

TREE PRESERVATION ORDER: TPO 37 37 Lancaster Grove, NW3 4HB (18/06/58)

TREE T1 Beech of MWA Arboricultural Report

Works - REMOVE

Reason: The above tree is considered to be responsible for root induced clay shrinkage subsidence damage to 37 Lancaster Grove, London, NW3 4HB.

Investigations in to the damage have been conducted and the following information/evidence obtained:

- Engineering opinion is that damage is due to clay shrinkage subsidence. Details of the damage are included in the Crawford Technical report submitted.
- 2. Site investigations and soil test results have confirmed a plastic clay subsoil with a medium to high volume change potential (NHBC Guidelines).
- 3. Roots were observed to a depth of 1200mm bgl in TP/BH2 (May 2019) and recovered samples have been positively identified (using anatomical analysis) as Fagus spp. A further site investigation (May 2020) observed roots to a depth of 4000mm bgl in TP/BH2 and recovered samples have been positively identified (using anatomical analysis) as Fagus spp., the origin of which will be T1 confirming its influence on the soils below the foundations.
- 4. The observed moisture depletion is coincident with recorded root activity at depths beyond ambient soil drying effects and entirely consistent with the soil drying effects of the implicated tree.
- 5. Level monitoring for the period 07.03.19 to 12.10.23 has recorded a pattern of movement indicative of the effects of seasonal soil drying by the subject tree below foundation level. The uplift phase of the building can only be attributable to an expanding clay soil from a desiccated (shrunken) state due to the soil drying effects of the implicated tree.
- 6. A drainage investigation has not been undertaken; the trial pit/ borehole investigations did not reveal any suggestion that leakage from drainage is adversely affecting the property. Drains can be further discounted by reference to the level monitoring data.
- 7. No tree works have been carried out during the period of the claim or in the recent past in relation to the damage to the front of the building.
- 8. No recent structural alterations or building works have been carried out. The property has not been underpinned.



9.	A root barrier has been considered as an alternative to	tree removal and may be viable. The
	cost of a deep barrier is currently estimated to be	as per Crawford's Addendum Tech
	Report.	

- 10. Superstructure repairs and decorations are currently estimated to be should the tree works be undertaken. Costs for underpinning in the event the tree works do not proceed are currently estimated to be
- 11. The evidence confirms that on the balance of probabilities the subject tree is a material cause of the subsidence damage.
- 12. Replacement planting of standard size tree will be funded by insurers subject to planting location to be agreed with the LA.

SUBSIDENCE CHECK LIST

• A description of the property, including a description of the damage and the crack pattern, the date that the damage first occurred/was noted, details of any previous underpinning or building work, the geological strata for the site identified from the geological map.

Technical Report and Site Investigation Report provided

• Details of vegetation in the vicinity and its management since discovery of the damage. Include a plan showing the vegetation and affected building.

MWA Arboricultural Report provided

Measurement of the extent and distribution of vertical movement using level monitoring. Where level
monitoring is not possible, state why and provide crack monitoring data. Data provided must be
sufficient to show a pattern of movement consistent with the presence of the implicated tree(s)

Level Monitoring provided

A profile of a trial/bore hole dug to identify foundation type and depth and soil characteristics.

Site Investigation Report provided

 The sub-soil characteristics including soil type (particularly that on which the foundations rest), liquid limit, plastic limit and plasticity index

Site Investigation Report provided

 The location and identification of roots found. Where identification is inconclusive, DNA testing should be carried out.

Site Investigation Report provided

• Proposals and estimated costs of options to repair the damage.

Addendum Technical Report provided