

---

**From:** Martin Sheppard [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 19 December 2023 11:02  
**To:** Planning; Ewan Campbell  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Planning Application 2023/475/P

---

Dear Ewan,

I am resending two previous messages to you about Planning Application 2023/475/P.

I cannot find the former one on my list of Sent messages.

Please add them to the correspondence on this application.

Best wishes,

Martin Sheppard

12 December

I am writing to object to this planning application.

There are elements in it which are objectionable. These, however, have been added only at a late date in the process (27 November 2023).

These elements have been introduced without due notice, explanation, consultation or liaison with those in the neighbouring streets who will be adversely affected.

The developer's failure to announce their objectionable additions openly, at a time of year when many people are preoccupied with other matters, has meant that those affected have only in the last few days become aware of the implications of the recently introduced elements. Camden's planning website has also not been working for a number of days recently.

I am therefore writing to demand that the consultation process on this planning application should be extended, to allow the recently added elements to be explained, examined and assessed without the unreasonable pressure of a short deadline.

I am writing this both in my capacity as a local resident and as the Chairman of the Gloucester Avenue Association. As a substantial number of the residents who will be affected by the objectionable elements live in Gloucester Avenue, I expect you to take their interests into consideration. Many of them, however, have yet to become aware of the impact this addition to the planning application is likely to have.

An extension to the deadline in this case is, I know, strongly supported by our local Councillors.

Martin Sheppard

Chairman, The Gloucester Avenue Association

\*\*\*

14 December

Dear Ewan,

I am writing to support the very reasonable and very clearly expressed messages to you from Hadley Freeman and James Kennedy.

You must surely recognise that the developer's last-minute addition is highly controversial. Unannounced, poorly explained and weakly supported by proper noise measurement, it has given rise to widespread local dismay.

I am astonished, in this light, that you have not 'looked over it fully'. You intend to take in the messages from Hadley and James, amongst many other objections, only 'when I come to looking over the application'.

I am also astonished that you do not see this last-minute addition, simply by itself, as an obvious reason for extending the consultation period. Added to this, Camden's planning website has for many days now been impossible for would-be objectors to access.

I look forward to an early response to the points made in this message.

Best wishes,

Martin Sheppard

--

Martin Sheppard

