .....	29
<b>Table 6-3.</b> $\text{PM}_{10}$ 24-hour monitoring results compared with background levels .....	30
<b>Table 6-4.</b> $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ 24-hour monitoring results compared with background levels .....	32
<b>Table 6-5.</b> $\text{PM}_{10}$ 24-hour monitoring results compared with background levels .....	33
<b>Table 6-6.</b> $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ 24-hour monitoring results compared with background levels .....	35
<b>Table 6-7.</b> $\text{PM}_{10}$ 24-hour monitoring results compared with background levels .....	36
<b>Table 6-8.</b> $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ 24-hour monitoring results compared with background levels .....	38
<b>Table 7-1.</b> Recorded meteorological conditions in August 2023 .....	39
<b>Table 7-2.</b> Recorded meteorological conditions in September 2023 .....	40
<b>Table 7-3.</b> Recorded meteorological conditions in October 2023 .....	41
<b>Table 7-4.</b> Recorded meteorological conditions in November 2023 .....	42

## LIST OF FIGURES

<b>Figure 1-1.</b> Satellite Image of Site and Surrounding Area .....	8
<b>Figure 3-1.</b> Modelled Annual Mean $\text{PM}_{10}$ Air Pollution (based on measurements made during 2016) .....	12
<b>Figure 3-2.</b> Modelled Annual Mean $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ Air Pollution (based on measurements made during 2016) .....	12
<b>Figure 5-1.</b> Comparison of On Site Monitored $\text{PM}_{10}$ and Urban Background .....	16
<b>Figure 5-2.</b> Comparison of On Site Monitored $\text{PM}_{10}$ and Urban Background .....	19
<b>Figure 5-3.</b> Comparison of On Site Monitored $\text{PM}_{10}$ and Urban Background .....	22
<b>Figure 5-4.</b> Comparison of On Site Monitored $\text{PM}_{10}$ and Urban Background .....	25
<b>Figure 6-1.</b> Comparison of On Site Monitored $\text{PM}_{10}$ and Urban Background .....	28
<b>Figure 6-2.</b> Comparison of On Site Monitored $\text{PM}_{10}$ and Urban Background .....	31
<b>Figure 6-3.</b> Comparison of On Site Monitored $\text{PM}_{10}$ and Urban Background .....	34
<b>Figure 6-4.</b> Comparison of On Site Monitored $\text{PM}_{10}$ and Urban Background .....	37
<b>Figure A-1.</b> Monitoring Locations at 20-24 Kirby Street Site .....	44
<b>Figure A-2.</b> London City Airport 2019 Meteorological Wind Rose .....	45
<b>Figure A-3.</b> Monitoring Location 1 .....	46
<b>Figure A-4.</b> Monitoring Location 2 .....	47

## APPENDICES

<b>APPENDIX A – FIGURES</b> .....	44
<b>APPENDIX B – REPORT CONDITIONS</b> .....	48

## ACRONYMS/ABBREVIATIONS

Acronyms/Abbreviations	Definition
AADT	Annual Average Daily Traffic
ADMS	Atmospheric Dispersion Modelling Software
AQAL	the Air Quality Assessment Level
AQAP	Air Quality Action Plan
AQMA	Air Quality Management Area
AQO	Air Quality Objectives
AQS	Air Quality Standards
CHP	Combined Heat and Power
CL	Critical Level
CO	Carbon Monoxide
DEFRA	Department for Environment Food & Rural Affairs
EAL	Environmental Assessment Limits
EC	European Commission
EFT	The Emissions Factors Toolkit
EPUK	Environmental Protection UK
EU	European Union
EPAQS	The Expert Panel on Air Quality Standards
IAQM	The Institute of Air Quality Management
LA	Local Authority
LAQM	Local Air Quality Management
NGR	The United Kingdom National Grid Reference
NO	Nitric Oxide
NO <sub>2</sub>	Nitrogen Dioxide
PC	Process Contribution
MHCLG	the Ministry for Housing, Communities and Local Government
NPPF	The National Planning Policy Framework
OS	the UK Ordnance Survey
PEC	Predicted Environment Concentration
PPG	Planning Policy Guidance
PPS	Planning Policy Statements
SAC	Special Areas of Conservation
SPA	Special Protection Area
SSSI	Sites of Special Scientific Interest
VOC	Volatile organic compounds
WHO	World Health Organization
UK	The United Kingdom



## 1.0 INTRODUCTION

Tetra Tech Limited have undertaken real-time dust monitoring of PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub> from August to November 2023 at two locations, to discharge Condition 11a of pre-commencement planning permission ref: 2021/4482/P.

Planning condition 11a states:

*'No demolition or development shall commence until all of the following have been complied with:*

- a. Prior to installing monitors, full details of the air quality monitors have been submitted to and approved in writing by the local planning authority. Such details shall include the location, number and specification of the monitors, including evidence of the fact that they will be installed in line with guidance outlined in the GLA's Control of Dust and Emissions during Construction and Demolition Supplementary Planning Guidance; and*
- b. A confirmation email should be sent to [airquality@camden.gov.uk](mailto:airquality@camden.gov.uk) no later than one day after the monitors have been installed with photographic evidence in line with the approved details; and*
- c. Prior to commencement, a baseline monitoring report including evidence that the monitors have been in place and recording valid air quality data for at least 3 months prior to the proposed implementation date shall be submitted to the Local Planning Authority and approved in writing.'*

The locations are representative of the closest sensitive receptors around the site. Monitoring Location 1 was located on the north-west corner of the fourth floor roof, with Monitoring Location 2 positioned on the south-east side of the third floor roof.

Tetra Tech Limited Technicians deployed the monitoring pod on site on 9th August 2023, and visited the site to undertake routine maintenance and reposition the monitors on 7th November 2023. The purpose of this report is to review the monitored concentrations of PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub> observed during the baseline monitoring period, against criteria determined from appropriate guidance to minimise disruption to nearby sensitive receptors as a result of the proposed works.

The Institute of Air Quality Management (IAQM) 'Guidance on Air Quality Monitoring in the Vicinity of Demolition and Construction Sites' October 2018 guidance outlines the onsite action levels for PM<sub>10</sub>. The action levels for this site are set at a PM<sub>10</sub> concentration of over 190 µg/m<sup>3</sup> over a 15-minute period, a PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration of over 48 µg/m<sup>3</sup> over a 15-minute period for PM<sub>2.5</sub>.

## SITE LOCATION

The central Grid Reference is approximately 531377,181889. The site is bounded to the north by commercial properties situated along St Cross St, to the east by residential and commercial properties on Saffron Hill, to the south by commercial properties and to the west by residential and commercial properties on Kirby Street

Reference should be made to

**Figure 1-1** for a map of the application site and surrounding area.

**Figure 1-1.** Satellite Image of Site and Surrounding Area

Google Imagery (2023)



## 2.0 POLICY AND LEGISLATIVE CONTEXT

### 2.1 DOCUMENTS CONSULTED

The following documents were consulted during the undertaking of this assessment:

#### Legislation and Best Practice Guidance

- The Air Quality Standards (Amendment) Regulations 2016;
- The Air Quality Strategy for England, Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland, 2007;
- The Environment Act, 1995;
- The Environment Act, 2021;
- London Local Air Quality Management Technical Guidance LLAQM.TG(19), DEFRA, 2019;
- Local Air Quality Management Technical Guidance LAQM.TG (22), DEFRA, 2022;
- Guidance on Air Quality Monitoring in the Vicinity of Demolition and Construction Sites, IAQM, 2018;
- London Plan Supplementary Planning Guidance (SPG) 'The Control of Dust and Emissions during Construction and Demolition', July 2014;
- Environmental Protection, England, 'The Environmental Targets (Fine Particulate Matter) (England) Regulations 2023', January 2023.

### 2.2 AIR QUALITY LEGISLATIVE FRAMEWORK

#### European Legislation

European air quality legislation is consolidated under Directive 2008/50/EC, which came into force on 11th June 2008. This Directive consolidates previous legislation which was designed to deal with specific pollutants in a consistent manner and provides new air quality objectives for fine particulates. The consolidated Directives include:

- **Directive 1999/30/EC** – the First Air Quality 'Daughter' Directive – sets ambient air limit values for NO<sub>2</sub> and oxides of nitrogen, sulphur dioxide, lead and PM<sub>10</sub>;
- **Directive 2000/69/EC** – the Second Air Quality 'Daughter' Directive – sets ambient air limit values for benzene and carbon monoxide; and,
- **Directive 2002/3/EC** – the Third Air Quality 'Daughter' Directive – seeks to establish long-term objectives, target values, an alert threshold and an information threshold for concentrations of ozone in ambient air.

The fourth daughter Directive was not included within the consolidation and is described as:

- **Directive 2004/107/EC** – sets health-based limits on polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, cadmium, arsenic, nickel and mercury, for which there is a requirement to reduce exposure to as low as reasonably achievable.

#### UK Legislation

The Air Quality Standards Regulations (Amendments 2016) seek to simplify air quality regulation and provide a new transposition of the Air Quality Framework Directive, First, Second and Third Daughter Directives and

also transpose the Fourth Daughter Directive within the UK. The Air Quality Limit Values are transposed into the updated Regulations as Air Quality Standards, with attainment dates in line with the European Directives. SI 2010 No. 1001, Part 7 Regulation 31 extends powers, under Section 85(5) of the Environment Act (1995), for the Secretary of State to give directions to Local Authorities (LAs) for the implementation of these Directives.

The UK Air Quality Strategy is the method for implementation of the air quality limit values in England, Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland and provides a framework for improving air quality and protecting human health from the effects of pollution.

For each nominated pollutant, the Air Quality Strategy sets clear, measurable, outdoor air quality standards and target dates by which these must be achieved; the combined standard and target date is referred to as the Air Quality Objective (AQO) for that pollutant. Adopted national standards are based on the recommendations of the Expert Panel on Air Quality Standards (EPAQS) and have been translated into a set of Statutory Objectives within the Air Quality (England) Regulations (2000) SI 928, and subsequent amendments. The Environment (Miscellaneous Amendments) (EU Exit) Regulations 2020 amends the AQO for PM<sub>2.5</sub> outlined within the Air Quality Standards Regulations (2010 & 2016 Amendments).

The AQOs for pollutants included within the Air Quality Strategy and assessed as part of the scope of this report are presented in **Table 2-1**, along with European Commission (EC) Directive Limits and World Health Organisation (WHO) Guidelines. The ecological levels are based on WHO and CLRTAP (Convention on Long-range Transboundary Air Pollution) guidance.

**Table 2-1.** Air Quality Standards, Objectives, Limits and Target Values

Pollutant	Applies	Objective	Concentration Measured as <sup>10</sup>	Date to be achieved and maintained thereafter	European Obligations	Date to be achieved and maintained thereafter	New or existing
PM <sub>10</sub>	UK	50 µg/m <sup>3</sup> by end of 2004 (max 35 exceedances a year)	24-hour Mean	1 <sup>st</sup> January 2005	50 µg/m <sup>3</sup> by end of 2004 (max 35 exceedances a year)	1 <sup>st</sup> January 2005	Retain Existing
	UK	40 µg/m <sup>3</sup> by end of 2004	Annual Mean	1 <sup>st</sup> January 2005	40 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	1 <sup>st</sup> January 2005	
PM <sub>2.5</sub>	UK	10 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	Annual Mean	31 <sup>st</sup> December 2040	-	-	New

There are currently no UK or EU objectives for PM<sub>1</sub>.

## 3.0 ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY



### 3.1 BACKGROUND CONCENTRATIONS

Background concentrations as used within the prediction calculations were referenced from the UK National Air Quality Information Archive database based on the National Grid Co-ordinates of 1 x 1 km grid squares nearest to the development site. In August 2020, DEFRA issued revised 2018 based background maps for PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub> which incorporate updates to the input data used for modelling. 2019 and 2020 background maps have been utilised to assess the significance of monitored levels. The updated mapped background concentrations used in the assessment are summarised in **Table 3-1**.

**Table 3-1.** Published Background Air Quality Levels (µg/m<sup>3</sup>)

UK NGR(m)		2019		2020	
X	Y	PM <sub>10</sub>	PM <sub>2.5</sub>	PM <sub>10</sub>	PM <sub>2.5</sub>
531377	181889	20.34	12.92	19.72	12.56

London Air's annual mean pollution map uses a detailed model to show a prediction of PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub> annual averages across the whole of Greater London. The latest accurate model is available for the year of 2016. The detailed annual mean pollution maps are displayed in **Figure 3-1** and **Figure 3-2**.

**Figure 3-1.** Modelled Annual Mean PM<sub>10</sub> Air Pollution (based on measurements made during 2016)**Figure 3-2.** Modelled Annual Mean PM<sub>2.5</sub> Air Pollution (based on measurements made during 2016)

## 3.2 POLLUTANT SOURCES

---

The main potential effects of dust and particulate matter are:

- Visual – dust plume, reduced visibility, coating and soiling of surfaces leading to annoyance, loss of amenity, the need to clean surfaces;
- Physical and/or chemical contamination and corrosion of artefacts;
- Coating of vegetation and soil contamination; and,
- Health effects due to inhalation e.g. asthma or irritation of the eyes.

A number of other factors such as the amount of precipitation and other meteorological conditions will also greatly influence the amount of particulate matter generated.

Activities can give rise to short-term elevated dust/PM<sub>10</sub> concentrations in neighbouring areas. This may arise from vehicle movements, soiling of the public highway, demolition or windblown stockpiles.

## 3.3 PARTICULATE MATTER

---

The UK Air Quality Standards seek to control the health implications of respirable PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub>. However, the majority of particles released from construction will be greater than this in size.

Demolition works on site have the potential to elevate localised PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations in the area. On this basis, mitigation measures should still be taken to minimise these emissions as part of good site practice.

Particulate matter is made up of a collection of solid and/or liquids materials of various sizes. The particles are released into the atmosphere by numerous sources with the major sources being created by road transport. Emissions of dust can also generate high concentrations of particulate matter.

Particulate matter requires monitoring due to the impacts on human health that large amounts of exposure can cause.



## 4.0 SURVEY METHODOLOGY

### 4.1 AIR QUALITY MONITORING METHODOLOGY

Particulate Matter monitoring was undertaken at each of the monitoring locations as identified in Figure 1. Particulate Matter monitoring was undertaken using two Zephyr Monitors which are small battery-operated monitoring devices. These devices record levels of PM<sub>10</sub>, PM<sub>2.5</sub> and PM<sub>1</sub> constantly in 15-minute intervals.

The monitored results were compared to urban background monitored values of PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub> monitored by London Air ([www.londonair.org.uk](http://www.londonair.org.uk)). The urban background values were monitored at Tower Hamlets – Jubilee Park, as it was deemed the most representative of the site due to it being the closest monitoring location with data to cover the full three month period.

Detailed results of exceedances of the 'red' limit are outlined in Appendix A.

The results of the particulate matter monitoring survey are presented in the tables below.

The results of the Construction Phase Air Quality Monitoring will be presented in hourly and 15 minute averages.


## 5.0 MONITORING LOCATION 1 RESULTS

### 5.1 AUGUST 2023

The 24-hour monitoring results have been compared to background levels.

**Table 5-1.** PM<sub>10</sub> 24-hour monitoring results compared with background levels

Date	Average 24 hr Period PM <sub>10</sub> Monitored (µg/m <sup>3</sup> ) on site	Max 24 hr Period PM <sub>10</sub> Monitored (µg/m <sup>3</sup> ) on site	Min 24 hr Period PM <sub>10</sub> Monitored (µg/m <sup>3</sup> ) on site	Average 24 hr Period PM <sub>10</sub> Monitored at Urban Background AURN	Difference Between 24 hr Monitored Background and On Site PM <sub>10</sub> (%)
09/08/2023	4.88	12.40	1.15	7.55	-35
10/08/2023	12.98	18.52	5.01	9.36	39
11/08/2023	2.88	18.63	0.70	8.58	-66
12/08/2023	2.56	5.41	0.53	10.78	-76
13/08/2023	3.28	7.53	1.41	6.89	-52
14/08/2023	2.74	7.23	1.18	7.48	-63
15/08/2023	2.56	4.33	0.72	7.88	-67
16/08/2023	5.16	11.47	2.22	14.87	-65
17/08/2023	1.52	3.90	0.00	18.46	-92
18/08/2023	2.70	6.44	0.43	32.87	-92
19/08/2023	1.78	3.44	0.07	11.06	-84
20/08/2023	2.40	8.26	0.72	8.88	-73
21/08/2023	2.76	5.82	1.58	8.40	-67
22/08/2023	2.41	5.22	0.63	8.03	-70
23/08/2023	3.07	4.77	1.22	10.65	-71
24/08/2023	5.03	9.44	2.25	15.08	-67
25/08/2023	1.55	3.90	0.00	7.43	-79
26/08/2023	2.62	6.44	0.43	5.98	-56
27/08/2023	1.84	3.84	0.07	5.10	-64
28/08/2023	2.38	8.26	0.72	6.61	-64
29/08/2023	2.73	5.82	1.58	7.98	-66
30/08/2023	2.45	5.22	0.63	8.30	-70
31/08/2023	3.00	4.77	1.22	8.36	-64

**Figure 5-1. Comparison of On Site Monitored PM<sub>10</sub> and Urban Background**

**Table 5-2.** PM<sub>2.5</sub> 24-hour monitoring results compared with background levels

Date	Average 24 hr Period PM <sub>2.5</sub> Monitored (µg/m³) on site	Average 24 hr Period PM <sub>2.5</sub> Monitored at Urban Background AURN	Difference Between 24 hr Monitored Background and On Site PM <sub>2.5</sub> (%)
09/08/2023	2.82	3.99	-29
10/08/2023	2.67	5.28	-49
11/08/2023	2.93	4.88	-40
12/08/2023	2.92	6.37	-54
13/08/2023	2.99	4.00	-25
14/08/2023	3.09	4.33	-29
15/08/2023	3.22	4.18	-23
16/08/2023	2.76	6.40	-57
17/08/2023	3.36	7.53	-55
18/08/2023	3.58	22.83	-84
19/08/2023	3.81	6.70	-43
20/08/2023	3.59	5.66	-37
21/08/2023	3.36	5.08	-34
22/08/2023	4.29	4.30	0
23/08/2023	3.56	5.49	-35
24/08/2023	3.45	7.88	-56
25/08/2023	3.37	3.21	5
26/08/2023	3.54	3.68	-4
27/08/2023	3.82	2.86	33
28/08/2023	3.60	3.83	-6
29/08/2023	3.39	4.56	-26
30/08/2023	4.30	4.29	0
31/08/2023	3.54	4.81	-26

The total data capture for this period was 98.5%.


## 5.2 SEPTEMBER 2023

The 24-hour monitoring results have been compared to background levels.

**Table 5-3.** PM<sub>10</sub> 24-hour monitoring results compared with background levels

Date	Average 24 hr Period PM <sub>10</sub> Monitored (µg/m <sup>3</sup> ) on site	Max 24 hr Period PM <sub>10</sub> Monitored (µg/m <sup>3</sup> ) on site	Min 24 hr Period PM <sub>10</sub> Monitored (µg/m <sup>3</sup> ) on site	Average 24 hr Period PM <sub>10</sub> Monitored at Urban Background AURN	Difference Between 24 hr Monitored Background and On Site PM <sub>10</sub> (%)
01/09/2023	6.79	12.41	4.30	9.34	-27
02/09/2023	11.50	19.39	5.12	15.24	-25
03/09/2023	15.43	22.43	8.91	19.34	-20
04/09/2023	15.40	25.34	6.18	29.83	-48
05/09/2023	16.31	24.90	7.80	28.89	-44
06/09/2023	20.08	45.41	12.13	42.25	-52
07/09/2023	27.54	47.65	10.93	52.72	-48
08/09/2023	16.60	40.26	9.89	34.95	-53
09/09/2023	17.04	37.91	12.66	45.13	-62
10/09/2023	29.68	65.58	7.96	56.44	-47
11/09/2023	10.15	21.97	3.94	15.76	-36
12/09/2023	4.74	8.73	0.76	9.72	-51
13/09/2023	3.90	6.65	0.97	10.45	-63
14/09/2023	4.46	6.25	3.16	9.67	-54
15/09/2023	10.45	16.62	5.61	20.27	-48
16/09/2023	13.84	22.42	5.95	22.55	-39
17/09/2023	18.16	28.03	7.22	25.33	-28
18/09/2023	3.98	16.05	1.96	8.62	-54
19/09/2023	3.32	5.98	1.66	9.97	-67
20/09/2023	3.79	7.79	1.01	10.74	-65
21/09/2023	2.83	6.77	1.22	10.34	-73
22/09/2023	3.86	7.26	1.43	9.68	-60
23/09/2023	3.51	7.40	1.85	8.84	-60
24/09/2023	3.83	4.57	3.20	11.52	-67
25/09/2023	4.11	5.73	3.14	13.79	-70
26/09/2023	4.73	8.61	2.94	12.20	-61
27/09/2023	5.25	8.41	3.27	15.02	-65
28/09/2023	3.66	6.96	2.15	15.29	-76
29/09/2023	3.77	6.65	0.57	9.08	-58
30/09/2023	3.56	5.15	2.43	12.82	-72



Figure 5-2. Comparison of On Site Monitored PM<sub>10</sub> and Urban Background

**Table 5-4.** PM<sub>2.5</sub> 24-hour monitoring results compared with background levels

Date	Average 24 hr Period PM <sub>2.5</sub> Monitored (µg/m³) on site	Average 24 hr Period PM <sub>2.5</sub> Monitored at Urban Background AURN	Difference Between 24 hr Monitored Background and On Site PM <sub>2.5</sub> (%)
01/09/2023	5.03	11.08	-55
02/09/2023	8.19	14.97	-45
03/09/2023	12.19	20.24	-40
04/09/2023	11.84	17.70	-33
05/09/2023	13.17	25.32	-48
06/09/2023	16.52	36.47	-55
07/09/2023	23.04	22.18	4
08/09/2023	13.94	27.69	-50
09/09/2023	14.06	41.79	-66
10/09/2023	23.57	9.91	138
11/09/2023	7.79	4.86	60
12/09/2023	3.24	5.00	-35
13/09/2023	2.10	5.31	-60
14/09/2023	2.61	10.30	-75
15/09/2023	8.13	13.86	-41
16/09/2023	11.59	18.38	-37
17/09/2023	15.52	4.29	262
18/09/2023	2.49	4.44	-44
19/09/2023	1.78	4.65	-62
20/09/2023	2.29	4.88	-53
21/09/2023	1.39	4.09	-66
22/09/2023	2.43	4.97	-51
23/09/2023	1.67	6.41	-74
24/09/2023	2.05	7.68	-73
25/09/2023	2.12	7.20	-71
26/09/2023	2.93	8.41	-65
27/09/2023	3.47	7.80	-55
28/09/2023	1.49	4.99	-70
29/09/2023	2.00	7.22	-72
30/09/2023	1.63	3.54	-54


The total data capture for this period was 100.0%.

## 5.3 OCTOBER 2023

The 24-hour monitoring results have been compared to background levels.

**Table 5-5.** PM<sub>10</sub> 24-hour monitoring results compared with background levels

Date	Average 24 hr Period PM <sub>10</sub> Monitored (µg/m <sup>3</sup> ) on site	Max 24 hr Period PM <sub>10</sub> Monitored (µg/m <sup>3</sup> ) on site	Min 24 hr Period PM <sub>10</sub> Monitored (µg/m <sup>3</sup> ) on site	Average 24 hr Period PM <sub>10</sub> Monitored at Urban Background AURN	Difference Between 24 hr Monitored Background and On Site PM <sub>10</sub> (%)
01/10/2023	6.21	7.94	4.66	12.84	-52
02/10/2023	10.20	18.30	4.86	15.26	-33
03/10/2023	4.14	6.77	1.22	8.73	-53
04/10/2023	3.66	8.98	1.95	10.57	-65
05/10/2023	4.22	9.13	2.59	10.27	-59
06/10/2023	5.47	11.11	2.91	10.79	-49
07/10/2023	7.36	12.04	4.03	13.91	-47
08/10/2023	8.40	13.19	5.97	14.80	-43
09/10/2023	11.95	18.09	8.89	24.34	-51
10/10/2023	13.08	15.79	9.84	22.09	-41
11/10/2023	11.54	16.03	6.93	23.98	-52
12/10/2023	6.97	14.11	1.46	9.39	-26
13/10/2023	4.34	8.05	0.68	13.92	-69
14/10/2023	3.55	11.22	1.56	8.07	-56
15/10/2023	4.36	22.06	1.54	8.11	-46
16/10/2023	9.06	22.42	3.52	15.02	-40
17/10/2023	4.51	7.10	3.26	18.71	-76
18/10/2023	7.12	11.70	0.55	15.63	-54
19/10/2023	3.70	7.91	0.70	10.32	-64
20/10/2023	4.77	11.51	0.93	7.00	-32
21/10/2023	3.34	9.76	0.75	5.85	-43
22/10/2023	3.85	7.09	2.32	7.14	-46
23/10/2023	7.38	12.99	3.37	16.26	-55
24/10/2023	8.72	17.15	2.88	11.16	-22
25/10/2023	10.58	19.23	4.52	12.91	-18
26/10/2023	6.56	17.01	2.71	10.91	-40
27/10/2023	3.85	7.04	2.06	8.37	-54
28/10/2023	2.58	4.93	0.90	7.23	-64
29/10/2023	1.67	2.71	0.76	7.38	-77
30/10/2023	3.42	6.80	1.60	11.68	-71
31/10/2023	4.75	10.62	1.38	8.48	-44

**Figure 5-3. Comparison of On Site Monitored PM<sub>10</sub> and Urban Background**

**Table 5-6.** PM<sub>2.5</sub> 24-hour monitoring results compared with background levels

Date	Average 24 hr Period PM <sub>2.5</sub> Monitored (µg/m³) on site	Average 24 hr Period PM <sub>2.5</sub> Monitored at Urban Background AURN	Difference Between 24 hr Monitored Background and On Site PM <sub>2.5</sub> (%)
01/10/2023	4.33	9.26	-53
02/10/2023	8.12	9.89	-18
03/10/2023	2.51	4.65	-46
04/10/2023	1.95	5.17	-62
05/10/2023	2.57	5.31	-52
06/10/2023	4.05	5.86	-31
07/10/2023	5.55	7.79	-29
08/10/2023	6.42	8.69	-26
09/10/2023	9.83	12.81	-23
10/10/2023	10.85	12.61	-14
11/10/2023	9.15	12.77	-28
12/10/2023	5.88	6.24	-6
13/10/2023	3.05	7.09	-57
14/10/2023	1.82	4.28	-58
15/10/2023	2.31	4.55	-49
16/10/2023	7.30	7.38	-1
17/10/2023	2.69	6.32	-57
18/10/2023	5.67	7.77	-27
19/10/2023	2.64	5.81	-55
20/10/2023	3.88	4.12	-6
21/10/2023	2.33	3.49	-33
22/10/2023	2.52	4.11	-39
23/10/2023	6.11	8.25	-26
24/10/2023	7.09	7.32	-3
25/10/2023	8.67	8.55	1
26/10/2023	5.16	6.69	-23
27/10/2023	2.69	4.90	-45
28/10/2023	1.52	3.92	-61
29/10/2023	0.61	3.93	-84
30/10/2023	2.18	6.30	-65
31/10/2023	3.79	4.81	-21

The total data capture for this period was 100.0%.




## 5.4 NOVEMBER 2023

The 24-hour monitoring results have been compared to background levels.

**Table 5-7.** PM<sub>10</sub> 24-hour monitoring results compared with background levels

Date	Average 24 hr Period PM <sub>10</sub> Monitored (µg/m <sup>3</sup> ) on site	Max 24 hr Period PM <sub>10</sub> Monitored (µg/m <sup>3</sup> ) on site	Min 24 hr Period PM <sub>10</sub> Monitored (µg/m <sup>3</sup> ) on site	Average 24 hr Period PM <sub>10</sub> Monitored at Urban Background AURN	Difference Between 24 hr Monitored Background and On Site PM <sub>10</sub> (%)
01/11/2023	5.26	11.06	3.81	9.41	-44
02/11/2023	5.31	10.79	3.06	6.47	-18
03/11/2023	6.97	24.31	3.39	10.89	-36
04/11/2023	9.11	27.52	3.31	11.34	-20
05/11/2023	12.86	32.43	2.88	11.56	11
06/11/2023	6.11	13.14	3.69	10.39	-41
07/11/2023	7.15	12.41	4.34	8.32	-14
08/11/2023	6.51	15.48	3.46	8.32	-22
09/11/2023	6.06	10.24	3.74	8.32	-27

**Figure 5-4. Comparison of On Site Monitored PM<sub>10</sub> and Urban Background**

**Table 5-8.** PM<sub>2.5</sub> 24-hour monitoring results compared with background levels

Date	Average 24 hr Period PM <sub>2.5</sub> Monitored (µg/m³) on site	Average 24 hr Period PM <sub>2.5</sub> Monitored at Urban Background AURN	Difference Between 24 hr Monitored Background and On Site PM <sub>2.5</sub> (%)
01/11/2023	2.77	4.67	-41
02/11/2023	2.47	2.22	11
03/11/2023	4.38	3.63	21
04/11/2023	5.60	5.61	0
05/11/2023	8.97	4.64	93
06/11/2023	3.68	2.88	28
07/11/2023	4.28	2.92	47
08/11/2023	3.53	3.02	17
09/11/2023	3.58	3.74	-4

The total data capture for this period was 100.0%.


## 6.0 MONITORING LOCATION 2 RESULTS

### 6.1 AUGUST 2023

The 24-hour monitoring results have been compared to background levels.

**Table 6-1.** PM<sub>10</sub> 24-hour monitoring results compared with background levels

Date	Average 24 hr Period PM <sub>10</sub> Monitored (µg/m <sup>3</sup> ) on site	Max 24 hr Period PM <sub>10</sub> Monitored (µg/m <sup>3</sup> ) on site	Min 24 hr Period PM <sub>10</sub> Monitored (µg/m <sup>3</sup> ) on site	Average 24 hr Period PM <sub>10</sub> Monitored at Urban Background AURN	Difference Between 24 hr Monitored Background and On Site PM <sub>10</sub> (%)
09/08/2023	10.11	20.99	4.05	7.55	34
10/08/2023	29.59	43.93	10.93	9.36	216
11/08/2023	8.17	39.53	3.94	8.58	-5
12/08/2023	7.26	13.17	3.29	10.78	-33
13/08/2023	7.76	12.50	4.81	6.89	13
14/08/2023	6.87	15.85	4.14	7.48	-8
15/08/2023	6.83	10.48	4.20	7.88	-13
16/08/2023	10.72	22.48	5.92	14.87	-28
17/08/2023	4.87	8.90	2.41	18.46	-74
18/08/2023	6.87	12.54	3.06	32.87	-79
19/08/2023	5.15	8.19	2.64	11.06	-53
20/08/2023	6.26	16.14	3.34	8.88	-30
21/08/2023	7.05	10.69	5.16	8.40	-16
22/08/2023	6.68	13.32	3.82	8.03	-17
23/08/2023	7.71	10.67	5.03	10.65	-28
24/08/2023	11.20	19.87	5.68	15.08	-26
25/08/2023	4.56	7.50	2.31	7.43	-39
26/08/2023	5.94	11.18	2.85	5.98	-1
27/08/2023	4.81	7.42	2.65	5.10	-6
28/08/2023	5.73	14.99	3.24	6.61	-13
29/08/2023	6.22	12.19	4.35	7.98	-22
30/08/2023	6.00	12.78	3.77	8.30	-28
31/08/2023	6.51	9.16	4.21	8.36	-22

**Figure 6-1.** Comparison of On Site Monitored PM<sub>10</sub> and Urban Background



**Table 6-2.** PM<sub>2.5</sub> 24-hour monitoring results compared with background levels

Date	Average 24 hr Period PM <sub>2.5</sub> Monitored (µg/m³) on site	Average 24 hr Period PM <sub>2.5</sub> Monitored at Urban Background AURN	Difference Between 24 hr Monitored Background and On Site PM <sub>2.5</sub> (%)
09/08/2023	6.63	3.99	66
10/08/2023	17.35	5.28	229
11/08/2023	4.85	4.88	-1
12/08/2023	4.62	6.37	-27
13/08/2023	5.05	4.00	26
14/08/2023	4.59	4.33	6
15/08/2023	4.82	4.18	15
16/08/2023	6.96	6.40	9
17/08/2023	3.53	7.53	-53
18/08/2023	4.22	22.83	-82
19/08/2023	3.36	6.70	-50
20/08/2023	4.19	5.66	-26
21/08/2023	4.61	5.08	-9
22/08/2023	4.41	4.30	2
23/08/2023	4.59	5.49	-16
24/08/2023	6.94	7.88	-12
25/08/2023	3.69	3.21	15
26/08/2023	4.05	3.68	10
27/08/2023	3.46	2.86	21
28/08/2023	4.27	3.83	11
29/08/2023	4.73	4.56	4
30/08/2023	4.44	4.29	3
31/08/2023	4.64	4.81	-4


The total data capture for this period was 98.3%.

## 6.2 SEPTEMBER 2023

The 24-hour monitoring results have been compared to background levels.

**Table 6-3.** PM<sub>10</sub> 24-hour monitoring results compared with background levels

Date	Average 24 hr Period PM <sub>10</sub> Monitored (µg/m <sup>3</sup> ) on site	Max 24 hr Period PM <sub>10</sub> Monitored (µg/m <sup>3</sup> ) on site	Min 24 hr Period PM <sub>10</sub> Monitored (µg/m <sup>3</sup> ) on site	Average 24 hr Period PM <sub>10</sub> Monitored at Urban Background AURN	Difference Between 24 hr Monitored Background and On Site PM <sub>10</sub> (%)
01/09/2023	11.20	19.87	5.68	9.34	20
02/09/2023	18.58	31.83	6.94	15.24	22
03/09/2023	24.38	37.42	11.97	19.34	26
04/09/2023	24.78	43.33	7.12	29.83	-17
05/09/2023	25.93	41.57	9.83	28.89	-10
06/09/2023	31.50	67.56	18.03	42.25	-25
07/09/2023	41.93	68.37	14.86	52.72	-20
08/09/2023	25.10	60.66	14.58	34.95	-28
09/09/2023	26.54	55.49	17.65	45.13	-41
10/09/2023	45.30	97.15	10.20	56.44	-20
11/09/2023	15.98	37.73	4.61	15.76	1
12/09/2023	7.74	13.23	3.19	9.72	-20
13/09/2023	6.78	11.19	3.50	10.45	-35
14/09/2023	6.74	9.93	4.41	9.67	-30
15/09/2023	15.99	27.14	6.59	20.27	-21
16/09/2023	21.10	37.72	6.90	22.55	-6
17/09/2023	29.69	45.30	11.58	25.33	17
18/09/2023	7.07	26.73	3.59	8.62	-18
19/09/2023	6.89	10.63	4.34	11.47	-40
20/09/2023	7.86	13.68	4.45	11.43	-31
21/09/2023	5.80	12.69	3.79	11.52	-50
22/09/2023	7.12	19.19	3.27	8.38	-15
23/09/2023	6.10	12.11	3.70	8.84	-31
24/09/2023	7.32	16.90	5.68	11.52	-36
25/09/2023	7.43	9.92	5.37	13.79	-46
26/09/2023	8.52	15.58	4.95	12.20	-30
27/09/2023	9.83	13.84	6.27	15.02	-35
28/09/2023	7.17	9.57	4.11	15.29	-53
29/09/2023	6.64	12.00	3.34	9.08	-27
30/09/2023	6.62	10.95	3.80	12.82	-48

**Figure 6-2. Comparison of On Site Monitored PM<sub>10</sub> and Urban Background**

**Table 6-4.** PM<sub>2.5</sub> 24-hour monitoring results compared with background levels

Date	Average 24 hr Period PM <sub>2.5</sub> Monitored (µg/m³) on site	Average 24 hr Period PM <sub>2.5</sub> Monitored at Urban Background AURN	Difference Between 24 hr Monitored Background and On Site PM <sub>2.5</sub> (%)
01/09/2023	6.94	11.08	-37
02/09/2023	11.62	14.97	-22
03/09/2023	15.42	20.24	-24
04/09/2023	15.37	17.70	-13
05/09/2023	16.18	25.32	-36
06/09/2023	19.85	36.47	-46
07/09/2023	27.22	22.18	23
08/09/2023	16.73	27.69	-40
09/09/2023	17.12	41.79	-59
10/09/2023	29.25	9.91	195
11/09/2023	10.20	4.86	110
12/09/2023	4.72	5.00	-6
13/09/2023	4.10	5.31	-23
14/09/2023	4.53	10.30	-56
15/09/2023	10.68	13.86	-23
16/09/2023	13.78	18.38	-25
17/09/2023	18.64	4.29	335
18/09/2023	4.10	4.62	-11
19/09/2023	3.28	4.30	-24
20/09/2023	3.87	4.69	-17
21/09/2023	2.99	4.41	-32
22/09/2023	4.63	4.97	-7
23/09/2023	3.78	6.41	-41
24/09/2023	4.06	7.68	-47
25/09/2023	4.15	7.20	-42
26/09/2023	4.88	8.41	-42
27/09/2023	5.43	7.80	-30
28/09/2023	3.58	4.99	-28
29/09/2023	3.84	7.22	-47
30/09/2023	3.68	4.29	-14


The total data capture for this period was 100.0%.

## 6.3 OCTOBER 2023

The 24-hour monitoring results have been compared to background levels.

**Table 6-5.** PM<sub>10</sub> 24-hour monitoring results compared with background levels

Date	Average 24 hr Period PM <sub>10</sub> Monitored (µg/m <sup>3</sup> ) on site	Max 24 hr Period PM <sub>10</sub> Monitored (µg/m <sup>3</sup> ) on site	Min 24 hr Period PM <sub>10</sub> Monitored (µg/m <sup>3</sup> ) on site	Average 24 hr Period PM <sub>10</sub> Monitored at Urban Background AURN	Difference Between 24 hr Monitored Background and On Site PM <sub>10</sub> (%)
01/10/2023	11.62	14.50	8.88	12.84	-10
02/10/2023	17.41	30.55	9.08	15.26	14
03/10/2023	7.38	12.41	3.39	8.73	-15
04/10/2023	7.14	14.38	4.11	10.57	-32
05/10/2023	7.07	13.69	5.38	10.27	-31
06/10/2023	9.03	13.67	6.51	10.79	-16
07/10/2023	12.07	19.79	7.61	13.91	-13
08/10/2023	13.33	21.15	8.10	14.80	-10
09/10/2023	18.75	27.95	12.95	24.34	-23
10/10/2023	20.52	25.63	13.95	22.09	-7
11/10/2023	19.30	27.04	12.48	23.98	-20
12/10/2023	12.55	23.68	4.91	9.39	34
13/10/2023	11.28	26.03	3.42	13.92	-19
14/10/2023	7.82	27.84	3.58	8.07	-3
15/10/2023	7.41	34.96	3.07	8.11	-9
16/10/2023	14.47	35.93	4.67	15.02	-4
17/10/2023	7.43	12.00	4.12	18.71	-60
18/10/2023	12.84	21.09	3.63	15.63	-18
19/10/2023	8.28	16.01	3.91	10.32	-20
20/10/2023	9.23	21.16	3.45	7.00	32
21/10/2023	9.57	27.92	3.16	5.85	64
22/10/2023	7.96	21.45	3.12	7.14	11
23/10/2023	13.94	39.71	6.91	16.26	-14
24/10/2023	15.37	28.59	4.20	11.16	38
25/10/2023	17.61	31.04	7.00	12.91	36
26/10/2023	12.42	27.78	5.42	10.91	14
27/10/2023	8.58	24.78	3.49	8.37	3
28/10/2023	6.82	11.29	4.28	7.23	-6
29/10/2023	5.15	7.58	3.63	7.38	-30
30/10/2023	8.19	17.67	5.06	11.68	-30
31/10/2023	9.27	16.83	4.26	8.48	9

**Figure 6-3. Comparison of On Site Monitored PM<sub>10</sub> and Urban Background**

**Table 6-6.** PM<sub>2.5</sub> 24-hour monitoring results compared with background levels

Date	Average 24 hr Period PM <sub>2.5</sub> Monitored (µg/m³) on site	Average 24 hr Period PM <sub>2.5</sub> Monitored at Urban Background AURN	Difference Between 24 hr Monitored Background and On Site PM <sub>2.5</sub> (%)
01/10/2023	6.44	9.26	-30
02/10/2023	10.67	9.89	8
03/10/2023	4.31	4.65	-7
04/10/2023	4.13	5.17	-20
05/10/2023	4.20	5.31	-21
06/10/2023	5.38	5.86	-8
07/10/2023	7.53	7.79	-3
08/10/2023	8.63	8.69	-1
09/10/2023	12.13	12.81	-5
10/10/2023	13.28	12.61	5
11/10/2023	11.93	12.77	-7
12/10/2023	7.51	6.24	20
13/10/2023	6.86	7.09	-3
14/10/2023	4.77	4.28	12
15/10/2023	4.67	4.55	3
16/10/2023	9.21	7.38	25
17/10/2023	4.71	6.32	-25
18/10/2023	7.83	7.77	1
19/10/2023	4.08	5.81	-30
20/10/2023	5.51	4.12	34
21/10/2023	5.83	3.49	67
22/10/2023	5.25	4.11	28
23/10/2023	8.90	8.25	8
24/10/2023	9.98	7.32	36
25/10/2023	11.13	8.55	30
26/10/2023	7.53	6.69	12
27/10/2023	4.95	4.90	1
28/10/2023	3.05	3.92	-22
29/10/2023	1.85	3.93	-53
30/10/2023	4.20	6.30	-33
31/10/2023	5.42	4.81	13

The total data capture for this period was 100.0%.


## 6.4 NOVEMBER 2023

The 24-hour monitoring results have been compared to background levels.

**Table 6-7.** PM<sub>10</sub> 24-hour monitoring results compared with background levels

Date	Average 24 hr Period PM <sub>10</sub> Monitored (µg/m <sup>3</sup> ) on site	Max 24 hr Period PM <sub>10</sub> Monitored (µg/m <sup>3</sup> ) on site	Min 24 hr Period PM <sub>10</sub> Monitored (µg/m <sup>3</sup> ) on site	Average 24 hr Period PM <sub>10</sub> Monitored at Urban Background AURN	Difference Between 24 hr Monitored Background and On Site PM <sub>10</sub> (%)
01/11/2023	7.07	16.25	4.30	9.41	-25
02/11/2023	6.61	11.35	3.54	6.47	2
03/11/2023	8.69	28.05	4.38	10.89	-20
04/11/2023	11.36	31.28	3.99	11.34	0
05/11/2023	14.98	34.84	3.21	11.56	30
06/11/2023	7.55	15.43	4.54	10.39	-27
07/11/2023	8.38	14.95	4.83	8.63	-3
08/11/2023	8.24	17.29	4.16	9.35	-12
09/11/2023	7.60	12.74	4.36	9.38	-19



**Figure 6-4. Comparison of On Site Monitored PM<sub>10</sub> and Urban Background**

**Table 6-8.** PM<sub>2.5</sub> 24-hour monitoring results compared with background levels

Date	Average 24 hr Period PM <sub>2.5</sub> Monitored (µg/m³) on site	Average 24 hr Period PM <sub>2.5</sub> Monitored at Urban Background AURN	Difference Between 24 hr Monitored Background and On Site PM <sub>2.5</sub> (%)
01/11/2023	3.17	4.94	-36
02/11/2023	2.72	3.48	-22
03/11/2023	4.61	5.62	-18
04/11/2023	5.90	7.37	-20
05/11/2023	9.09	8.59	6
06/11/2023	4.16	5.46	-24
07/11/2023	4.56	4.94	-8
08/11/2023	4.03	5.57	-28
09/11/2023	3.75	4.97	-25

The total data capture for this period was 100.0%.

## 7.0 METEOROLOGICAL CONDITIONS

Below is a summary of the meteorological conditions recorded at London City Airport during each month.

**Table 7-1.** Recorded meteorological conditions in August 2023

Date	Wind Directions	Wind Speed (km/h)	Weather Conditions
09/08/2023	Various	9	Fair
10/08/2023	South-South-West	13	Fair
11/08/2023	South-South-West	18	Fair
12/08/2023	South-West	21	Mostly Cloudy
13/08/2023	South-West	20	Mostly Cloudy
14/08/2023	South	17	Mostly Cloudy
15/08/2023	West	13	Fair
16/08/2023	East	9	Fair
17/08/2023	East	17	Fair
18/08/2023	East	17	Cloudy
19/08/2023	South-West	20	Fair
20/08/2023	South-West	15	Fair
21/08/2023	South-West	15	Fair
22/08/2023	West	15	Fair
23/08/2023	West	15	Fair
24/08/2023	West	15	Fair
25/08/2023	North-West	13	Mostly Cloudy
26/08/2023	West-South-West	12	Light Drizzle
27/08/2023	West	15	Fair
28/08/2023	West	15	Fair
29/08/2023	West-South-West	12	Fair
30/08/2023	West-North-West	14	Fair
31/08/2023	West	21	Fair

**Table 7-2.** Recorded meteorological conditions in September 2023

Date	Wind Directions	Wind Speed (km/h)	Weather Conditions
01/09/2023	North	7	Fair
02/09/2023	North-East	11	Mostly Cloudy
03/09/2023	North-East	7	Fair
04/09/2023	East	11	Fair
05/09/2023	East	12	Fair
06/09/2023	Various	6	Fair
07/09/2023	North-East	7	Fair
08/09/2023	South-West	9	Fair
09/09/2023	Various	6	Fair
10/09/2023	South-South-West	10	Fair
11/09/2023	West-South-West	16	Cloudy
12/09/2023	West	11	Fair
13/09/2023	North	9	Cloudy
14/09/2023	South	10	Fair
15/09/2023	South-South-East	7	Fair
16/09/2023	East	10	Fair
17/09/2023	East-North-East	15	Cloudy
18/09/2023	West-South-West	21	Fair
19/09/2023	South-West	28	Fair
20/09/2023	South-South-West	28	Light Rain
21/09/2023	South	10	Fair
22/09/2023	West	13	Fair
23/09/2023	West	15	Fair
24/09/2023	South	20	Fair
25/09/2023	South-West	16	Fair
26/09/2023	South-West	7	Fair
27/09/2023	South	17	Mostly Cloudy
28/09/2023	South-South-West	20	Mostly Cloudy
29/09/2023	West	17	Fair
30/09/2023	South-South-West	13	Fair

**Table 7-3.** Recorded meteorological conditions in October 2023

Date	Wind Directions	Wind Speed (km/h)	Weather Conditions
01/10/2023	South-South-West	15	Cloudy
02/10/2023	West-South-West	8	Cloudy
03/10/2023	West	21	Fair
04/10/2023	West-South-West	19	Fair
05/10/2023	South-West	17	Fair
06/10/2023	West-South-West	20	Fair
07/10/2023	South-West	16	Fair
08/10/2023	South-West	11	Fair
09/10/2023	West	8	Fair
10/10/2023	South-West	14	Fair
11/10/2023	South-West	17	Cloudy
12/10/2023	South-South-West	8	Cloudy
13/10/2023	South-West	23	Light Rain
14/10/2023	West-North-West	14	Fair
15/10/2023	West-North-West	8	Fair
16/10/2023	East-North-East	9	Fair
17/10/2023	East	23	Fair
18/10/2023	East	23	Fair
19/10/2023	South	17	Mostly Cloudy
20/10/2023	South-West	13	Fair
21/10/2023	West	11	Mostly Cloudy
22/10/2023	South-West	11	Fair
23/10/2023	East	12	Fair
24/10/2023	West-South-West	9	Fair
25/10/2023	North-East	8	Fair
26/10/2023	South-South-West	10	Fair
27/10/2023	South	10	Fair
28/10/2023	South	13	Fair
29/10/2023	South	16	Fair
30/10/2023	South	11	Fair
31/10/2023	South-West	11	Fair

**Table 7-4.** Recorded meteorological conditions in November 2023

Date	Wind Directions	Wind Speed (km/h)	Weather Conditions
01/11/2023	South	20	Light Rain
02/11/2023	West	26	Light Rain
03/11/2023	West-South-West	19	Fair
04/11/2023	South	14	Fair
05/11/2023	West	19	Fair
06/11/2023	West-South-West	18	Fair
07/11/2023	South-West	16	Fair
08/11/2023	South	15	Fair
09/11/2023	South-West	18	Fair

## 8.0 MONITORING SUMMARY

### 8.1 MAINTAINANCE

The locations are representative of the closest sensitive receptors around the site. Monitoring location 1 located on the north-west corner of the 4<sup>th</sup> floor roof and Monitoring location 2 is in the south-east corner of the roof on the 3<sup>rd</sup> floor.


Tetra Tech Limited Technicians deployed the monitoring pod on site on the 9<sup>th</sup> August 2023, and visited the site to undertake routine maintenance and reposition the monitors on the 7<sup>th</sup> November 2023.

This report contains on site monitoring results between 9<sup>th</sup> August 2023 – 9<sup>th</sup> November 2023.


Tetra Tech Limited will continue to monitor the concentrations of PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub> at the Kirby Street Site. These will continue to be cross-checked with urban background concentrations and the construction schedule to identify appropriate locations for the air quality monitoring and to inform any required future mitigation measures. Monitoring reports will be updated on a monthly schedule and will be inclusive of current site activities and any changes in the construction schedule.

## APPENDIX A – FIGURES

**Figure A-1. Monitoring Locations at 20-24 Kirby Street Site**





**Figure A-2.** London City Airport 2019 Meteorological Wind Rose

**Figure A-3.** Monitoring Location 1



**Figure A-4.** Monitoring Location 2

## APPENDIX B – REPORT CONDITIONS

This Report has been prepared using reasonable skill and care for the sole benefit of Iconic (“the Client”) for the proposed uses stated in the report by Tetra Tech Limited (Tetra Tech). Tetra Tech exclude all liability for any other uses and to any other party. The report must not be relied on or reproduced in whole or in part by any other party without the copyright holder’s permission.

No liability is accepted, or warranty given for; unconfirmed data, third party documents and information supplied to Tetra Tech or for the performance, reliability, standing etc of any products, services, organisations or companies referred to in this report. Tetra Tech does not purport to provide specialist legal, tax or accounting advice.

The report refers, within the limitations stated, to the environment of the site in the context of the surrounding area at the time of the inspections. Environmental conditions can vary, and no warranty is given as to the possibility of changes in the environment of the site and surrounding area at differing times. No investigative method can eliminate the possibility of obtaining partially imprecise, incomplete or not fully representative information. Any monitoring or survey work undertaken as part of the commission will have been subject to limitations, including for example timescale, seasonal and weather-related conditions. Actual environmental conditions are typically more complex and variable than the investigative, predictive and modelling approaches indicate in practice, and the output of such approaches cannot be relied upon as a comprehensive or accurate indicator of future conditions. The “shelf life” of the Report will be determined by a number of factors including; its original purpose, the Client’s instructions, passage of time, advances in technology and techniques, changes in legislation etc. and therefore may require future re-assessment.

The whole of the report must be read as other sections of the report may contain information which puts into context the findings in any executive summary.

The performance of environmental protection measures and of buildings and other structures in relation to acoustics, vibration, noise mitigation and other environmental issues is influenced to a large extent by the degree to which the relevant environmental considerations are incorporated into the final design and specifications and the quality of workmanship and compliance with the specifications on site during construction. Tetra Tech accept no liability for issues with performance arising from such factors.