Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2023/3861/P	Louis Crehan	08/11/2023 18:01:22	SUPPRT	As a local resident and frequent visitor of Primrose Hill park, I absolutely support this application. We have had to live with the temporary gates for a number of years now, so something more sympathetic to the existing fencing, maybe a continuation of said fencing with a nice ornamental finish would be a huge improvement to the area. Sadly these gates have to be installed due to historic anti-social behaviour, but if the design was a little more thought through and we could achieve something nicer, it really would be a huge improvement. Thank you.
2023/3861/P	Francoise Findlay	08/11/2023 16:54:29	COMMNT	I do not support the closure of Primrose Hill Park . The issue remains highly controversial among local residents. It was during the Covid lockdown, when open spaces became the only safe option for people to gather, that high numbers and unsocial behaviour was evident. The view of Central London, both day and night is unparalleled and free. The temporary gates have been ineffective, regularly forced open, generating noise and nuisance. In so far as the Royal Parks may decide to replace them the proposed design is acceptable as long as there is no risk of impalement to those who will continue to attempt to gain entry.

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2023/3861/P	Elsa Dos Santos	08/11/2023 20:11:39	APP	I have been a resident living in the Primrose Hill area for over 26 years. The park is a major part of my life in doing my regular walks, relaxation, and for my wellbeing. The reason I am commenting against the Installation of the gates to existing entrances, to Primrose Hill open space shown in the description above, is because I never witnessed any disturbance, violence, or abuse towards people either during the day or night. I have walked so many times, and or passed by through the park at different hours, sometimes even having family and friend's celebrations until later hours after 22:00 with music which was not loud for the local residents listening loudly. Sometimes people have music in the park, and passing by, I did not feel that caused inconvenience or disturbing noise which would affect the local neighbors. However, it was a survey a few months ago in regards to putting the gates, and not enough evidence or response to have positive and effective results. Only 3% were homeowners requesting to put the gates for the existing entrances. have enough evidence of the violence, assaults, and physical abuse for the purposes of closing the park around 22:00. I have watched people listening to music, and even if it was a bit loud, members of the public would ask politely for them to turn the volume down and they would reduce the volume without any arguments. I have seen a bit more local police walking around the park, by cycling, or by car. Or even if they have Royal Park security wardens I think would be more beneficial to have this type of infrastructure in place than closing the park with the gates. Members of the public would benefit massively and improve their mental health by walking in the park and having full access to it at any time. Particularly when so many times people face though times and they are looking for free park space to be. I have seen many times the police around and gives us assurance and security to have them in the park. public engage in conversation and if any questions need to be asked they are there to support the public. I would much appreciate it if you could consider my appeal in regard to this matter. Yours kindly, Elsa